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Abstract

Objectives: Children requiring cortisol replacement therapy are often prescribed hydrocortisone doses of 2.5 mg, but as this is commercially unavailable 10 mg tablets, with functional break lines, are split commonly in an attempt to deliver the correct dose. This study aimed to determine the dose variation obtained from quartered hydrocortisone tablets when different operators performed the splitting procedure and to ascertain whether better uniformity could be attained from mini-tablets as an alternative formulation.

Methods: Hydrocortisone 10 mg tablets were quartered by four different operators using a standard pill splitter. Hydrocortisone 2.5 mg mini-tablets (3 mm diameter) were formulated using a wet granulation method and manufactured using a high-speed rotary press simulator. The weight and content uniformity of the quartered tablets and mini-tablets were assessed according to pharmacopoeial standards. The physical strength and dissolution profiles of the mini-tablets were also determined.

Results: More than half of all quartered 10 mg tablets were outside of the ± 10% of the stated United States Pharmacopeia hydrocortisone content (mean 2.34 ± 0.36 mg) and more than 40% of the quartered tablets were outside the European Pharmacopeia weight variation. Robust mini-tablets (tensile strengths of > 4 MPa) were produced successfully. The mini-tablets passed the pharmacopoeial weight and content uniformity requirements and drug release criteria during in vitro dissolution testing.

Conclusion: This study confirmed that quartering 10 mg hydrocortisone tablets produces unacceptable dose variations and that it is feasible to produce 3mm mini-tablets containing more accurate doses that meet the needs of paediatric patients.
Introduction

Hydrocortisone is the preferred cortisol replacement therapy in childhood, because it is of lower potency than the synthetic glucocorticoids and may be associated with fewer side effects [1]. Hydrocortisone doses of 8mg/m²/day [2], given in three to four divided doses, are thought to be adequate for cortisol replacement therapy in childhood. Higher, supra-physiological doses of 10-15mg/m²/day are used to treat patients with congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH) [3], in whom the goal of treatment is to achieve suppression of ACTH drive to the adrenal gland, while avoiding the adverse effects of glucocorticoid excess.

Liquid hydrocortisone formulations, such as a 1mg/ml oral suspension, are only available as unlicensed specials and in addition to the general limitations of transport, storage and stability there are potential concerns relating to the bioavailability of liquid hydrocortisone formulations [4]. In paediatric practice, 2.5mg hydrocortisone doses are prescribed frequently and to achieve these doses, it is recommended that tablets are divided or crushed [3]. Crushing and dissolving tablets may result in unacceptably high variability of dosing [2, 3] and it may be preferable to quarter 10 mg tablets. The hydrocortisone 10 mg tablets licensed for oral administration are quarter-scored, allowing them to be divided into equal halves or quarters [5]. However, despite the presence of functional break-lines, splitting may result in unequal parts thereby producing unequal doses and loss of mass due to crumbling [6].

To date, most data relating to the medium / long-term outcomes of children with CAH report features more likely to represent over, rather than under, dosing: obesity, insulin resistance, elevated leptin levels, dyslipidaemia and impaired glucose metabolism [7, 8, 9, 10]. However, working memory performance is lower in children with CAH than in unaffected relatives [11], and health related quality of life is also reported to be reduced, with boys and girls equally affected, suggesting that this is not simply related to androgen excess in girls and associated disorders of sex development [12]. Erratic and inadequate doses of hydrocortisone may contribute to these adverse effects.

Some clinicians treating patients with adrenal insufficiency advocate twenty-four hour profiles of cortisol and 17α-Hydroxyprogesterone (17-OHP). Clinical markers of glucocorticoid excess, such as slow growth or excess weight gain, require observation over extended periods, while features of cortisol insufficiency, such as tiredness, nausea or poor concentration may be
subjective and difficult to assess in the young child. However, the application of the data obtained from these studies to hydrocortisone prescribing practice relies on the assumption that hydrocortisone doses are reliable and reproducible over time.

No current oral hydrocortisone formulation meets the dosing requirements of children. Mini-tablets provide an alternate to standard tablets and oral liquids mainly for paediatric patients, ≥ 4 years of age. Variations exist in the defined size of mini-tablets in literature, but a diameter of ≤ 3mm is commonly compatible with paediatric patients [13]. Mini-tablets can be administered to paediatric patients as young as 6 months old with their food / beverages and recent studies have demonstrated mini-tablets to be more acceptable than oral syrups [14, 15, 16].

Aims and Objectives

The aim of this study was to manufacture 3mm mini-tablets to provide a 2.5mg dose of hydrocortisone and to compare the content uniformity of the mini-tablets against quartered hydrocortisone tablets. The dose uniformity of hydrocortisone mini-tablets and the quarters of commercial hydrocortisone tablets was also determined. The tensile strength of the mini-tablets and their compliance with the pharmacopoeial tests for dissolution and uniformity of mass were also assessed.
Materials and Methods

Materials

Hydrocortisone (10 mg) tablets (Auden Mckenzie Pharma Division Ltd, UK) were used for the dose uniformity study. Analytical grades of hydrocortisone (Sigma Aldrich, UK); water (Liverpool John Moores University, UK); Methanol (Sigma Aldrich, UK); and Acetonitrile (Fischer Scientific UK, Loughborough, UK) were used for HPLC analysis.

Mini-tablets were manufactured using hydrocortisone EP (Courtin and Warner, UK), Microcrystalline cellulose (Avicel® PH101, FMC, Brussels, Belgium), lactose (Pharmatose 200M), hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose 603 (Shin-Etsu Chemicals Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan), croscarmellose sodium (Ac-Di-Sol®, FMC Europe NV, Brussels, Belgium), silicon dioxide (Aerosil® 200, Degussa-Hüls AG, Frankfurt, Germany) and magnesium stearate (BDH Laboratory Supplies, Poole, UK).

Formulation and manufacture of mini-tablets

The mini-tablet formulation was prepared using a wet granulation technique to ensure uniformity of die fill, since adequate flowability of a formulation is essential for the manufacture of mini-tablets due to the small size of the die orifice [17]. All of the excipients listed in Table 1 (with the exception of the glidant (Aerosil®) and the lubricant (magnesium stearate) were blended with hydrocortisone for 5 minutes using a Turbula® Shaker Mixer type 2C (Willy A. Bachofen, Basel, Switzerland) at 42 RPM. The blended powder mixture was then transferred to a Model KM330 series planetary mixer (Kenwood, UK). Water was added uniformly during mixing by spraying with an atomiser from a distance of 10 – 15 cm from the powder bed. The wet powder mass was screened evenly onto a flat, stainless steel tray and oven-dried overnight at 40°C. Dry granules were screened using a 1 mm aperture sieve prior to separation using a laboratory shaker (Endecotts, UK) and subsequent blending with glidant (5 mins) and lubricant (2 mins) using the Turbula® Shaker Mixer. The bulk density ($\rho_B$) of granules in the size range 125 - 355 $\mu$m, was determined by filling a measuring cylinder with a known weight of granules. The tapped density ($\rho_T$) was determined by dropping the volumetric cylinder 250 times from a height of 2.5 cm using a drop-box. The tapping procedure was repeated until there was no change in the volume of the granule giving the tapped density. The % Carr’s Compressibility Index (Eqn 1) and
Hausner Ratio (Eqn 2), both indicators of flowability [18] influencing key tablet parameters such as mechanical strength and weight uniformity, were calculated.

\[
\text{Carr's Index} \, (\%) = \frac{\rho_T - \rho_B}{\rho_T} \times 100 \quad \text{Equation 1}
\]

\[
\text{Hausner Ratio} = \frac{\rho_T}{\rho_B} \quad \text{Equation 2}
\]

The mini-tablets were produced using a Stylcam® 100R rotary press simulator (Medel’Pharm, Beynost, France) fitted with 3 mm flat-faced tooling at speed of 20 RPM. A compression force of 1 to 2 kN (140 – 280 MPa) was maintained with a fill height of 7.10 mm.

**Tensile Strength**

The dimensions of 10 mini-tablets were measured using a digital micrometer (Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan) and their crushing strengths were determined with a 6D Tablet Tester (Schleuniger, Germany). Values were used to calculate the tensile strength, \( \sigma \), using Equation 3 [19], where \( P \) is the crushing strength (N), \( D \) is the diameter (mm) and \( T \) is the tablet thickness (mm).

\[
\sigma = \frac{2P}{\pi DT} \quad \text{Equation 3}
\]

**Content uniformity analysis of hydrocortisone tablets and mini-tablets**

An Agilent 1200 series with Variable Wavelength Detector (Agilent, UK) set to 254-nm was used with a 4.6-mm x 15cm column containing 5µm packing of octadecyl silane chemically bonded to porous silica (Phenomenex, HyperClone 5µ ODS C18). The mobile phase (degassed 50:25:25 mixture of water, acetonitrile and methanol) flow rate was 1 mL min\(^{-1}\) and an injection volume of 10 µL was used. Chemstation Open lab CDS software for LC and LC-MS Rev C.01.05 (Agilent Technologies, UK) were used for all data analysis.

Standard solutions of hydrocortisone (0 to 0.2 %w/v) were prepared and filtered into HPLC vials using a 0.45 µm PTFE filter (Agilent, UK)] and 5 mL syringe. The peak area -
concentrations response was acceptably linear \((R^2 = 0.9985)\) and thus a 0.01% w/v was used as a single point calibration for the assays. The retention time of hydrocortisone was 4.0 (± 0.2) minutes. The active content of whole hydrocortisone 10 mg tablets was determined by weighing and dispersing individual tablets into 100 mL mobile phase by sonification. Each tablet was analysed in duplicate. The hydrocortisone content of quartered tablets was determined, each individual tablet was weighed and, using a Deluxe Pill Splitter (W+W Medsystems, UK), cut into quarters. Each quarter was weighed and dispersed into 25 mL mobile phase by sonification. Each tablet quarter was analysed in duplicate. Four individuals each quartered 5 tablets to account for inter-operator variability. The operators, comprising two students and two academics, had no previous experience of tablet splitting. The quarters were then weighed and assayed.

The active content of the 2.5 mg hydrocortisone mini-tablets was determined by weighing and dispersed individual mini-tablets into 25 mL mobile phase by sonification. As per USP 905 (Uniformity of Dosage Units), 10 whole mini-tablets were analysed per batch [20]. Each mini-tablet was analysed in duplicate. All solutions were filtered into HPLC vials using a 0.45 µm PTFE filter (Agilent, UK) and 5 mL syringe prior to analysis.

According to USP <905> Uniformity of dosage units, the acceptance value (AV) is calculated using Equation 4 where \(X\) is the sample mean as a % of label claim, \(k\) is 2.4 for L1 criteria and \(s\) is the standard deviation of the sample [20]. \(M\) is dependent on the sample mean If \(X = \geq 98.5\%\) and \(\leq 101.5\%\) of the label claim then \(M = X\). The L1 criteria states that ten samples should be tested and the acceptance value should be ± 15% [20].

\[
\text{Acceptance Value} = (M - X) + ks
\]  

Equation 4

Weight Uniformity analysis of hydrocortisone tablets and mini-tablets

The Ph. Eur. Monograph “Uniformity of mass of single-dose preparations” method [21] was employed to determine the uniformity of weight of the 2.5 mg mini-tablets, and quartered 10 mg hydrocortisone tablets. Twenty tablets and mini-tablets were individually weighed and their mean weights calculated. The products failed if >2 of the individual tablets’ weight deviated by more than 10% (mini-tablets) or 7.5% (tablets) from the average weight and if
one tablet weight deviated by > 20% (mini-tablets) or 15% (tablets) from the average weight [21]. For quartered tablets, the mean weight was calculated and the percentage of samples that failed to meet the weight variation criteria was calculated.

**Drug release from hydrocortisone mini-tablets**

The dissolution method from USP monograph for hydrocortisone tablets was used [22], utilising a Varian VK 7010 Dissolution apparatus (Agilent Technologies, UK) attached to UV spectrophotometer (Cary 50UV spectrophotometer) at 248nm. USP apparatus 2 (paddle apparatus), with a paddle speed of 50 RPM and 900 mL of water as the dissolution media (at 37°C) were used for the test [23].

**Statistical analysis**

The weight and content uniformity of tablet quarters were compared by one-way analysis of variance using the Minitab 17.1.0 statistical software package (Minitab Inc., USA). A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Splitting of hydrocortisone tablets**

The tablets used for the study were convex, diamond shaped and quarter-scored allowing them to be divided into equal halves or quarters [5]. The assay of the whole hydrocortisone tablets gave >98% recovery, which is within the specified 90-110% limits of the USP monograph for hydrocortisone tablets [22]. The mean weight of whole hydrocortisone tablets was 244.18 ± 1.70 mg (CV 0.7%).

The recovered weights of the quartered tablets indicated that approximately 2% mass was lost during the subdivision process. The expected weight for each tablet quarter was 61.05mg, based on the mean whole tablet weight. The obtained weight was 59.83 ± 8.45mg. However 33 of the 80 (41.25%) quartered tablets failed to meet Ph. Eur. monograph [21], this specification. Based on the mean mass of 59.83mg, the criteria would allow quartered tablets to have a mass in range 53.85 – 65.81mg.
The mean content of hydrocortisone in all of the quartered tablets (n = 80) was 2.34 ± 0.36 mg (93.60% of 2.5mg target dose), with a coefficient of variation of 15.18%, range 1.28 (51.27%) to 3.39 mg (135.66%). Forty-three of the 80 quartered tablets (53.75%) failed to achieve ± 10% (2.25 - 2.75 mg) of the target 2.5 mg dose.

Figure 1 shows the correlation between quartered tablet weight and hydrocortisone content, which explains the large number of substandard hydrocortisone doses in the quarters, as content is directly related to weight.

**Operator bias**

The data obtained by the four individual operators when performing the tablet quartering is summarised in Table 2. Although there was no significant difference in the mean weights (P = 0.206) or mean hydrocortisone content (P = 0.253) of the quartered tablets produced by the different operators, there were marked differences in the ranges obtained. All four operators produced quartered tablets outside of the weight variation limits specified. Splitting by Operator A resulted in a mean hydrocortisone content of 2.40 mg (96% of the target dose) but had the largest variation between the quantities; 51.27 – 135.66% of the target 2.5 mg dose per quarter. Operators B and C obtained a mean quarter content of 2.23 mg (89.20% of 2.5mg target) and 2.22 mg (88.80% of 2.5mg target dose) respectively and Operator C had a much narrower range for the hydrocortisone content in quartered tablets at 78.11 – 102.83%. Operator D on the other hand obtained a mean hydrocortisone content of 2.51 mg (100.4% of target) but the range was relatively high at 73.48 – 124.44% of the target dose. The mean hydrocortisone contents for operators B and C were outside of the ± 10% limit stated in the USP [20]. In addition to this, each of the operators had individual quarters that had hydrocortisone contents outside of the ± 10% limit.

**Mini-tablets**

Hydrocortisone mini-tablets were successfully manufactured under simulated rotary press production conditions. The tapped density of granules used for the manufacture of the mini-tablets was determined as 0.48 g/mL and the Carr’s index value of 16% and Hausner ratio of 1.19 indicated a fair flowability. The Stylcam® is a high precision, single station press capable of producing up to 2400 tablets/h using an automatic feeder operates using a mechanical cam, which produces a bi-axial compaction profile analogous to that of a rotary tablet press.
Although mini-tablets were produced at a relatively high compression speed of 20 RPM (equivalent to a rotary press production rate of approximately 80,000 tablets per hour [24]), the flow of the granules from the hopper into the narrow die orifice during manufacture was satisfactory and all mini-tablets met the pharmacopoeial specification for uniformity of mass [21]. Consistent and high tensile strengths were also achieved throughout the batch as shown in Table 3, indicating a good compressibility of the granules. Figure 2 illustrates that hydrocortisone was released rapidly and consistently from mini-tablets under in vitro dissolution conditions. The full dose was released within 10 minutes from all mini-tablets thus passing the dissolution specification for immediate release dosage forms [23].

Content uniformity analysis carried out on 10 mini-tablets gave an acceptance value of 4.37% when using Equation 4 thus meeting the USP <905> Uniformity of Dosage L1 criteria. Furthermore, mini-tablets obtained a mean hydrocortisone content of 2.54 mg (101.68% of target dose) which is compliant to the USP [22]. These weight and content uniformity data for hydrocortisone mini-tablets demonstrate clear superiority over quartered 10 mg hydrocortisone tablets and, unlike manipulated tablets, mini-tablets did not fail compliance with any of the USP requirements.

Discussion

The availability of age-appropriate medicines for children as solid dosage forms remains a pressing need. The EMA Paediatric Committee’s Formulation Working Group recommends that for younger patients, those aged 6–8 years, tablets of 6–7 mm with appropriate shape are acceptable [25]. Growing evidence suggests that some children may have already acquired the ability to swallow tablets from an earlier age or can be taught using behavioural training interventions, especially those with severe diseases [26], such as children with HIV as young as 3 years who were prescribed stavudine as a solid dosage form [27].

There is limited evidence to support the use of dosage from manipulation to obtain an intended dose in paediatric practice [28]. A study in UK hospitals reported that in paediatric practice, 42%-62% of manipulations involved tablets and 6% of total manipulations were steroid drugs [29]. In the absence of an age-appropriate solid dosage form of...
hydrocortisone, parents/carers, young people and healthcare professionals are required to manipulate 10 mg tablets to derive an intended dose for use in paediatric practice. Quartering of intact hydrocortisone tablets should provide a nominal dose of 2.5 mg compared with 10 mg for the intact tablet. The data presented in this report clearly demonstrate that children treated with 2.5mg doses of hydrocortisone derived from quartered 10mg tablets are subject to unacceptable variability in hydrocortisone doses.

While minor fluctuations in doses may be of little significance, as hydrocortisone pharmacokinetics are influenced by a number of factors, including fasting status [30] puberty [31] and the time of day [32], the lowest doses obtained from quartered tablets may be associated with symptoms of cortisol deficiency, and in patients with CAH, loss of ACTH suppression.

In light of these data there is a move towards lower dosing regimens, with standard replacement doses for patients with adrenal insufficiency due to causes other than CAH, being estimated to be 8mg/m²/day [2]. As hydrocortisone doses are reduced in a drive to address the long-term morbidity associated with glucocorticoid excess, the margin for error in dosing is lower, and patients are at increased risk for the adverse effects of under-dosing due to formulation issues. In the short term, inadequate hydrocortisone replacement therapy is likely to manifest as nausea, headache, lethargy, poor concentration and irritability, and over the longer term may have a significant impact on growth, quality of life, schooling and attainment. Increased susceptibility to adrenal crisis during periods of stress is also possible.

Clinical markers of glucocorticoid excess, such as slow growth or excess weight gain, require observation over an extended period, while features of cortisol insufficiency, such as tiredness, nausea or poor concentration may be subjective and difficult to assess in the young child. For this reason, some clinicians advocate the use of 24 hour profiles of cortisol and, in patients with CAH, 17-OHP as a tool to determine the adequacy of treatment, and to titrated doses. However, the application of the data obtained from these studies relies on the assumption that hydrocortisone doses are reliable and reproducible over time. Clearly, this is not the case during treatment with quartered 10mg tablets.
The Ph. Eur. monograph [21], states that a 10% deviation from the mean mass is allowed for tablets weighing ≤ 80 mg is allowed with no more than 2 out of the 20 individual masses deviating from the mean mass by 10%. Based on the mean mass of 59.83mg, the criteria would allow quartered tablets to have a mass in range 53.85 – 65.81mg. However 33 of the 80 (41.25%) quartered tablets failed to meet this specification.

This signifies the importance of the technique employed during tablet splitting, as there will be inevitably variation from person to person during the operation. Given the relationship between quartered tablet weight and hydrocortisone content (Figure 1), it is unsurprising that the coefficients of variation for these data are very similar (Table 2).

In a recent study [33] 8mm tablets were halved and their weight variation was compliant with pharmacopeial standards, but the tablets were split by an experienced pharmacist while in reality the process may not always be performed by a qualified healthcare professional. For example, parents or carers may be required to split tablets on a regular basis and the data in this study highlight the variations, which could be obtained if an untrained operator performs the subdivision of doses. Other previous studies have also highlighted the potential inter-operator variation obtained when splitting scored tablets [34, 35].

It is not possible to replicate the physiological, diurnal pattern of cortisol secretion using standard formulations of hydrocortisone, and patients experience highly non-physiological cortisol profiles, with periods when cortisol concentrations are excessively high, shortly after a dose of hydrocortisone, and prolonged periods of hypocortisolaemia between doses [36]. The half-life of hydrocortisone is short, requiring three to four doses a day, and concordance with treatment can be particularly difficult during adolescence. A future aim should be to produce alternative modified-release dosage forms to provide more consistent and tailored hydrocortisone release profiles, and a reduced frequency of dosing.

**Conclusions**

This study confirms that quartering of 10 mg hydrocortisone tablets produces an unacceptable variation in the weight of the quartered segments with 41.25% of the quartered tablets failing to meet the weight variation limits. In addition, 53.75% of the
quartered tablets were outside of ±10% stated content of API (2.5mg for the quartered tablets) proving that under and over dosing is a major risk in formulations manipulated in this way. The feasibility of industrial production of 3 mm mini-tablets with allowing delivery of more accurate doses of hydrocortisone than quartered tablets has been demonstrated.
What is already known on this topic:

- Children often require a hydrocortisone dose of 2.5 mg but there is no suitable, licensed, formulation available.
- Hydrocortisone 10 mg tablets, with functional break lines are split commonly in an attempt to deliver the correct dose.
- Mini-tablets are an alternative and acceptable oral dosage form for children as young as 6 months old.

What this study adds:

- Quartering 10 mg hydrocortisone tablets produces unacceptable dose variations.
- Additional variability in dosing could be introduced by different operators.
- It is feasible to produce 3 mm hydrocortisone mini-tablets that meet pharmacopoeial requirements of weight and dose uniformity.


[25] European Medicines Agency. List of criteria for screening PIPs with regard to paediatric specific Quality issues and referring them to the PDCO FWG for discussion. Available at:
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Figure 1. Correlation between weight and content of quartered hydrocortisone tablets.

Figure 2. Hydrocortisone release from mini-tablets in water at 37°C (mean values ± SD, n = 6)
Table 1. The composition of the mini-tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>% per batch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocortisone</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcrystalline Cellulose</td>
<td>22.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose monohydrate</td>
<td>51.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croscarmellose sodium</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloidal silicon dioxide</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium stearate</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Weight and content uniformity of hydrocortisone tablet quarters (n = 20 for each operator)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Mean weight (mg) ± SD</th>
<th>CV%</th>
<th>Range (mg)</th>
<th>Mean hydrocortisone content (mg) ± SD</th>
<th>CV%</th>
<th>Range (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>59.67 ± 11.49</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td>31.00 – 83.90</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.46</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>1.28 – 3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>60.18 ± 8.63</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>44.20 – 73.40</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.31</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td>1.67 – 2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>60.14 ± 4.39</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>54.30 – 69.00</td>
<td>2.22 ± 0.18</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>1.95 – 2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>59.33 ± 8.38</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>42.50 – 74.00</td>
<td>2.51 ± 0.36</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>1.84 – 3.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Weight (n = 20), strength and content (n = 10) of 3 mm hydrocortisone mini-tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean weight (mg) ± SD</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>Mean tensile strength (MPa) ± SD</th>
<th>Mean content (mg) ± SD</th>
<th>Mean content as a percentage of 2.5 mg target dose (%)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.40 ± 0.64</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>4.45 ± 0.50</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.04</td>
<td>101.68</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

Objectives: Children requiring cortisol replacement therapy are often prescribed hydrocortisone doses of 2.5 mg, but as this is commercially unavailable 10 mg tablets, with functional break lines, are split commonly in an attempt to deliver the correct dose. This study aimed to determine the dose variation obtained from quartered hydrocortisone tablets when different operators performed the splitting procedure and to ascertain whether better uniformity could be attained from mini-tablets as an alternative formulation.

Methods: Hydrocortisone 10 mg tablets were quartered by four different operators using a standard pill splitter. Hydrocortisone 2.5 mg mini-tablets (3 mm diameter) were formulated using a wet granulation method and manufactured using a high-speed rotary press simulator. The weight and content uniformity of the quartered tablets and mini-tablets were assessed according to pharmacopoeial standards. The physical strength and dissolution profiles of the mini-tablets were also determined.

Results: More than half of all quartered 10 mg tablets were outside of the ± 10% of the stated United States Pharmacopoeia hydrocortisone content (mean 2.34 mg, SD 0.36, CV 15.18%) and more than 40% of the quartered tablets were outside the European Pharmacopoeia weight variation. Robust mini-tablets (tensile strengths of > 4 MPa) were produced successfully. The mini-tablets passed the pharmacopoeial weight and content uniformity requirements (mean 2.54 mg, SD 0.04, CV 1.72%) and drug release criteria during in vitro dissolution testing.

Conclusion: This study confirmed that quartering 10 mg hydrocortisone tablets produces unacceptable dose variations and that it is feasible to produce 3 mm mini-tablets containing more accurate doses for paediatric patients.
Introduction

Hydrocortisone is the preferred cortisol replacement therapy in childhood, because it is of lower potency than the synthetic glucocorticoids and may be associated with fewer side effects [1]. Hydrocortisone doses of 8mg/m^2/day [2], given in three to four divided doses, are thought to be adequate for cortisol replacement therapy in childhood. Higher, supra-physiological doses of 10-15mg/m^2/day are used to treat patients with congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH) [3], in whom the goal of treatment is to achieve suppression of ACTH drive to the adrenal gland, while avoiding the adverse effects of glucocorticoid excess.

Liquid hydrocortisone formulations, such as a 1mg/ml oral suspension, are only available as unlicensed specials and in addition to the general limitations of transport, storage and stability there are potential concerns relating to the bioavailability of liquid hydrocortisone formulations [4]. In paediatric practice, 2.5mg hydrocortisone doses are prescribed frequently and to achieve these doses, it is recommended that tablets are divided or crushed [3]. Crushing and dissolving tablets may result in unacceptably high variability of dosing [2, 3] and it may be preferable to quarter 10 mg tablets. The hydrocortisone 10 mg tablets licensed for oral administration are quarter-scored, allowing them to be divided into equal halves or quarters [5]. However, despite the presence of functional break-lines, splitting may result in unequal parts thereby producing unequal doses and loss of mass due to crumbling [6].

To date, most data relating to the medium / long-term outcomes of children with CAH report features more likely to represent over, rather than under, dosing: obesity, insulin resistance, elevated leptin levels, dyslipidaemia and impaired glucose metabolism [7, 8, 9, 10]. However, working memory performance is lower in children with CAH than in unaffected relatives [11], and health related quality of life is also reported to be reduced, with boys and girls equally affected, suggesting that this is not simply related to androgen excess in girls and associated disorders of sex development [12]. Erratic and inadequate doses of hydrocortisone may contribute to these adverse effects.

No current licensed oral hydrocortisone formulation adequately meets the dosing requirements of children. Mini-tablets provide an alternate to standard tablets and oral
liquids mainly for paediatric patients, ≥ 4 years of age. Variations exist in the defined size of mini-tablets in literature, but a diameter of ≤ 3mm is commonly compatible with paediatric patients [13]. Mini-tablets can be administered to paediatric patients as young as 6 months old with their food / beverages and recent studies have demonstrated mini-tablets to be more acceptable than oral syrups [14, 15, 16].

**Aims and Objectives**

The aim of this study was to manufacture 3mm mini-tablets to provide a 2.5mg dose of hydrocortisone and to compare the content uniformity of the mini-tablets against quartered hydrocortisone tablets. The content uniformity of hydrocortisone mini-tablets and the quarters of commercial hydrocortisone tablets was also determined. The tensile strength of the mini-tablets and their compliance with the pharmacopoeial tests for dissolution and uniformity of mass were also assessed.
Materials and Methods

Materials

Hydrocortisone (10 mg) tablets (Auden Mckenzie Pharma Division Ltd, UK) were used for the dose uniformity study. Analytical grades of hydrocortisone (Sigma Aldrich, UK); water (Liverpool John Moores University, UK); Methanol (Sigma Aldrich, UK); and Acetonitrile (Fischer Scientific UK, Loughborough, UK) were used for HPLC analysis.

Mini-tablets were manufactured using hydrocortisone EP (Courtin and Warner, UK), Microcrystalline cellulose (Avicel® PH101, FMC, Brussels, Belgium), lactose (Pharmatose 200M, DFE Pharma, Goch, Germany), hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose 603 (Shin-Etsu Chemicals Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan), croscarmellose sodium (Ac-Di-Sol®, FMC Europe NV, Brussels, Belgium), silicon dioxide (Aerosil® 200, Degussa-Hüls AG, Frankfurt, Germany) and magnesium stearate (BDH Laboratory Supplies, Poole, UK).

Formulation and manufacture of mini-tablets

The mini-tablet formulation was prepared using a wet granulation technique to ensure uniformity of die fill, since adequate flowability of a formulation is essential for the manufacture of mini-tablets due to the small size of the die orifice [17]. All of the excipients listed in Table 1 (with the exception of the glidant (Aerosil®) and the lubricant (magnesium stearate) were blended with hydrocortisone for 5 minutes using a Turbula® Shaker Mixer type 2C (Willy A. Bachofen, Basel, Switzerland) at 42 RPM. The blended powder mixture was then transferred to a Model KM330 series planetary mixer (Kenwood, UK). Water (0.48 mL per g of powder) was added uniformly during mixing by spraying with an atomiser from a distance of 10 – 15 cm from the powder bed over a period of 15 minutes. The wet powder mass was screened evenly onto a flat, stainless steel tray and oven-dried overnight at 40°C. Dry granules were screened using a 1 mm aperture sieve prior to separation into size fractions using a laboratory shaker (Endecotts, UK). Granules in the size range 125 - 355 µm were subsequently blended with glidant (5 mins) and lubricant (2 mins) using the Turbula® Shaker Mixer. The bulk density (ρB) of the granules in the size range 125 - 355 µm, was determined by filling a measuring cylinder with a known weight of granules. The tapped density (ρT) was determined by dropping the volumetric cylinder 250 times from a height of 2.5 cm using a drop-box. The tapping procedure was repeated until there was no change in
the volume of the granule giving the tapped density. The % Carr’s Compressibility Index (Eqn 1) and Hausner Ratio (Eqn 2), both indicators of flowability [18] influencing key tablet parameters such as mechanical strength and weight uniformity, were calculated.

\[
\text{Carr’s Index} \ (\%) = \frac{\rho_T - \rho_B}{\rho_T} \times 100 \quad \text{Equation 1}
\]

\[
\text{Hausner Ratio} = \frac{\rho_T}{\rho_B} \quad \text{Equation 2}
\]

The mini-tablets were produced using a Stylcam® 100R rotary press simulator (Medel’Pharm, Beynost, France) fitted with 3 mm flat-faced, single-tip tooling at a speed of 20 RPM. A compression force of 1 to 2 kN (compression pressure of 140 – 280 MPa) was maintained with a fill height of 7.10 mm.

**Tensile Strength**

The dimensions of 10 mini-tablets were measured using a digital micrometer (Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan) and their crushing strengths were determined with a 6D Tablet Tester (Schleuniger, Germany). Values were used to calculate the tensile strength, \( \sigma \), using Equation 3 [19], where \( P \) is the crushing strength (N), \( D \) is the diameter (mm) and \( T \) is the tablet thickness (mm).

\[
\sigma = \frac{2P}{\pi DT} \quad \text{Equation 3}
\]

**Content uniformity analysis of hydrocortisone tablets and mini-tablets**

An Agilent 1200 series with Variable Wavelength Detector (Agilent, UK) set to 254-nm was used with a 4.6-mm x 15cm column containing 5µm packing of octadecyl silane chemically bonded to porous silica (Phenomenex, HyperClone 5µ ODS C18). The mobile phase (degassed 50:25:25 mixture of water, acetonitrile and methanol) flow rate was 1 mL min\(^{-1}\) and an injection volume of 10 µL was used. Chemstation Open lab CDS software for LC and LC-MS Rev C.01.05 (Agilent Technologies, UK) were used for all data analysis.
Standard solutions of hydrocortisone (0 to 0.2 %w/v) were prepared and filtered into HPLC vials using a 0.45 µm PTFE filter (Agilent, UK) and 5 mL syringe. The peak area-concentrations response was acceptably linear ($R^2 = 0.9985$) and thus a 0.01% w/v was used as a single point calibration for the assays. The retention time of hydrocortisone was 4.0 (±0.2) minutes. The active content of whole hydrocortisone 10 mg tablets was determined by weighing and dispersing individual tablets into 100 mL mobile phase by sonification. Each tablet was analysed in duplicate. The hydrocortisone content of quartered tablets was determined, each individual tablet was weighed and, using a Deluxe Pill Splitter (W+W Medsystems, UK), cut into quarters. Each quarter was weighed and dispersed into 25 mL mobile phase by sonification. Each tablet quarter was analysed in duplicate. Four individuals each quartered 5 tablets to account for inter-operator variability. The operators, comprising two students and two academics, had no previous experience of tablet splitting. The quarters were then weighed and assayed.

The active content of the 2.5 mg hydrocortisone mini-tablets was determined by weighing and dispersed individual mini-tablets into 25 mL mobile phase by sonification. As per USP 905 (Uniformity of Dosage Units), 10 whole mini-tablets were analysed per batch [20]. Each mini-tablet was analysed in duplicate. All solutions were filtered into HPLC vials using a 0.45 µm PTFE filter (Agilent, UK) and 5 mL syringe prior to analysis.

According to USP <905> Uniformity of dosage units, the acceptance value (AV) is calculated using Equation 4 where $X$ is the sample mean as a % of label claim, $k$ is 2.4 for L1 criteria and $s$ is the standard deviation of the sample [20]. $M$ is dependent on the sample mean and if $X \geq 98.5\%$ and $\leq 101.5\%$ of the label claim then $M = X$ and, as in the present study, $(M - X)$ becomes zero. The L1 criteria states that ten samples should be tested and the acceptance value should be ±15% [20].

$$\text{Acceptance Value} = (M - X) + ks \quad \text{Equation 4}$$

Weight Uniformity analysis of hydrocortisone tablets and mini-tablets

The Ph. Eur. Monograph “Uniformity of mass of single-dose preparations” method [21] was employed to determine the uniformity of weight of the 2.5 mg mini-tablets, and quartered
10 mg hydrocortisone tablets. Twenty tablets and mini-tablets were weighed individually and their mean weights calculated. The products failed if >2 of the individual tablets’ weight deviated by more than 10% (mini-tablets) or 7.5% (tablets) from the average weight and if one tablet weight deviated by > 20% (mini-tablets) or 15% (tablets) from the average weight [21]. For quartered tablets, the mean weight was calculated and the percentage of samples that failed to meet the same weight variation criteria as mini-tablets was calculated.

**Drug release from hydrocortisone mini-tablets**

The dissolution method from USP monograph for hydrocortisone tablets was used [22], utilising a Varian VK 7010 Dissolution apparatus (Agilent Technologies, UK) attached to UV spectrophotometer (Cary 50UV spectrophotometer) at 248nm. USP apparatus 2 (paddle apparatus), with a paddle speed of 50 RPM and 900 mL of water as the dissolution media (at 37°C) were used for the test [23].

**Statistical analysis**

The weight and content uniformity of tablet quarters (data were normally distributed) were compared by one-way analysis of variance using the Minitab 17.1.0 statistical software package (Minitab Inc., USA). A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Regression analysis was used to determine the correlation coefficient (R^2 value) between weight and content of quartered hydrocortisone tablets.

**Results**

**Splitting of hydrocortisone tablets**

The tablets used for the study were convex, diamond shaped and quarter-scored allowing them to be divided into equal halves or quarters [5]. The assay of the whole hydrocortisone tablets gave >98% recovery, which is within the specified 90-110% limits of the USP monograph for hydrocortisone tablets [22]. The mean weight of whole hydrocortisone tablets was 244.18 mg (SD 1.70 mg, CV 0.7%).
The recovered weights of the quartered tablets indicated that approximately 2% mass was lost during the subdivision process. The expected weight for each tablet quarter was 61.05mg, based on the mean whole tablet weight. The obtained weight was 59.83mg (SD 8.45mg). However 33 of the 80 (41%) quartered tablets failed to meet the Ph. Eur. monograph specification [21]. Based on the mean mass of 59.83mg, the criteria would allow quartered tablets to have a mass in range 53.85 – 65.81mg.

The mean content of hydrocortisone in all of the quartered tablets (n = 80) was 2.34 mg (94% of 2.5mg target dose), with a coefficient of variation of 15%, range 1.28 (51%) to 3.39 mg (136%). Forty-three of the 80 quartered tablets (54%) failed to achieve ± 10% (2.25 - 2.75 mg) of the target 2.5 mg dose.

Figure 1 shows the correlation between quartered tablet weight and hydrocortisone content, which explains the large number of substandard hydrocortisone doses in the quarters, as content is directly related to weight.

**Operator bias**

The data obtained by the four individual operators when performing the tablet quartering is summarised in Table 2. Although there was no significant difference in the mean weights (P = 0.206) or mean hydrocortisone content (P = 0.253) of the quartered tablets produced by the different operators, there were marked differences in the ranges obtained. All four operators produced quartered tablets outside of the weight variation limits specified. Splitting by Operator A resulted in a mean hydrocortisone content of 2.40 mg (96% of the target dose) but had the largest variation between the quantities; 51– 136% of the target 2.5 mg dose per quarter. Operators B and C obtained a mean quarter content of 2.23 and 2.22 mg (89% of 2.5mg target dose) respectively and Operator C had a much narrower range for the hydrocortisone content in quartered tablets at 78– 103 %. Operator D on the other hand obtained a mean hydrocortisone content of 2.51 mg (100.4% of target) but the range was relatively high at 73– 124% of the target dose. The mean hydrocortisone contents for operators B and C were outside of the ± 10% limit stated in the USP [20]. In addition to this, each of the operators had individual quarters that had hydrocortisone contents outside of the ± 10% limit.

**Mini-tablets**
Hydrocortisone mini-tablets were manufactured successfully under simulated rotary press production conditions. The tapped density of granules used for the manufacture of the mini-tablets was determined as 0.48 g/mL and the Carr’s index value of 16% and Hausner ratio of 1.19 indicated a fair flowability. The Stylcam® is a high precision, single station press capable of producing up to 2400 tablets/h using an automatic feeder operates using a mechanical cam, which produces a bi-axial compaction profile analogous to that of a rotary tablet press. Although mini-tablets were produced at a relatively high compression speed of 20 RPM (equivalent to a rotary press production rate of approximately 80,000 tablets per hour [24]), the flow of the granules from the hopper into the narrow die orifice during manufacture was satisfactory and all mini-tablets met the pharmacopoeial specification for uniformity of mass [21]. Consistent and high tensile strengths were also achieved throughout the batch as shown in Table 3, indicating a good compactibility of the granules. Figure 2 illustrates that hydrocortisone was released rapidly and consistently from mini-tablets under in vitro dissolution conditions. The full dose was released within 10 minutes from all mini-tablets thus passing the dissolution specification for immediate release dosage forms [23].

Content uniformity analysis carried out on 10 mini-tablets gave an acceptance value of 4.37% when using Equation 4 thus meeting the USP <905> Uniformity of Dosage L1 criteria. Furthermore, mini-tablets obtained a mean hydrocortisone content of 2.54 mg (101.68% of target dose) which is compliant to the USP [22]. These weight and content uniformity data for hydrocortisone mini-tablets demonstrate clear superiority over quartered 10 mg hydrocortisone tablets and, unlike manipulated tablets, mini-tablets did not fail compliance with any of the USP requirements.

Discussion

The availability of age-appropriate medicines for children as solid dosage forms remains a pressing need. The EMA Paediatric Committee’s Formulation Working Group recommends that for younger patients, those aged 6–8 years, tablets of 6–7 mm with appropriate shape are acceptable [25]. Growing evidence suggests that some children may have already acquired the ability to swallow tablets from an earlier age or can be taught using behavioural training interventions, especially those with severe diseases [26], such as...
children with HIV as young as 3 years who were prescribed stavudine as a solid dosage form [27].

There is limited evidence to support the use of dosage from manipulation to obtain an intended dose in paediatric practice [28]. A study in UK hospitals reported that in paediatric practice, 42%-62% of manipulations involved tablets and 6% of total manipulations were steroid drugs [29]. In the absence of an age-appropriate solid dosage form of hydrocortisone, parents/carers, young people and healthcare professionals are required to manipulate 10 mg tablets to derive an intended dose for use in paediatric practice. The data presented in this report clearly demonstrate that children treated with 2.5mg doses of hydrocortisone derived from quartered 10mg tablets are subject to unacceptable variability in hydrocortisone doses.

While minor fluctuations in doses may be of little significance, as hydrocortisone pharmacokinetics are influenced by a number of factors, including fasting status [30] puberty [31] and the time of day [32], the lowest doses obtained from quartered tablets may be associated with symptoms of cortisol deficiency, and in patients with CAH, loss of ACTH suppression.

As hydrocortisone doses are reduced in a drive to address the long-term morbidity associated with glucocorticoid excess, the margin for error in dosing is lower, and patients are at increased risk for the adverse effects of under-dosing due to formulation issues.

Clinical markers of glucocorticoid excess, such as slow growth or excess weight gain, require observation over an extended period, while features of cortisol insufficiency, such as tiredness, nausea or poor concentration may be subjective and difficult to assess in the young child. For this reason, some clinicians advocate the use of 24 hour profiles of cortisol and, in patients with CAH, 17-OHP as a tool to determine the adequacy of treatment, and to titrated doses. However, the application of the data obtained from these studies relies on the assumption that hydrocortisone doses are reliable and reproducible over time. Clearly, this is not the case during treatment with quartered 10mg tablets and this unpredictability makes interpretation of clinical symptoms or biochemical measures unreliable and dose titration and optimisation extremely difficult.
The Ph. Eur. monograph [21], states that a 10% deviation from the mean mass is allowed for tablets weighing ≤ 80 mg is allowed with no more than 2 out of the 20 individual masses deviating from the mean mass by 10%. Based on the mean mass of 59.83mg, the criteria would allow quartered tablets to have a mass in range 53.85 – 65.81mg. However 33 of the 80 (41.25%) quartered tablets failed to meet this specification.

This signifies the importance of the technique employed during tablet splitting, as there will be inevitably variation from person to person during the operation. Given the relationship between quartered tablet weight and hydrocortisone content (Figure 1), it is unsurprising that the coefficients of variation for these data are very similar (Table 2).

In a recent study [33] 8mm tablets were halved and their weight variation was compliant with pharmacopoeial standards, but the tablets were split by an experienced pharmacist while in reality the process may not always be performed by a qualified healthcare professional. For example, parents or carers may be required to split tablets on a regular basis and the data in this study highlight the variations, which could be obtained if an untrained operator performs the subdivision of doses. Other previous studies have also highlighted the potential inter-operator variation obtained when splitting scored tablets [34, 35].

It is not possible to replicate the physiological, diurnal pattern of cortisol secretion using standard formulations of hydrocortisone, and patients experience highly non-physiological cortisol profiles, with periods when cortisol concentrations are excessively high, shortly after a dose of hydrocortisone, and prolonged periods of hypocortisolaemia between doses [36]. The half-life of hydrocortisone is short, requiring three to four doses a day, and concordance with treatment can be particularly difficult during adolescence. A future aim should be to produce alternative modified-release dosage forms to provide more consistent and tailored hydrocortisone release profiles, and a reduced frequency of dosing.

**Conclusions**

This study confirms that quartering of 10 mg hydrocortisone tablets by untrained operators produces an unacceptable variation in the weight of the quartered segments with 41% of the quartered tablets failing to meet the weight variation limits. In addition, 54% of the...
quartered tablets were outside of ±10% stated content of API (2.5mg for the quartered tablets) proving that under and over dosing is a major risk in formulations manipulated in this way. The feasibility of industrial production of 3 mm mini-tablets with allowing delivery of more accurate doses of hydrocortisone than quartered tablets has been demonstrated.
What is already known on this topic:

- Children often require a hydrocortisone dose of 2.5 mg but there is no suitable, licensed, formulation available.
- Hydrocortisone 10 mg tablets, with functional break lines are split commonly in an attempt to deliver the correct dose.
- Mini-tablets are an alternative and acceptable oral dosage form for children as young as 6 months old.

What this study adds:

- Quartering 10 mg hydrocortisone tablets produces unacceptable dose variations.
- Additional variability in dosing could be introduced by different operators.
- It is feasible to produce 3 mm hydrocortisone mini-tablets that meet pharmacopoeial requirements of weight and dose uniformity.
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Figure 1. Correlation between weight and content of quartered hydrocortisone tablets.

Figure 2. Hydrocortisone release from mini-tablets in water at 37°C (mean values ± SD, n = 6)
Table 1. The composition of the mini-tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>% per batch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocortisone</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcrystalline Cellulose</td>
<td>22.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose monohydrate</td>
<td>51.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croscarmellose sodium</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloidal silicon dioxide</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium stearate</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Weight and content uniformity of hydrocortisone tablet quarters (n = 20 for each operator)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Mean weight, mg (SD)</th>
<th>CV%</th>
<th>Range (mg)</th>
<th>Mean hydrocortisone content, mg (SD)</th>
<th>CV%</th>
<th>Range (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>59.67 (11.49)</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td>31.00 – 83.90</td>
<td>2.40 (0.46)</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>1.28 – 3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>60.18 (8.63)</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>44.20 – 73.40</td>
<td>2.23 (0.31)</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td>1.67 – 2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>60.14 (4.39)</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>54.30 – 69.00</td>
<td>2.22 (0.18)</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>1.95 – 2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>59.33 (8.38)</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>42.50 – 74.00</td>
<td>2.51 (0.36)</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>1.84 – 3.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation, CV% = Coefficient of Variation
Table 3. Weight (n = 20), strength and content (n = 10) of 3 mm hydrocortisone mini-tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean weight, mg (SD)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>Mean tensile strength, MPa (SD)</th>
<th>Mean content, mg (SD)</th>
<th>Mean content as a percentage of 2.5 mg target dose (%)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.40 (0.64)</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>4.45 (0.50)</td>
<td>2.54 (0.04)</td>
<td>101.68</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation, CV% = Coefficient of Variation