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ABSTRACT

Objective This study aims to determine global anti-epileptic drug (AED) utilisation prevalence and describe utilisation trends in different countries.

Methods Databases Embase (1980–May 2017), Medline (1946–May 2017) and PubMed were searched for original research on AED utilisation. All paediatric national or regional database studies and surveys were included.

Results Twenty-one studies were identified. Five were excluded from the analysis as the data were collected before 2005, leaving 16 studies. Monotherapy regimen varied between 58% and 94% in different countries. In several of the studies, sodium valproate was the most frequently prescribed AED. However, there is a trend towards increasing utilisation of new-generation AEDs, particularly levetiracetam, in some countries.

Conclusion Monotherapy was used in 58%–94% of patients. There is increasing utilisation of the new-generation AEDs, in particular lamotrigine, levetiracetam and topiramate. Old-generation AEDs are still used in the majority of patients. There is a need for up-to-date studies to determine the prevalence of AEDs in children.

BACKGROUND

In the last two decades, several new anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs) have been approved for the treatment of epilepsy. While the use of these new-generation drugs is growing, some of the old-generation drugs are still preferred because of cost and availability. Similar efficacy and safety have been reported for both generations of AED1; however, there are differences between specific drugs.2 Patient-specific factors such as age, gender, the type of epilepsy and the availability of monitoring also influence the choice of AEDs. The increasing utilisation of the new AEDs presents a fresh challenge of identifying new toxicities and drug interactions. For example, the increasing use of lamotrigine in Sweden resulted in an increase in the reports of adverse reactions to the drug.3

Drug utilisation studies provide an insight into the current standards and trends of drug prescriptions. Information from these studies can be used to evaluate and modify clinical prescribing policies and identify areas of research need. Electronic databases, such as administrative health databases, dispensing databases and health insurance records, provide a valuable tool for carrying out utilisation studies. In addition to identifying prescription trends, some databases can also provide background patient health information and can be used to evaluate treatment outcomes.4 Studies based on health insurance records may however underestimate actual drug use because drugs not reimbursed by national health services may not be recorded.5 6

In this systematic review, AED prescriptions in different countries were identified from the published literature.

METHODS

Search strategy

Databases Embase (1980–May 2017), Medline (1946–May 2017) and PubMed were searched for original research on AED utilisation (figure 1). Search terms used were ‘utilisation or utilization or pattern* or prescription or database or prescrib* or trend* or pharmacoeconomiol* or access or prevalence or practice or population or usage’ in the title, combined with paediatric filters ‘paediatric* or pediatric* or child*’ in the title and ‘anti-epileptic* or anti-epileptic* or anticonvulsant’ also in the title. Google Scholar and
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Inclusion and exclusion criteria
All paediatric national or regional database studies and surveys were included irrespective of language of publication. Single-centre studies were excluded. Mixed population studies in which the paediatric data were presented were also included. ‘Paediatrics’ was defined as any patient ≤18 years old or as defined by the respective authors. Studies in which the percentage of AED utilisation was not presented or could not be calculated were excluded. Two reviewers determined eligibility for inclusion.

Data extraction
Data extracted from each study included year of study, country of study, setting, age of patients, study design, number of study participants, the type of AED and percentage of children receiving AED. Only one reviewer carried out the data extraction.

RESULTS
Twenty-one studies were identified. Five were excluded from the review as the data were collected before 2005 (online supplementary table 1). These were excluded because they were unlikely to adequately capture new AEDs. Monotherapy was the most common regimen, varying between 58% and 94% in different countries. Tables 1 and 2 describe the prescription rates of AEDs in Europe and other parts of the world, respectively. In several of the studies, sodium valproate was the most frequently prescribed AED, accounting for 7%–66% of prescriptions. A 9-year Dutch database review of AED prescriptions reported a sodium valproate prescription rate of 66% (table 1). The lowest sodium valproate prescription rates were 12% and 7%, reported in 2003 and 2007 in a Taiwanese population-based national health insurance study (table 2). Several studies showed a declining rate of sodium valproate utilisation. In the UK, the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) database study reported a 56% prescription rate for sodium valproate in 1993, with subsequent decrease to 50% in 2008. Between 2005 and 2009, sodium valproate prescription rate declined from 58% to 36% in Hong Kong, while a marginal decline from 40% to 38% between 2000 and 2009 was recorded in a study at the only children’s hospital in Singapore (figure 2).

Carbamazepine was the second most frequently prescribed AED in several countries (tables 1 and 2). Similar to sodium valproate, carbamazepine prescription rates have also shown a declining trend. The Welsh Secure Anonymised Information Linkage (SAIL) database recorded a prescription rate of 14% in 2001 compared with 10% a decade later (figure 2). Similarly, a 2% decline to 30% was reported in Hong Kong between 2005 and 2009. In Taiwan, a minimal decline from 7% in 2003 to 6% in 2007 was reported. However in Singapore, a 4% increase in carbamazepine prescribing over a decade (2000–2009) was reported (figure 2).

Phenobarbital utilisation was highly variable in different countries, with prescription rates varying between 1% and 65%. Phenobarbital prescribing has been higher in Asia, accounting for 8%, 13% and 24% of all AEDs used in Hong Kong (2009), Singapore (2009) and Taiwan (2007), respectively (table 1), compared with 2% and 5% in the UK (2008) and the Netherlands (2006), respectively (table 2). Phenytoin prescriptions ranged between 1% and 4% in all studies, with only marginal changes in prescription rates observed in the last two decades (tables 1 and 2).

Lamotrigine was the most frequently prescribed new-generation AED in several countries, with prescription rates ranging from 1% to 34%. The highest prescription rate of 34% was recorded in a 2000–2007 Norwegian Prescription Database study. The UK CPRD (1993–2008) study and the Welsh SAIL database study (2000–2010) showed rising prescription prevalence, with prescribing increasing from 4% and 11% in 2000 to 22% and 21% in 2010, respectively. However, studies in Hong Kong and Singapore reported a marginal decline in lamotrigine prescription rate. In Taiwan, a 9% decline in prescription rate was reported between 2003 and 2007 (figure 3).

Levetiracetam prescription rate increased by 10% within 8 years after 2000 in the UK and 8% within 10 years in Wales. In Taiwan, the prescription rate of...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country (Ref)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Poly%</th>
<th>Prevalence* (%)</th>
<th>VPA %</th>
<th>CBZ %</th>
<th>PHT %</th>
<th>PBT %</th>
<th>LTG %</th>
<th>TPM %</th>
<th>LEV %</th>
<th>OXC %</th>
<th>Others (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2004–2006</td>
<td>13197</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>STM (11), ETX (1), VGB (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2361</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2003–2010</td>
<td>10255</td>
<td>28§</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ETX (1), CZP (0.5), GBP (0.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>2001–2005</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>VGB (4), felbamate (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>2001–2005</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>VGB (3), GBP (8), pregabalin (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2004–2009</td>
<td>4015</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>91†</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>CZP (7), GBP (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK‡</td>
<td>2001–2005</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>VGB (2), GBP (1), TGB (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>2000–2010</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>76/61</td>
<td>14/10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11/21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for empty cells not available. Data before and after slash represent the earliest and most recent data for the study, respectively.

*AED prevalence per 10 000 persons.*
†Prevalence for last year of study.
‡Incident monotherapy users.
§Prevalence per 10 000 person-years.

CBZ, carbamazepine; CZP, clonazepam; ETX, ethosuximide; GBP, gabapentin; LEV, levetiracetam; LTG, lamotrigine; OXC, oxcarbazepine; PBT, phenobarbital; PHT, phenytoin; poly, polytherapy; STM, sulthiame; TGB, tiagabine; TPM, topiramate; VGB, vigabatrin; VPA, sodium valproate.
Table 2  Antiepileptic drugs prescription rates in other parts of the world

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country (Ref)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Poly%</th>
<th>Prevalence*</th>
<th>VPA %</th>
<th>CBZ %</th>
<th>PHT %</th>
<th>PBT %</th>
<th>LTG %</th>
<th>TPM %</th>
<th>LEV %</th>
<th>OXC %</th>
<th>Others (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>CZP,(3) ETX (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>2005–2009</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21†</td>
<td>58/36</td>
<td>32/30</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>8/7</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>2/7</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>CLB (12/14), ETX (0.3/0.5), VGB (2/2), GBP (2/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>2003–2007</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>138†</td>
<td>12/7</td>
<td>7/6</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>65/24</td>
<td>19/10</td>
<td>21/9</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>29/9</td>
<td>VGB (44/36), GBP (2/1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1999–2006</td>
<td>4666</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>GBP(11) ETX,(5) ZNS,(3) TGB(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for empty cells unavailable. Data before and after slash represent the earliest and most recent data for the study.

*Prevalence per 10 000 persons.
†Prevalence in the final year of study.

CBZ, carbamazepine; CLB, cllobazam; CZP, clonazepam; ETX, ethosuximide; GBP, gabapentin; LEV, levetiracetam; LTG, lamotrigine; OXC, oxcarbazepine; PBT, phenobarbital; PHT, phenytoin; poly%, percentage polytherapy; STM, sulthiame; TGB, tiagabine; TPM, topiramate; VGB, vigabatrin; VPA, sodium valproate; ZNS, zonisamide.
levetiracetam rose from 0% in 2003 to 18% in 2007 (figure 3), while topiramate prescription rate in Taiwan decreased from 21% in 2003 to 9% four years after.

Between 1999 and 2006, oxcarbazepine was 28% in the USA. In 2007, data from the South Korean health insurance database reported oxcarbazepine as the second most frequently prescribed AED, accounting for 18% of all AEDs prescribed.

In most countries, vigabatrin utilisation varied between 1% and 4%. However, prescription was high in Taiwan between 2003 (44%) and 2007 (36%). In 2007, it was the most frequently prescribed AED in Taiwan and the second most prescribed in 2003. In Hong Kong, vigabatrin prescription rate was 2% in 2005 and 2009, respectively (table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Sodium valproate was the most frequently prescribed AED in children in most countries. The broad spectrum of activity of the drug may be responsible for its continued preference for the management of paediatric epilepsy. Although current guidelines recommend both sodium valproate and carbamazepine as first-line antiepileptic agents, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine and levetiracetam utilisation is growing. This study showed a declining prevalence of all old-generation AEDs and a mixed trend in the utilisation rates of lamotrigine and topiramate. There was a general increase in the use of levetiracetam. Putignano et al attributed the increase in new AED utilisation in a region in Italy to increased levetiracetam use.

There was generally a mixed trend with carbamazepine utilisation in countries with high Han ethnic population, Singapore, Hong Kong and Taiwan. Carbamazepine utilisation was high in Hong Kong and Singapore, but low in Taiwan. The utilisation of oxcarbazepine, which is structurally similar to carbamazepine, declined from 29% to 9% between 2003 and 2007 in Taiwan. It is unclear how recommendations for genetic testing in this population have impacted on carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine use. Recent data from a teaching hospital in the Shanghai region of China showed a 30% and 1% for oxcarbazepine and carbamazepine, respectively. The high rate of use of oxcarbazepine in China is surprising in view of the known predisposition of this population to the development of Stevens-Johnson syndrome.

The rising prevalence of new-generation AEDs may be attributed to their increased utilisation for other indications, such as psychiatric disorders (lamotrigine) and pain (gabapentin and oxcarbazepine). One adult study reported a 55-fold increase in non-seizure gabapentin prescription over 15 years in Canada. A recent safety alert by the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) is likely to further reduce the utilisation of valproate in the UK. The report showed that up to 40% of children exposed in utero to the drug are at a high risk of serious developmental disorders and up to 10% at risk of congenital malformations.
Consequently, the MHRA recommended the avoidance of valproate prescription to female children, female adolescents, women of childbearing potential or pregnant women unless other treatments are ineffective or not tolerated.27

The prescribing patterns of AEDs in children vary among countries because of differences in healthcare systems, cost of medicines, availability of drugs, as well as local and national epilepsy management guidelines.28 New-generation AEDs are generally more expensive; hence, prescriptions are less prevalent in low-income countries and countries with poor health insurance coverage. For instance, phenobarbital accounted for only 3% of prescriptions to outpatient children: a systematic review of monotherapy studies and their methodology. Epilepsy Res 2010;91:1–9.

Similarly, Hamer et al reported that only sodium valproate, carbamazepine, phenobarbital and phenytoin were subsidised by the national health insurance or subsidy programmes. In Singapore, for instance, a 2012 study by Tan et al reported that only sodium valproate, carbamazepine, phenobarbital and phenytoin were subsidised by the government. Similarly, Hamer et al also reported that reimbursement rules in Germany favour the preferential use of low-priced generic AEDs. The Pharmaceutical Benefit Scheme in Australia also limits the use of several new-generation AEDs.32

There are several limitations to this study. The studies included in this review are very heterogeneous and there are differences in the periods covered by each study. The data sources are diverse and the years of publication different. These limitations make direct comparison of AED utilisation between studies and countries difficult. The difficulties with comparative evaluation of drug utilisation studies have also been identified in a previous study.33 Many of the studies in this review are old and may not be reflective of the current utilisation rates in the respective countries. AED utilisation is dynamic and only new studies can adequately describe the current prescribing pattern in the respective countries. Although there are several published single-centre AED utilisation studies,34–36 these have not been included in this review because they may not be generalisable. Some studies with AED prescription prevalence reported as person-years were also excluded.37 38 Most of the studies reported on polytherapy use at the time of data review rather than at a defined point during treatment. This is likely to account for the variability in polytherapy utilisation observed in this study. Only one study reported incident polytherapy users.17

In conclusion, therapeutic options for the management of childhood epilepsy have increased with the introduction and increasing utilisation of new-generation AEDs. Lamotrigine, levetiracetam and oxcarbazepine are some of the most commonly prescribed new-generation drugs. There is a need for up-to-date database studies to determine the prevalence of AEDs in children.
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