### PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Paediatrics Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

### **ARTICLE DETAILS**

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	Access to healthcare for children in Palestine
AUTHORS	Waterston, Tony; Nasser, Dina

# **VERSION 1 - REVIEW**

REVIEWER	Ghannam, Jess
	University of California San Francisco
	USA
	Competing interests: None
REVIEW RETURNED	20-Aug-2017

GENERAL COMMENTS	A very important paper worthy of publication in BMJ PO. My only comment, having worked extensively in Gaza, WB, and EJ, is that there are additional CBO, NGO, and private initiatives worthy of description. For example, the building of pediatric oncology service in Gaza and Wellness Support Programs through UNRWA in the West Bank. Otherwise, well-researched and written and worthy of
	publication.

REVIEWER	Mercer, Raul FLACSO (Latin American School of Social Sciences). Buenos Aires, Argentina Competing interests: No
REVIEW RETURNED	26-Aug-2017

GENERAL COMMENTS	Authors should make explicit what is the purpose of the manuscript.
	When the authors mention that the "population growth rate is one of
	the highest in the world", can they provide the actual figures?
	The authors also mentioned that "For most independent observers
	the occupation by the Israeli state is the major determinant of the
	health problems for Palestinian children. Based on this fact, it will be
	important to clarify how they characterize "independent observers"
	and which other determinants of the health situation for Palestinian
	children are affecting this population?
	At the end of the document it will be important to add some reflection
	regarding the challenges that Palestine children face in the future
	considering the need to provide an immediate response to their
	critical situation
	The acronym OPT and oPt should be used in a uniform way
	There is no detailed information regarding the mental health
	conditions, particularly as a result of chronic stress. This should be
	highlighted and more objective information, if possible, been
	provided.
	Regarding essential materials (medication) there are two paragraphs
	with some difference in the figures:
	In the first part the authors mentioned:

"Core focas covers againment and stack abortons and in May 2017
"Gaza faces severe equipment and stock shortage and in May 2017,
34% of essential medicines and 32% of medical disposables were at
'zero stock' in Gaza, meaning that less than a month's supply was available."
In another part of the manuscript the authors say:
"The Ministry of Health in Gaza recently announced that 33% of essential medicines and 31% of medical disposables are at 'zero
stock', meaning that less than a month's supply is available on shelves."
Figures should be revised and only one of the paragraphs should be kept in the manuscript.
Figures on disability are below of the average information dealing
with this problem. Authors should explain the potential causes of
biased information and sub-registry.

### **VERSION 1 – AUTHOR RESPONSE**

Responses to referee 2:

The purpose of the MS has been described

The source of data on population growth has been provided sources for the statement on determinants of health have been provided One of the paragraphs on medical equipment in Gaza has been removed

#### **VERSION 2 - REVIEW**

REVIEWER	Mercer, Raul
	LACSO (Latin American School of Social Sciences), Buenos Aires,
	Argentina
	Competing interests: No competing interests
REVIEW RETURNED	04-Nov-2017
OFNEDAL COMMENTO	Observation of the discussion
GENERAL COMMENTS	Changes requested by the reviewer were added to the new version
	of the manuscript. This is an important piece to advocate for
	children's rights, particularly the right to health and freedom in areas
	of conflict and military occupation.

## **VERSION 2 – AUTHOR RESPONSE**