

# BMJ Paediatrics Open

BMJ Paediatrics Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Paediatrics Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjpaedsopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Paediatrics Open's open peer review process please email [info.bmjpo@bmj.com](mailto:info.bmjpo@bmj.com)

## BMJ Paediatrics Open

### Anxiety in children attending a specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Journal:                      | <i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>  |
| Manuscript ID                 | bmjpo-2018-000271  |
| Article Type:                 | Original article   |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 20-Feb-2018  |
| Complete List of Authors:     | Last, Anna; Royal Holloway University of London, English, Jennifer; Great Ormond Street Hospital, Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases Unit; Great Ormond Street Hospital, Department of Clinical Psychology<br>Pote, Helen; Royal Holloway, University of London, Clinical Psychology<br>Shafran, Roz; UCL Institute of Child Health,<br>Owen, Tamsin; Royal Holloway University of London, Clinical Psychology<br>Kaski, Juan; Great Ormond Street Hospital, Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases Unit |
| Keywords:                     | Cardiology, Child Psychology   |
|                               |  |

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

# Anxiety in children attending a specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic

Anna Last<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer English<sup>2</sup>, Helen Pote,<sup>1</sup> Roz Shafran<sup>2</sup>, Tamsin Owen<sup>1</sup> & Juan Pablo Kaski<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Royal Holloway, London, England

<sup>2</sup> Centre for Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, England

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Cardiovascular Science, University College London, London, England

Correspondence:

Dr Juan Pablo Kaski  
Centre for Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases  
Great Ormond Street  
London  
WC1N 3JH  
+44 20 7829 8839  
[j.kaski@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:j.kaski@ucl.ac.uk)

**Word count:** 2500

**Key words:** Anxiety, Cardiac Focussed Anxiety, Children, Inherited Cardiac Arrhythmia Syndromes, Long QT Syndrome, Brugada Syndrome, Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia and Short QT syndrome.

## ABSTRACT

Objectives: Inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndromes are life threatening conditions. There is a paucity of research examining the psychological impact of these conditions in children. This study had three main aims. The first was the development of the Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire for children (CAQ-C). The second aim was to compare the level of anxiety of children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome to control children. The third aim was to examine associations between a Sudden Cardiac Death (SCD) in the immediate family and levels of anxiety.

Method: 47 children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome, 78 children with a family history and 75 control children completed the Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale (RCADS), the CAQ-C and the Childhood Anxiety Sensitivity Index (CASI). Children were between the age of 8 and 16.

Results: The study found the CAQ-C had promising psychometric properties. There were no significant differences in total anxiety scores (as measured by the RCADS) between the three groups. There were significant differences in cardiac focused anxiety scores between the three groups.

Conclusions: Children attending specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinics should be targeted for routine psychological screening and offered psychological intervention where necessary.

## INTRODUCTION

Inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndromes are a group of life threatening conditions that leave children at risk of sudden cardiac death (SCD) [1]. Unfortunately these conditions do not always present with symptoms and test abnormalities are often intermittent creating a complex diagnostic process [1]. These conditions can also develop over time which means ongoing screening is required for children who have been diagnosed and children who have a family history [1].

The life threatening nature of these conditions and the strong hereditary pattern are likely to create significant anxiety. Furthermore the complex diagnostic process and the need for ongoing monitoring can create much uncertainty. A growing body of research had demonstrated a relationship between illness uncertainty and anxiety in childhood illness [2]. Despite this very little research has focussed on the psychological impact of these conditions in children.

Meulenkamp et al.[3] conducted a qualitative study and found children with Long QT Syndrome (LQTS) worried about the effectiveness of their medication and possible SCD. Guifre, Gupta, Crawford and Leung [4] conducted a quantitative study comparing 40 children with asthma to 7 children with LQTS. The results suggested children with LQTS experienced significant anxiety. However the small sample size and the absence of a control group limit conclusions that can be drawn.

Previous research has also not considered how psychological theory may explain the distress these children may experience. Eifert, Zvolensky and Lejuez [5] describe a cognitive behavioural model of cardiac focussed anxiety in adults. The model draws on empirically supported models of panic disorder [6] and health anxiety [7] but is specifically related to the heart. The model could be applied to help

1  
2  
3 understand the distress these children may experience and has direct treatment  
4 implications.  
5  
6

7  
8 Eifert, Thompson, Zvolensky et al.[8] developed the brief self-report Cardiac  
9 Anxiety Questionnaire (CAQ) to aid the diagnosis of cardiac focussed anxiety in  
10 adults presenting at busy medical settings. However there is no measure of cardiac  
11 focussed anxiety in children.  
12  
13  
14  
15

16  
17  
18 Due to the lack of previous research the proposed study aimed to:  
19

- 20 - Develop the Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire for children (CAQ-C).
- 21 - Compare the level of anxiety of children with an inherited cardiac  
22 arrhythmia syndrome and children being screened due to a family history  
23 to control children.  
24  
25 - Examine the association between SCD in the immediate family and levels  
26 of anxiety.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

## 34 **METHOD**

### 35 **Participants**

36  
37 A total of 200 children participated in the study as detailed below.  
38

#### 39 *Specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic*

40  
41  
42 Between July and December 2015 156 children (between the ages of 8 and 16) with  
43 either an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome or a family history were invited to  
44 take part. 80% (N=125) agreed to take part. 47 children had an inherited cardiac  
45 arrhythmia syndrome and 78 children were being screened due to a family history.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### *Control*

240 control children (between the ages of 8 and 16) who did not have a cardiac condition or were not screened regularly due to a family history were invited to take part from a local primary and secondary school. 31% (N=75) of children agreed to take part.

### **Ethical considerations**

This study was given favourable opinion by the Camden and Kings Cross National Health Service Research Ethics Committee and the Royal Holloway University of London Research Ethics Committee.

### **Measures**

All children completed the CAQ-C, the Childhood Anxiety Sensitivity Index (CASI) [9] and the Revised Children's Anxiety and Depression Scale (RCADS) [10]. Medical information was extracted from the child's medical notes.

#### *Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire for children (CAQ-C)*

The CAQ-C was designed to assess cardiac focussed anxiety in children. The CAQ is an 18-item self-report-questionnaire designed for adults [8]. Items are rated on a 5 point Likert from 0 (never) to 4 (always). The CAQ yields a total cardiac focussed anxiety score. It also has three subscales; fear, avoidance and attention. Eifert, Thompson, Zvolensky et al.[8] reported favourable validity and reliability in a clinical population. Minor adaptations were made to make the questionnaire child friendly.

### CASI

The CASI was chosen as a child appropriate measure of anxiety sensitivity [9]. The adult version of the CASI showed moderate correlations with the CAQ in an adult sample. The CASI assesses an individual's level of fear of anxiety related symptoms (e.g. rapid heartbeat) based on the belief that such sensations have harmful consequences. It is designed for children between the ages of 6 and 17. The CASI is an 18-item self-report questionnaire. Items are rated on a three point Likert scale from 1 (none) to 3 (a lot). The CASI yields a total score. Silverman et al.[9] report favourable validity and reliability in a clinical and non-clinical population.

### RCADS

The RCADS was used because it is a comprehensive and psychometrically sound measure of anxiety in children [10]. The RCADS is a 47-item self-report questionnaire. Items are rated on a 4 point Likert scale as to how frequently the behaviour typically occurs from 0 (never) to 3 (always). The RCADS yields a total anxiety score. Chorpita et al.[10] and Choprita, Moffitt and Gray [11] reported favourable validity and reliability in a clinical and non-clinical population.

### Procedure

Children attending the specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic completed questionnaires before or in between their medical assessments, but before they saw the consultant cardiologist. The control children were invited to take part during school time.



## RESULTS

### Data entry

All data were analysed using SPSS (version 21) and checked once for inaccuracies.

### Data tidying

No questionnaire item had more than 5% missing values across the sample. Therefore no further investigations regarding missing data were conducted [12]. If an individual questionnaire had missing data it was excluded from subsequent analyses [12]. Outliers were also identified and removed [12]. The data were considered to be sufficiently normally distributed so no transformations were performed [12].

### Characterising the sample

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the sample.

Table 2 and Table 3 show the clinical characteristics of the children attending the specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic

**Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the sample**

|                               | <b>Children diagnosed with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome (n =47)</b> | <b>Children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome (n =78)</b> | <b>Control children (n = 75)</b> |
|-------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|
| <b>Age of child, years</b>    |   |  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                     | 13.18 (2.34)  | 12.90 (2.58)   | 12.08 (2.39)                     |
| Minimum/maximum (range)       | 8.40/16.49 (8.09)   | 8.05/16.91 (8.86)  | 8.24/15.99 (7.75)                |
| <b>Gender, n (%)</b>          |   |  |                                  |
| Male                          | 21 (45%)  | 37 (48%)   | 27 (36%)                         |
| Female                        | 26 (55%)  | 41 (52%)   | 48 (64%)                         |
| <b>Ethnicity, n (%)</b>       |   |  |                                  |
| White                         | 30 (64%)  | 53 (68%)   | 63 (84%)                         |
| Black or Black British        | 5 (11%)   | 10 (13%)   | 4 (5%)                           |
| Mixed                         | 4 (9%)  | 8 (10%)  | 5 (7%)                           |
| Asian or Asian British        | 6 (13%)   | 6 (8%)   | 0 (0%)                           |
| Chinese                       | 0 (0%)  | 0 (0%)   | 0 (0%)                           |
| Other                         | 0 (0%)  | 0 (0%)   | 2 (3%)                           |
| Missing                       | 2 (4%)  | 1 (1%)   | 1 (1%)                           |
| <b>Family Structure n (%)</b> |   |  |                                  |
| Nuclear Family                | 29 (62%)  | 49 (62%)   | 63 (84%)                         |
| Single Parent                 | 14 (30%)  | 17 (22%)   | 7 (9%)                           |
| Step family                   | 3 (6%)  | 7 (9%)   | 4 (5%)                           |
| Extended family               | 1 (2%)  | 3 (4%)   | 0 (0%)                           |
| Children in care              | 0 (0%)  | 2 (3%)   | 0 (0%)                           |
| Missing                       | 0 (0%)  | 0 (0%)   | 1 (1%)                           |
| <b>Socio Economic</b>         |   |  |                                  |

| <b>Status (SES), n (%)</b>                                     |          |          |          |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations | 14 (30%) | 25 (32%) | 7 (9%)   |
| Lower managerial, administrative and professional occupations  | 5 (11%)  | 20 (26%) | 36 (48%) |
| Intermediate occupations                                       | 2 (4%)   | 3 (4%)   | 5 (7%)   |
| Small employers and own account workers                        | 0 (0%)   | 1 (1%)   | 4 (5%)   |
| Lower supervisory, craft and related occupations               | 9 (19%)  | 13 (17%) | 7 (9%)   |
| Semi-routine occupations                                       | 10 (21%) | 8 (10%)  | 5 (7%)   |
| Routine occupations  | 3 (6%)   | 3 (4%)   | 3 (4%)   |
| Unemployed   | 4 (9%)   | 1 (1%)   | 0 (0%)   |
| Missing  | 0 (0%)   | 4 (5%)   | 8 (11%)  |

**Table 2: Clinical characteristics of the children diagnosed with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome**

|   | Children diagnosed with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome (n=47) |
|---|---|
| <b>Time since diagnosis, days</b>                     |   |
| Mean (SD)   | 1444.31 (1062.27)   |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                               | 42/4678 (4636)  |
| <b>Diagnosis, n (%)</b>                               |   |
| Long QT Syndrome                                      | 29 (62%)  |
| Brugada Syndrome                                      | 11 (23%)  |
| Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia | 5 (11%)   |
| Short QT syndrome                                     | 1 (2%)  |
| Unknown   | 1 (2%)  |
| <b>Symptoms, n (%)</b>                                |   |
| No  | 27 (57%)  |
| Yes   | 20 (43%)  |
| <b>Out of hospital cardiac arrest, n (%)</b>          |   |
| No  | 44 (94%)  |
| Yes   | 3 (6%)  |
| <b>Treatment, n (%)</b>                               |   |
| None  | 13 (28%)  |
| Medication  | 27 (57%)  |
| Medication + ICD                                      | 7 (15%)   |
| <b>History of SCD in immediate family, n (%)</b>      |   |
| No  | 41 (87%)  |
| Yes   | 6 (13%)   |

**Table 3: Clinical characteristics of the children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome**

| Children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome (N = 78) |                        |
|--|------------------------|
| <b>Time since first screening, days</b>  |                        |
| Mean (SD)  | 1285                   |
| Minimum/maximum (range)  | 0.00/3976.00 (3976.00) |
| <b>Diagnosis in family, n (%)</b>  |                        |
| SADS   | 30 (39%)               |
| LQTS   | 21 (27%)               |
| Brugada Syndrome   | 16 (21%)               |
| Unknown  | 11 (14%)               |
| <b>History of SCD in immediate family, n (%)</b>   |                        |
| No   | 47 (60%)               |
| Yes  | 31 (40%)               |

### Confounding variables

Age and gender have previously been shown to be associated with anxiety in children [10]. The impact of age on RCADS total anxiety and CAQ-C total cardiac focussed anxiety scores within this sample were examined using a Pearson's correlation. Across the sample there was no significant correlation between age and RCADS total anxiety scores ( $r(161) = 0.02, p = 0.76$ ) or between age and total CAQ-C cardiac focussed anxiety scores ( $r(196) = 0.13, p = 0.07$ ) scores. Therefore age was not controlled for in subsequent analysis. The impact of gender on RCADS total anxiety and CAQ-C total cardiac focussed anxiety scores within this sample were examined using an independent t test. Females had significantly higher scores than males on their total RCADS scores ( $t(163) = 4.6, p < 0.001$ ). Females and males did not differ on their total CAQ-C cardiac focussed anxiety scores ( $t(187) = 0.73, p = 0.46$ ). A chi square was conducted to establish whether the three groups differed on their gender distribution. The groups did not differ on their gender distribution ( $\chi^2(2) = 2.2, p = 0.34$ ). Therefore gender was not controlled for in subsequent analysis.

### Psychometric properties of the CAQ-C:

#### *Internal consistency*

The Cronbach alpha coefficient for the total CAQ-C score was in the good range ( $\alpha=0.83$ ). The Cronbach alpha coefficients for the subscales were in the marginal to good range (Table 4).

**Table 4: Internal consistency of the CAQ-C in a child population (N=189)**

|                          | <b>Cronbach value</b> | <b>Standard</b> |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| <b>CAQ-C Total scale</b> | 0.83*                 | Good            |
| <b>CAQ-C Fear</b>        | 0.70*                 | Acceptable      |
| <b>CAQ-C Avoidance</b>   | 0.82*                 | Good            |
| <b>CAQ-C Attention</b>   | 0.64*                 | Marginal        |

\*p = &lt;0.001

***Convergent validity***

A Pearson correlation found there was a significant positive correlation between the CAQ-C total score and the CASI. Pearson correlations also found there were significant positive correlations between the CAQ-C subscales and the CASI (Table 5).

**Table 5: Convergent validity of the CAQ and CASI**

|                                     | <b>CASI</b> | <b>Standard</b> |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| <b>CAQ-C Total scale</b><br>(N=172) | 0.62*       | Good            |
| <b>CAQ-C Fear</b><br>(N=170)        | 0.56*       | Good            |
| <b>CAQ-C Avoidance</b><br>(N=172)   | 0.39*       | Acceptable      |
| <b>CAQ-C Attention</b><br>(N=169)   | 0.46*       | Acceptable      |

\*p&lt;0.001

N.B Different N values are due to different patterns of missing data and different number of outliers across the CAQ and the CASI

### *Anxiety in children attending a specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinics*

A one way independent ANOVA showed the three groups (children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome, children being screened due to a family history and control children) did not differ significantly on their RCADS scores ( $F(2,162) = 2.64$ ,  $p = 0.07$ ) (Table 6). Therefore no follow up t tests were conducted.

A one way independent ANOVA showed the three groups differed significantly on their total CAQ-C scores ( $F(2, 186) = 6.02$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ). Fisher's protected t tests show that children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome scored significantly higher than children being screened due to a family history ( $t(67) = 2.85$ ,  $p = 0.006$ ). Children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome also scored significantly higher than control children ( $t(109) = 3.03$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ). There were no significant differences between children being screened due to a family history and control children ( $t(146) = 0.15$ ,  $p = 0.88$ ).

A one way independent ANOVA showed the three groups differed significantly on their CAQ-C fear scores ( $F(2, 184) = 6.23$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ). Fisher's protected t tests show that children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome scored significantly higher than children being screened due to a family history ( $t(115) = 3.57$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ). Children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome also scored significantly higher than control children ( $t(109) = 2.80$ ,  $p = 0.006$ ). There were no significant differences between children being screened due to a family history and control children ( $t(130) = 0.27$ ,  $p = 0.79$ ) (Table 6).

A one way independent ANOVA showed the three groups differed significantly on their CAQ-C avoidance scores ( $F(2, 186) = 3.23$ ,  $p = 0.04$ ). Fisher's protected t tests show that children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome scored



1  
2  
3 significantly higher than children being screened due to a family history ( $t(63) =$   
4 2.05,  $p = 0.04$ ). There were no significant differences between children being  
5 screened due to a family history and control children ( $t(146) = 0.25$ ,  $p = 0.80$ ). There  
6  
7 were also no significant differences between children with an inherited cardiac  
8  
9 arrhythmia syndrome and control children ( $t(63) = 1.88$   $p = 0.06$ ) (Table 6).  
10  
11  
12  
13

14 A one way independent ANOVA showed the three groups did not differ  
15 significantly on their CAQ-C attention scores ( $F(2,183) = 2.64$ ,  $p = 0.07$ ) Therefore  
16  
17 no follow up t tests were conducted. (Table 6)  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 6: Mean RCAD and CAQ-C scores**

|  | Cardiac arrhythmia syndrome | Family history   | Control          | ANOVA P value |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| <b>RCAD Total Anxiety score:</b>             |                             |                  |                  |               |
| N  | 38                          | 64               | 63               |               |
| Mean (SD)                                    | 24.76 (15.24)               | 23.22 (11.22)    | 28.76 (15.38)    |               |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                      | 2/54 (52)                   | 7/53 (46)        | 3/68 (65)        | 0.07          |
| <b>CAQ-C Total Cardiac focussed anxiety:</b> |                             |                  |                  |               |
| N  | 41                          | 78               | 70               |               |
| Mean (SD)                                    | 1.24 (0.62) * <sup>+</sup>  | 0.93 (0.49)      | 0.92 (0.51)      |               |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                      | 0.39/2.83 (2.44)            | 0.22/2.39 (2.17) | 0.06/2.28 (2.22) | 0.003         |
| <b>CAQ-C Fear:</b>                           |                             |                  |                  |               |
| N  | 41                          | 76               | 70               |               |
| Mean (SD)                                    | 1.42 (0.63) * <sup>+</sup>  | 1.04 (0.51)      | 1.07 (0.66)      |               |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                      | 0/3 (3)                     | 0.13/2.50 (2.37) | 0.00/2.63 (2.63) | 0.002         |
| <b>CAQ-C Avoidance</b>                       |                             |                  |                  |               |
| N  | 41                          | 78               | 70               |               |
| Mean (SD)                                    | 1.12 (1.04)*                | 0.74 (0.75)      | 0.77 (0.72)      |               |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                      | 0.00/3.60 (3.60)            | 0.00/3.00 (3.00) | 0.00/2.40 (2.40) | 0.04          |
| <b>CAQ-C Attention</b>                       |                             |                  |                  |               |
| N  | 40                          | 77               | 69               |               |
| Mean (SD)                                    | 1.03 (0.56)                 | 0.82 (0.54)      | 0.79 (0.54)      |               |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                      | 0.20/2.20 (2.00)            | 0.00/2.60 (2.60) | 0.00/2.20 (2.00) | 0.07          |

\*Fisher's protected t test indicate significant differences between children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome ( $p < 0.05$ )

+Fisher's protected t tests indicate significant differences between children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and control children ( $p < 0.05$ )

N.B Different N values are due to different patterns of missing data and different number of outliers across the RCADS and CAQ-C

### *Associations between SCD in the immediate family and levels of anxiety*

An independent t test found there were no significant differences in total cardiac focussed anxiety between children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome who had experienced a SCD in the immediate family and children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome who had not experienced a SCD in the immediate family ( $t(39) = 1.13, p = 0.27$ ) (Table 7).

An independent t test found children being screened due to a family history who had experienced a SCD in the immediate family had higher total cardiac focussed anxiety scores than children being screened due to a family history who had not experienced a SCD in the immediate family ( $t(76) = 2.2, p = 0.03$ ) (Table 7).

**Table 7: Anxiety in children who have experienced a SCD in the immediate family**

|  | Cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and SCD in the immediate family<br>(N=5) | Cardiac arrhythmia syndrome with no SCD in the immediate family<br>(N=36) | T test P Value | Family history and SCD in the immediate family<br>(N=47) | Family history with no SCD in the immediate family<br>(N=31) | T test P value |
|--|--|---|----------------|--|--|----------------|
| <b>CAQ-C Total Cardiac focussed anxiety score:</b> |  |   |                |  |  |                |
| Mean (SD)  | 1.53 (0.58)  | 1.20 (0.62)   | 0.27           | 1.08 (0.56)  | 0.83 (0.42)  | 0.03           |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                            | 0.94/2.39 (1.44)   | 0.39/2.83 (2.44)  |                | 0.22/2.39 (2.17)   | 0.22/1.94 (1.72)   |                |

bmjpo: first published as 10.1136/bmjpo-2018-000271 on 30 August 2018. Downloaded from <http://bmjpaedsopen.bmj.com/> on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

## DISCUSSION

### Overview

This study had three aims. The first was a preliminary examination of the psychometric properties of the CAQ-C. The second was to compare the level of anxiety of children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children being screened due to a family history to control children. The third was to examine the association between SCD in the immediate family and levels of anxiety.

### Findings

Reliability analysis showed that the internal consistency of the CAQ-C total scale and subscales were adequate in a child population. Specifically internal consistency values were in the marginal to good range. The significant correlation between the CAQ-C total scale and the CASI, and the significant correlations between the CAQ-C subscales and the CASI, indicates the CAQ-C also had adequate convergent validity properties within a child population. Together the reliability and validity analysis indicate that the CAQ-C has promising psychometric properties.

There were no significant differences in total anxiety scores (as measured by the RCADS) between children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome, children being screened due to a family history and control children. However there were significant differences in total cardiac focused anxiety scores (as measured by the CAQ-C). Children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome had significantly higher total cardiac focussed anxiety scores than children being screened due to a family history. There were no significant differences between children being screened due to a family history and control children. A similar pattern was evident for the CAQ-C fear scale. A slightly different pattern was evident

1  
2  
3 for the CAQ-C avoidance scale. Children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia  
4 syndrome scored significantly higher than children being screened due to a family  
5 history. There were no significant differences between children being screened due  
6 to a family history and control children. There were also no significant differences  
7 between children diagnosed with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and  
8 control children. However it is likely this slight exception is due to the smaller sample  
9 size of the inherited cardiac arrhythmia group and a consequent slight lack of power.  
10 Contrastingly there were no significant differences in CAQ-C attention scores  
11 between the three groups. Again this could be due to lack of power. However it  
12 could also be that items such as 'I can feel my heart in my chest' and 'I check my  
13 pulse' are less relevant to children compared to adults because children do not have  
14 the cognitive understanding of their condition to monitor themselves in such a  
15 manner.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 This study also examined the associations between SCD in the immediate  
32 family and anxiety. There were no significant differences in total cardiac focussed  
33 anxiety between children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome who had  
34 experienced a SCD in the immediate family and children with an inherited cardiac  
35 arrhythmia syndrome who had not experienced a SCD in the immediate family. This  
36 could be due to a lack of power. It may also be that these children experience  
37 heightened cardiac focussed anxiety due to their condition regardless of whether  
38 they have also experienced a SCD in the immediate family. In contrast children  
39 being screened due to a family history who had experienced a SCD in the immediate  
40 family had higher total cardiac focussed anxiety scores than children being screened  
41 due to a family history who had not experienced a SCD.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Limitations

The current study only provides a preliminary examination of the psychometric properties of the CAQ-C. The retest reliability and clinical cut offs for the CAQ-C need to be established before it can be used routinely.

The sample size for the children with inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome group may have been slightly underpowered. However it is one of the largest studies to date in this population group (across adults, children and parents) and the sample size is very respectable given the rarity of the syndromes. The 80% recruitment rate suggests it is representative of children attending specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinics.

The current study included children who had different inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndromes and children who had a family history of different inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndromes. Levels of anxiety may differ according to what inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome a child has or has a family history of.

## Conclusion:

Children with a cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children who have a family history who have experienced a SCD in the immediate family are at risk of anxiety. These children should be targeted for routine screening and offered psychological input where necessary. Research suggests CBT could be an effective treatment [13,14].

*This study was supported by the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust and University College London. The views expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.*

### **What is already known on this topic?**

The limited research that exists suggests children attending a specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic may experience elevated levels of anxiety. Eifert, Zvolensky and Lejuez describe a cognitive behavioural model of cardiac focussed anxiety in adults that could be applied to help explain the distress these children may experience. A measure of cardiac focussed anxiety has been developed in adults but not in children.

### **What this study adds?**

This study developed the CAQ-C. This allowed an examination of cardiac focussed anxiety in children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children being screened due to a family history for the first time. Equally it is the first time associations between clinical variables and high levels of anxiety in these groups have been examined.



## REFERENCES

1. Giudici, V., Spanaki. A., Hendry, J., Mead-Regan, S., Field, E., Zuccotti, G.V., Abrams, D., Lowe, M., Kaski, J.P. (2014). Sudden arrhythmic death syndrome: diagnostic yield of comprehensive clinical evaluation of pediatric first-degree relatives. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*, 37, 1681-1685
2. Stewart ,J.L. & Mishel, M.H. (2000) Uncertainty in childhood illness: A synthesis of the parent and child literature. *Sch Inq Nurs Prac*, 14, 299–319.
3. Meulenkamp, T., Tibben, A., Mollema, E., van Lagen I., Wiegman, A., de Wert, G., Beaufort, I., Wilde, A., & Smets, E. (2008). Predictive Genetic Testing for Cardiovascular Diseases: Impact on Carrier Children. *Am J Med Genet*, 146, 3136–3146.
4. Guifre, R.M., Gupta, S., Crawford, S.G, & Leung, A.K.C. (2008) Fears and anxiety in children with Long QT Syndrome compared to children with Asthma. *J Natl Med Assoc*, 100, 420-4.
5. Eifert, G., Zvolensky, M., & Lejuez, C. (2000). Heart focussed anxiety and Chest Pain: A Conceptual and Clinical Review. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 7, 403-417.
6. Barlow, D. H. (1988). *Anxiety and its Disorders*. New York: Guildford Press.

- 1  
2  
3 7. Clark, D. (1986). A cognitive approach to panic. *Behav Res Ther*, 24, 461–  
4  
5 470.  
6  
7
- 8  
9 8. Eifert, G., Thompson, R., Zvolensky, M., Edwards, K., Frazer, N., John W.  
10 Haddad, J., & Davig, J. (2000). The Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire:  
11 development and preliminary validity *Behav Res Ther.*, 38, 1039-1049.  
12  
13
- 14  
15 9. Silverman, W. K., Flesig, W., Rabian, B., & Peterson, R. A. (1991).  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
10. Chorpita, B.F., Yim, L., Moffitt, C., Umemoto, L., & Francis, S. (2000).  
Assessment of symptoms of DSM-IV anxiety and depression in children: a  
Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Behav Res Ther*, 38, 835-855
11. Chorpita, B.F., Moffitt, C.E., & Gray, J. (2005). Psychometric properties of the  
Revised Child Anxiety and Depression scale in a child sample. *Behav Res  
Ther*, 43, 309-322.
12. Tabachnick, B., & Fidell, L. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics* (5th edition).  
New York: Harper Collins.

1  
2  
3  
4 13. Eifert, G. H., & Lau, A. W. (2001). Using behavioural experiments in the  
5 treatment of cardiophobia: A case study. *Cogn Behav Pract* 8, 305-317.  
6  
7  
8

9  
10 14. Bennett, S., Shafran, R., Coughtrey, A., Walker, S., & Heyman, I. (2015).  
11 Psychological interventions for mental health disorders in children with chronic  
12 physical illness: a systematic review. *Arch Dis Child*, 100, 308-316.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## BMJ Paediatrics Open

### Anxiety in children attending a specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic; a questionnaire study.

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Journal:                      | <i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>  |
| Manuscript ID                 | bmjpo-2018-000271.R1   |
| Article Type:                 | Original article   |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 01-May-2018  |
| Complete List of Authors:     | Last, Anna; Royal Holloway University of London, English, Jennifer; Great Ormond Street Hospital, Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases Unit; Great Ormond Street Hospital, Department of Clinical Psychology<br>Pote, Helen; Royal Holloway, University of London, Clinical Psychology<br>Shafran, Roz; UCL Institute of Child Health,<br>Owen, Tamsin; Royal Holloway University of London, Clinical Psychology<br>Kaski, Juan; Great Ormond Street Hospital, Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases Unit |
| Keywords:                     | Cardiology, Child Psychology   |

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Anxiety in children attending a specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic; a questionnaire study.

Anna Last<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer English<sup>2</sup>, Helen Pote,<sup>1</sup> Roz Shafran<sup>2</sup>, Tamsin Owen<sup>1</sup> & Juan Pablo Kaski<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Royal Holloway, London, England

<sup>2</sup> Centre for Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, England

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Cardiovascular Science, University College London, London, England

Dr Anna Last conducted this research for her thesis during her clinical psychology doctorate.

Dr Jennifer English and Dr Roz Shafran were the field supervisors for the thesis.

Dr Tamsin Owen and Dr Helen Pote were the academic supervisors for the thesis.

Dr Juan Pablo Kaski is the consultant cardiologist in the clinic where the research took place.

There was no funding attached to this thesis and no competing interests.

Correspondence:

Dr Juan Pablo Kaski  
Centre for Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases  
Great Ormond Street  
London  
WC1N 3JH  
+44 20 7829 8839  
[j.kaski@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:j.kaski@ucl.ac.uk)

**Word count:** 3873

**Key words:** Anxiety, Cardiac Focussed Anxiety, Children, Inherited Cardiac Arrhythmia Syndromes, Long QT Syndrome, Brugada Syndrome, Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia, Short QT syndrome and Early Repolarisation Syndrome

## ABSTRACT

Objectives: Inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndromes are life threatening conditions. There is a paucity of research examining the psychological impact of these conditions in children. This study had three main aims. The first was to explore how the Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire (CAQ-C) performs in a child population. The second aim was to compare the level of anxiety of children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome to control children. The third aim was to examine associations between a Sudden Cardiac Death (SCD) in the immediate family and levels of anxiety.

Method: 47 children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome, 78 children with a family history and 75 control children completed the Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale (RCADS), the CAQ-C and the Childhood Anxiety Sensitivity Index (CASI). Children were between the age of 8 and 16.

Results: The study found the CAQ-C had promising psychometric properties. There were no significant differences in total anxiety scores (as measured by the RCADS) between the three groups. There were significant differences in cardiac focused anxiety scores between the three groups.

Conclusions: The CAQ has promising psychometric properties in a child population. However further research is needed. Children attending specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinics should be targeted for routine psychological screening and offered psychological intervention where necessary.

## INTRODUCTION

Inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndromes are a group of life threatening conditions that leave children at risk of sudden cardiac death (SCD) [1]. There are several different types of inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndromes including; Long QT Syndrome (LQTS), Brugada Syndrome, Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia (CPVT), Short QT Syndrome and Early Repolarisation Syndrome [1]. Inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndromes affect approximately 1 in 2000 people [1]. They are inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. This means children and siblings of those affected have a 50% chance of inheriting the condition [1]. Unfortunately these conditions do not always present with symptoms and test abnormalities are often intermittent creating a complex diagnostic process [1]. These conditions can also develop over time which means ongoing screening is required for children who have been diagnosed and children who have a family history [1].

The life threatening nature of these conditions and the strong hereditary pattern are likely to create significant anxiety. Furthermore the complex diagnostic process and the need for ongoing monitoring can create much uncertainty. A growing body of research had demonstrated a relationship between illness uncertainty and anxiety in childhood illness [2]. Despite this very little research has focussed on the psychological impact of these conditions in children.

Meulenkamp et al. [3] conducted a qualitative study and found children with Long QT Syndrome (LQTS) worried about the effectiveness of their medication and possible SCD. Guifre, Gupta, Crawford and Leung [4] conducted a quantitative study comparing 40 children with asthma to 7 children with LQTS. The results

1  
2  
3 suggested children with LQTS experienced significant anxiety. However the small  
4 sample size and the absence of a control group limit conclusions that can be drawn.  
5  
6

7  
8 Previous research has also not considered how psychological theory may  
9 explain the distress these children may experience. Eifert, Zvolensky and Lejuez [5]  
10 describe a cognitive behavioural model of cardiac focussed anxiety in adults. The  
11 model draws on empirically supported models of panic disorder [6] and health  
12 anxiety [7] but is specifically related to the heart. The model could be applied to help  
13 understand the distress these children may experience.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 Eifert, Thompson, Zvolensky et al. [8] developed the brief self-report Cardiac  
22 Anxiety Questionnaire (CAQ) to aid the diagnosis of cardiac focussed anxiety in  
23 adults presenting at busy medical settings. However there is no measure of cardiac  
24 focussed anxiety in children.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31  
32 Due to the lack of previous research the proposed study aimed to:  
33

- 34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- Explore how the Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire (CAQ-C) performs in a child population.
  - Compare the level of anxiety of children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children being screened due to a family history to control children.
  - Examine the association between SCD in the immediate family and levels of anxiety.



## METHOD

### Participants

A total of 200 children participated in the study as detailed below.

#### *Specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic*

The specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic ran weekly at a national paediatric hospital. This clinic is the largest in Europe and there are few other clinics in the UK. Between July and December 2015 children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome or a family history who attended the specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic were invited to take part. The researcher approached consecutive patients in clinic. 156 children (between the ages of 8 and 16) were approached. 80% (N=125) agreed to take part. 47 children had an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and 78 children were being screened due to a family history.

#### *Control*

Four local primary and four secondary schools were approached using an opportunistic sampling method. One primary school and one secondary school agreed to take part. A class from each year group (year 4 to 11) were randomly selected. 240 control children (between the ages of 8 and 16) who did not have a cardiac condition or were not screened regularly due to a family history were invited to take part from a local primary and secondary school. 31% (N=75) of children agreed to take part.

## Ethical considerations

This study was given favourable opinion by the Camden and Kings Cross National Health Service Research Ethics Committee and the Royal Holloway University of London Research Ethics Committee.

## Measures

All children completed the CAQ-C, the Childhood Anxiety Sensitivity Index (CASI) [9] and the Revised Children's Anxiety and Depression Scale (RCADS) [10]. Medical information was extracted from the child's medical notes.

### *Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire for children (CAQ-C)*

The CAQ-C was chosen to assess cardiac focussed anxiety in children. The CAQ is an 18-item self-report-questionnaire designed for adults [8]. Items are rated on a 5 point Likert from 0 (never) to 4 (always). The CAQ yields a total cardiac focussed anxiety score. It also has three subscales; fear, avoidance and attention. Eifert, Thompson, Zvolensky et al. [8] reported favourable validity and reliability in a clinical population. Minor adaptations were made to make the questionnaire child friendly. Please contact the corresponding author for a copy.

### *CASI*

The CASI was chosen as a child appropriate measure of anxiety sensitivity [9]. The adult version of the CASI showed moderate correlations with the CAQ in an adult sample. The CASI assesses an individual's level of fear of anxiety related symptoms (e.g. rapid heartbeat) based on the belief that such sensations have harmful consequences. It is designed for children between the ages of 6 and 17. The CASI is an 18-item self-report questionnaire. Items are rated on a three point Likert scale

1  
2  
3 from 1 (none) to 3 (a lot). The CASI yields a total score. Silverman et al. [9] report  
4 favourable validity and reliability in a clinical and non-clinical population.  
5  
6

### 7 8 *RCADS*

9  
10 The RCADS was used because it is a comprehensive and psychometrically sound  
11 measure of anxiety in children [10]. The RCADS is a 47-item self-report  
12 questionnaire. Items are rated on a 4 point Likert scale as to how frequently the  
13 behaviour typically occurs from 0 (never) to 3 (always). The RCADS yields a total  
14 anxiety score. Chorpita et al. [10] and Choprta, Moffitt and Gray [11] reported  
15 favourable validity and reliability in a clinical and non-clinical population.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

### 24 **Procedure**

25 Children attending the specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic completed  
26 questionnaires before or in between their medical assessments, but before they saw  
27 the consultant cardiologist. The control children were invited to take part during  
28 school time.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

### 34 **Data analysis:**

35 All data were analysed using SPSS (version 21) and checked once for inaccuracies.  
36  
37

38 No questionnaire item had more than 5% missing values across the sample.  
39  
40 Therefore no further investigations regarding missing data were conducted [12]. If an  
41 individual questionnaire had missing data it was excluded from subsequent analyses  
42 [12].  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 Outliers were also identified and removed [12]. Outliers represent data values  
50 that deviate from the other observations. Any score that deviates more than three  
51 standard deviations from the mean of that variable is considered an outlier and  
52 should be removed [12]. Outliers were examined for the CAQ total cardiac focussed  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 anxiety score and the CAQ subscale (fear, avoidance and attention) scores, the  
4 RCADS total anxiety score and the CASI total anxiety sensitivity score. This analysis  
5 was conducted for each of the 3 groups separately. A sensitivity analysis found the  
6 results did not alter when outliers were included.  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 The sample size for all groups was greater than 30 and therefore parametric  
12 tests were appropriate [12].  
13

14  
15  
16 Confounding variables were identified and their impact on the analysis  
17 considered.  
18

19  
20  
21 Cronbach's alphas were calculated to establish the internal consistency of  
22 the total CAQ score and the CAQ subscale (fear, avoidance and attention)  
23 scores in a child population. This analysis included all children (children with an  
24 inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome, children being screened due to a family  
25 history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and control children).  
26  
27  
28

29  
30  
31 A Pearson's correlation was performed to examine the association between  
32 the CAQ total/subscale (fear, avoidance and attention) scores and the CASI  
33 total score in a child population. This analysis included all children (children with an  
34 inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome, children being screened due to a family  
35 history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and control children).  
36  
37  
38

39  
40  
41 A one way independent ANOVA was conducted to see if the three groups  
42 (children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome, children being  
43 screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia  
44 syndrome and control children) differed significantly on their total anxiety  
45 score on the RCADS. Where there were significant results three pairwise post-hoc  
46 independent sample t tests were carried out to establish where  
47 the exact difference lies. Bonferroni corrections were not applied because  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 there are only 3 comparisons being made [12].  
4

5 A series of one way independent ANOVAs were conducted to see if the  
6  
7 three groups (children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome,  
8  
9 children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac  
10  
11 arrhythmia syndrome and control children) differed significantly on their  
12  
13 CAQ total cardiac focussed anxiety scores and their CAQ subscale (fear,  
14  
15 avoidance and attention) scores. Where there were significant results  
16  
17 three pairwise post-hoc independent sample t tests were carried out to  
18  
19 establish where the exact differences lie. Bonferroni corrections were not  
20  
21 applied because there were only 3 comparisons for each scale or subscale  
22  
23 and each scale or subscale analysis can be considered a self-contained  
24  
25 analysis [12].  
26  
27

28  
29 Independent sample t tests were carried out to see if those who have  
30  
31 experienced a SCD in the immediate family have higher levels of total  
32  
33 cardiac focussed anxiety than those who have not. A separate analysis was  
34  
35 conducted for children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and  
36  
37 children being screened due to a family history of inherited cardiac  
38  
39 arrhythmias syndromes. The control children were not included in this  
40  
41 analysis.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## RESULTS

### Characterising the sample

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the sample.

Table 2 and Table 3 show the clinical characteristics of the children attending the specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic

**Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the sample**

|                               | <b>Children diagnosed with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome (n =47)</b> | <b>Children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome (n =78)</b> | <b>Control children (n = 75)</b> |
|-------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|
| <b>Age of child, years</b>    |   |  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                     | 13.18 (2.34)  | 12.90 (2.58)   | 12.08 (2.39)                     |
| Minimum/maximum (range)       | 8.40/16.49 (8.09)   | 8.05/16.91 (8.86)  | 8.24/15.99 (7.75)                |
| <b>Gender, n (%)</b>          |   |  |                                  |
| Male                          | 21 (45%)  | 37 (48%)   | 27 (36%)                         |
| Female                        | 26 (55%)  | 41 (52%)   | 48 (64%)                         |
| <b>Ethnicity, n (%)</b>       |   |  |                                  |
| White                         | 30 (64%)  | 53 (68%)   | 63 (84%)                         |
| Black or Black British        | 5 (11%)   | 10 (13%)   | 4 (5%)                           |
| Mixed                         | 4 (9%)  | 8 (10%)  | 5 (7%)                           |
| Asian or Asian British        | 6 (13%)   | 6 (8%)   | 0 (0%)                           |
| Chinese                       | 0 (0%)  | 0 (0%)   | 0 (0%)                           |
| Other                         | 0 (0%)  | 0 (0%)   | 2 (3%)                           |
| Missing                       | 2 (4%)  | 1 (1%)   | 1 (1%)                           |
| <b>Family Structure n (%)</b> |   |  |                                  |
| Nuclear Family                | 29 (62%)  | 49 (62%)   | 63 (84%)                         |
| Single Parent                 | 14 (30%)  | 17 (22%)   | 7 (9%)                           |

|  |          |          |          |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Step family  | 3 (6%)   | 7 (9%)   | 4 (5%)   |
| Extended family  | 1 (2%)   | 3 (4%)   | 0 (0%)   |
| Children in care   | 0 (0%)   | 2 (3%)   | 0 (0%)   |
| Missing  | 0 (0%)   | 0 (0%)   | 1 (1%)   |
| <b>Socio Economic Status (SES), n (%)</b>                      |          |          |          |
| Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations | 14 (30%) | 25 (32%) | 7 (9%)   |
| Lower managerial, administrative and professional occupations  | 5 (11%)  | 20 (26%) | 36 (48%) |
| Intermediate occupations                                       | 2 (4%)   | 3 (4%)   | 5 (7%)   |
| Small employers and own account workers                        | 0 (0%)   | 1 (1%)   | 4 (5%)   |
| Lower supervisory, craft and related occupations               | 9 (19%)  | 13 (17%) | 7 (9%)   |
| Semi-routine occupations                                       | 10 (21%) | 8 (10%)  | 5 (7%)   |
| Routine occupations  | 3 (6%)   | 3 (4%)   | 3 (4%)   |
| Unemployed   |          |          |          |
| Missing  | 4 (9%)   | 1 (1%)   | 0 (0%)   |
|  | 0 (0%)   | 4 (5%)   | 8 (11%)  |



**Table 2: Clinical characteristics of the children diagnosed with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome**

|   | Children diagnosed with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome (n=47) |
|---|---|
| <b>Time since diagnosis, days</b>                     |   |
| Mean (SD)   | 1444.31 (1062.27)   |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                               | 42/4678 (4636)  |
| <b>Diagnosis, n (%)</b>                               |   |
| Long QT Syndrome                                      | 29 (62%)  |
| Brugada Syndrome                                      | 11 (23%)  |
| Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia | 5 (11%)   |
| Short QT syndrome                                     | 1 (2%)  |
| Unknown   | 1 (2%)  |
| <b>Symptoms, n (%)</b>                                |   |
| No  | 27 (57%)  |
| Yes   | 20 (43%)  |
| <b>Out of hospital cardiac arrest, n (%)</b>          |   |
| No  | 44 (94%)  |
| Yes   | 3 (6%)  |
| <b>Treatment, n (%)</b>                               |   |
| None  | 13 (28%)  |
| Medication  | 27 (57%)  |
| Medication + ICD                                      | 7 (15%)   |
| <b>History of SCD in immediate family, n (%)</b>      |   |
| No  | 41 (87%)  |
| Yes   | 6 (13%)   |

ICD = Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, SCD = Sudden Cardiac Death

**Table 3: Clinical characteristics of the children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome**

| Children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome (N = 78) |                        |
|--|------------------------|
| <b>Time since first screening, days</b>  |                        |
| Mean (SD)  | 1285                   |
| Minimum/maximum (range)  | 0.00/3976.00 (3976.00) |
| <b>Diagnosis in family, n (%)</b>  |                        |
| SADS   | 30 (39%)               |
| Long QT Syndrome   | 21 (27%)               |
| Brugada Syndrome   | 16 (21%)               |
| Unknown  | 11 (14%)               |
| <b>History of SCD in immediate family, n (%)</b>   |                        |
| No   | 47 (60%)               |
| Yes  | 31 (40%)               |

SADS = Sudden Arrhythmia Death Syndrome, SCD = Sudden Cardiac Death,

### **Confounding variables**

Age and gender have previously been shown to be associated with anxiety in children [10]. The impact of age on RCADS total anxiety and CAQ-C total cardiac focussed anxiety scores within this sample were examined using a Pearson's correlation. Across the sample there was no significant correlation between age and RCADS total anxiety scores ( $r(161) = 0.02$ ,  $p = 0.76$ ) or between age and total CAQ-C cardiac focussed anxiety scores ( $r(196) = 0.13$ ,  $p = 0.07$ ) scores. Therefore age was not controlled for in subsequent analysis. The impact of gender on RCADS total anxiety and CAQ-C total cardiac focussed anxiety scores within this sample were examined using an independent t test. Females had significantly higher scores than males on their total RCADS scores ( $t(163) = 4.6$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) (95% CI – 13.64, 5.45). The mean score for females was 29.91 and the mean scores for males was 20.37. Females and males did not differ on their total CAQ-C cardiac focussed anxiety scores ( $t(187) = 0.73$ ,  $p = 0.46$ , 95% CI = 0.22, 0.10) A chi square was conducted to establish whether the three groups (children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome, children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and control children) differed on their gender distribution. The groups did not differ on their gender distribution ( $\chi^2(2) = 2.2$ ,  $p = 0.34$ ). Therefore gender was not controlled for in subsequent analysis.

### **Psychometric properties of the CAQ-C:**

#### *Internal consistency*

The Cronbach alpha coefficient for the total CAQ-C score was in the good range ( $\alpha=0.83$ ). The Cronbach alpha coefficients for the subscales were in the marginal to good range (Table 4).

**Table 4: Internal consistency of the CAQ-C in a child population (N=189)**

|                          | Cronbach value | Standard   |
|--------------------------|----------------|------------|
| <b>CAQ-C Total scale</b> | 0.83*          | Good       |
| <b>CAQ-C Fear</b>        | 0.70*          | Acceptable |
| <b>CAQ-C Avoidance</b>   | 0.82*          | Good       |
| <b>CAQ-C Attention</b>   | 0.64*          | Marginal   |

\*p = <0.001

#### *Convergent validity*

A Pearson correlation found there was a significant positive correlation between the CAQ total score and the CASI ( $r(170) = 0.62, p<0.001$ ). The Pearson correlation coefficient indicates the correlation between the CAQ total score and the CASI was in the good range (Table 5).

A Pearson correlation found there was a significant positive correlation between the CAQ fear subscale and the CASI ( $r(168) = 0.56, p<0.001$ ). The Pearson correlation coefficient indicates the correlation between the CAQ fear subscale score and the CASI was in the good range (Table 5).

A Pearson correlation found there was a significant positive correlation between the CAQ avoidance subscale and the CASI ( $r(170) = 0.39, p<0.001$ ). The Pearson correlation coefficient indicates the correlation between the CAQ avoidance subscale score and the CASI was in the acceptable range (Table 5).

A Pearson correlation found there was a significant positive correlation between the CAQ attention subscale and the CASI ( $r(167) = 0.46, p < 0.001$ ). The Pearson correlation coefficient indicates the correlation between the CAQ attention subscale score and the CASI was in the acceptable range (Table 5).

**Table 5: Convergent validity of the CAQ and CASI**

|                              | CASI  | Standard   |
|------------------------------|-------|------------|
| CAQ-C Total scale<br>(N=172) | 0.62* | Good       |
| CAQ-C Fear<br>(N=170)        | 0.56* | Good       |
| CAQ-C Avoidance<br>(N=172)   | 0.39* | Acceptable |
| CAQ-C Attention<br>(N=169)   | 0.46* | Acceptable |

\* $p < 0.001$

N.B Different N values are due to different patterns of missing data and different number of outliers across the CAQ and the CASI

#### *Anxiety in children attending a specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinics*

A one way independent ANOVA showed the three groups (children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome, children being screened due to a family history and control children) did not differ significantly on their RCADS scores ( $F(2, 162) = 2.64, p = 0.07$ ) (Table 6). Therefore no follow up t tests were conducted.

A one way independent ANOVA showed the three groups differed significantly on their total CAQ-C scores ( $F(2, 186) = 6.02, p = 0.003$ ). Pairwise post-hoc t tests show that children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome scored significantly

1  
2  
3 higher than children being screened due to a family history ( $t(67) = 2.85, p = 0.006,$   
4  $CI=0.09, 0.54$ ). Children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome also scored  
5 significantly higher than control children ( $t(109) = 3.03, p = 0.003, CI= 0.11, 0.54$ ).  
6  
7 There were no significant differences between children being screened due to a  
8 family history and control children ( $t(146) = 0.15, p = 0.88, CI= 0.15, 0.18$ ).  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

14 A one way independent ANOVA showed the three groups differed significantly  
15 on their CAQ-C fear scores ( $F(2, 184) = 6.23, p = 0.002$ ). Pairwise post-hoc t tests  
16 show that children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome scored significantly  
17 higher than children being screened due to a family history ( $t(115) = 3.57, p = 0.001,$   
18  $CI = 0.17,0.60$ ). Children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome also scored  
19 significantly higher than control children ( $t(109) = 2.80, p = 0.006, CI = 0.10, 0.61$ ).  
20  
21 There were no significant differences between children being screened due to a  
22 family history and control children ( $t(130) = 0.27, p = 0.79, CI= 0.22, 0.17$ ) (Table 6).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 A one way independent ANOVA showed the three groups differed significantly  
33 on their CAQ-C avoidance scores ( $F(2, 186) = 3.23, p = 0.04$ ). Pairwise post-hoc t  
34 tests show that children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome scored  
35 significantly higher than children being screened due to a family history ( $t(63) = 2.05,$   
36  $p = 0.04, CI = 0.01,0.74$ ). There were no significant differences between children  
37 being screened due to a family history and control children ( $t(146) = 0.25, p = 0.80,$   
38  $CI = 0.27, 0.21$ ). There were also no significant differences between children with an  
39 inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and control children ( $t(63) = 1.88 p = 0.06, CI$   
40  $= 0.02,0.71$ ) (Table 6).  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

A one way independent ANOVA showed the three groups did not differ significantly on their CAQ-C attention scores ( $F(2,183) = 2.64, p = 0.07$ ). Therefore no follow up t tests were conducted (Table 6).

**Table 6: Mean RCAD and CAQ-C scores**

|  | Cardiac arrhythmia syndrome | Family history   | Control          | ANOVA P value |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| <b>RCAD Total Anxiety score:</b>             |                             |                  |                  |               |
| N  | 38                          | 64               | 63               |               |
| Mean (SD)                                    | 24.76 (15.24)               | 23.22 (11.22)    | 28.76 (15.38)    |               |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                      | 2/54 (52)                   | 7/53 (46)        | 3/68 (65)        | 0.07          |
| <b>CAQ-C Total Cardiac focussed anxiety:</b> |                             |                  |                  |               |
| N  | 41                          | 78               | 70               |               |
| Mean (SD)                                    | 1.24 (0.62) * +             | 0.93 (0.49)      | 0.92 (0.51)      |               |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                      | 0.39/2.83 (2.44)            | 0.22/2.39 (2.17) | 0.06/2.28 (2.22) | 0.003         |
| <b>CAQ-C Fear:</b>                           |                             |                  |                  |               |
| N  | 41                          | 76               | 70               |               |
| Mean (SD)                                    | 1.42 (0.63) * +             | 1.04 (0.51)      | 1.07 (0.66)      |               |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                      | 0/3 (3)                     | 0.13/2.50 (2.37) | 0.00/2.63 (2.63) | 0.002         |

| <b>CAQ-C Avoidance</b>  |                  |                  |                  |      |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------|
| N                       | 41               | 78               | 70               |      |
| Mean (SD)               | 1.12 (1.04)*     | 0.74 (0.75)      | 0.77 (0.72)      |      |
| Minimum/maximum (range) | 0.00/3.60 (3.60) | 0.00/3.00 (3.00) | 0.00/2.40 (2.40) | 0.04 |
| <b>CAQ-C Attention</b>  |                  |                  |                  |      |
| N                       | 40               | 77               | 69               |      |
| Mean (SD)               | 1.03 (0.56)      | 0.82 (0.54)      | 0.79 (0.54)      |      |
| Minimum/maximum (range) | 0.20/2.20 (2.00) | 0.00/2.60 (2.60) | 0.00/2.20 (2.00) | 0.07 |

\* Pairwise post-hoc t tests indicate significant differences between children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children being screened due to a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome ( $p < 0.05$ )

+ Pairwise post-hoc t t tests indicate significant differences between children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and control children ( $p < 0.05$ )

N.B Different N values are due to different patterns of missing data and different number of outliers across the RCADS and CAQ-C

### *Associations between SCD in the immediate family and levels of anxiety*

12% of children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome had an SCD in the immediate family and 60% of children with a family history of an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome had an SCD in the immediate family.

An independent t test found there were no significant differences in total cardiac focussed anxiety between children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome who had experienced a SCD in the immediate family and children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome who had not experienced a SCD in the immediate family ( $t(39) = 1.13$ ,  $p = 0.27$ ,  $CI = 0.92, 0.26$ ) (Table 7).

An independent t test found children being screened due to a family history who had experienced a SCD in the immediate family had higher total cardiac



1  
2  
3 focussed anxiety scores than children being screened due to a family history who  
4 had not experienced a SCD in the immediate family ( $t(76) = 2.2$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ,  $CI = 0.47$ ,  
5  
6  
7 0.03) (Table 7).  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 **Table 7: Anxiety in children who have experienced a SCD in the immediate**  
25 **family**  
26  
27  
28  
29

|  | Cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and SCD in the immediate family (N=5) | Cardiac arrhythmia syndrome with no SCD in the immediate family (N=36) | T test P Value | Family history and SCD in the immediate family (N=47) | Family history with no SCD in the immediate family (N=31) | T test P value |
|--|---|--|----------------|---|---|----------------|
| <b>CAQ-C Total Cardiac focussed anxiety score:</b> |   |  |                |   |   |                |
| Mean (SD)  | 1.53 (0.58)   | 1.20 (0.62)  | 0.27           | 1.08 (0.56)   | 0.83 (0.42)   | 0.03           |
| Minimum/maximum (range)                            | 0.94/2.39 (1.44)  | 0.39/2.83 (2.44)   |                | 0.22/2.39 (2.17)                                      | 0.22/1.94 (1.72)  |                |

54  
55 SCD = Sudden Cardiac Death  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## DISCUSSION

### Overview

This study had three aims. The first was to explore how the Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire (CAQ-C) performs in a child population. The second was to compare the level of anxiety of children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children being screened due to a family history to control children. The third was to examine the association between SCD in the immediate family and levels of anxiety.

### Findings

Reliability analysis showed that the internal consistency of the CAQ-C total scale and subscales were adequate in a child population. Specifically internal consistency values were in the marginal to good range. The significant correlation between the CAQ-C total scale and the CASI, and the significant correlations between the CAQ-C subscales and the CASI, indicates the CAQ-C also had adequate convergent validity properties within a child population.

1  
2  
3 Together the reliability and validity analysis indicate that the CAQ-C has  
4 promising psychometric properties.  
5  
6

7  
8 There were no significant differences in total anxiety scores (as measured by  
9 the RCADS) between children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome,  
10 children being screened due to a family history and control children. However there  
11 were significant differences in total cardiac focused anxiety scores (as measured by  
12 the CAQ-C). Children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome had  
13 significantly higher total cardiac focussed anxiety scores than children being  
14 screened due to a family history. There were no significant differences between  
15 children being screened due to a family history and control children. A similar  
16 pattern was evident for the CAQ-C fear scale. A slightly different pattern was evident  
17 for the CAQ-C avoidance scale. Children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia  
18 syndrome scored significantly higher than children being screened due to a family  
19 history. There were no significant differences between children being screened due  
20 to a family history and control children. There were also no significant differences  
21 between children diagnosed with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and  
22 control children. However it is likely this slight exception is due to the smaller sample  
23 size of the inherited cardiac arrhythmia group and a consequent slight lack of power.  
24 Contrastingly there were no significant differences in CAQ-C attention scores  
25 between the three groups. Again this could be due to lack of power. However it  
26 could also be that items such as 'I can feel my heart in my chest' and 'I check my  
27 pulse' are less relevant to children compared to adults because children do not have  
28 the cognitive understanding of their condition to monitor themselves in such a  
29 manner.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 This study also examined the associations between SCD in the immediate  
4 family and anxiety. There were no significant differences in total cardiac focussed  
5 anxiety between children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome who had  
6 experienced a SCD in the immediate family and children with an inherited cardiac  
7 arrhythmia syndrome who had not experienced a SCD in the immediate family. This  
8 could be due to a lack of power. It may also be that these children experience  
9 heightened cardiac focussed anxiety due to their condition regardless of whether  
10 they have also experienced a SCD in the immediate family. In contrast children  
11 being screened due to a family history who had experienced a SCD in the immediate  
12 family had higher total cardiac focussed anxiety scores than children being screened  
13 due to a family history who had not experienced a SCD.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

### 30 **Clinical implications**

31  
32 Questionnaires used to measure DSM-V specific categories of anxiety (such  
33 as the RCADS) may be less relevant to children with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia  
34 syndrome and children who have a family history of such conditions. These  
35 questionnaires do not seem sensitive to the distress these children experience which  
36 questions their utility in clinical practice. The CAQ has promising psychometric  
37 properties in a child population. Furthermore the brevity of the CAQ means it is not  
38 time consuming to complete and so is appropriate for use in busy medical settings.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 Children with a cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children who have a family  
49 history who have experienced a SCD in the immediate family are at risk of  
50 experiencing cardiac focussed anxiety. These children should be targeted for routine  
51 screening and offered psychological input where necessary. Research suggests  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Cognitive Behaviour Therapy (CBT) could be an effective treatment [13]. Eifert et  
4 al.'s [5] cognitive behavioural model of cardiac focussed anxiety in adults could be  
5 applied to help understand the distress these children experience and has direct  
6 treatment implications [14].  
7  
8  
9

## 10 11 12 **Limitations**

13  
14  
15 The current study only provides a preliminary examination of the psychometric  
16 properties of the CAQ-C. The retest reliability and norms/clinical cut offs for the  
17 CAQ-C need to be established before it can be used routinely in clinical practice.  
18  
19  
20

21  
22 The sample size for the children with inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome  
23 group may have been slightly underpowered. An accurate a priori power analysis  
24 was difficult to calculate due to the lack of relevant child literature that allowed  
25 calculation of effect sizes. A post hoc power analysis indicated that the study was  
26 somewhat underpowered. However it is one of the largest studies to date in this  
27 population group (across adults, children and parents) and the sample size is very  
28 respectable given the rarity of the syndromes. The 80% recruitment rate suggests it  
29 is representative of children attending specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinics.  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 The current study included children who had different inherited cardiac  
35 arrhythmia syndromes and children who had a family history of different inherited  
36 cardiac arrhythmia syndromes. Levels of anxiety may differ according to what  
37 inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome a child has or has a family history of.  
38  
39  
40

41  
42 Unfortunately the recruitment rate is much lower (31%) for the control group  
43 and due to the lack of demographic data it is not possible to see how those that  
44 responded responders' compare to the school as a whole. The lower recruitment  
45 rate is likely to be because participating as a control required more effort. Children  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 had to take the forms home from school, parents had to sign consent forms and then  
4  
5 the children had to bring them back to school before the children could participate. In  
6  
7 the specialist inherited cardiac arrhythmia clinic parents could sign the consent forms  
8  
9 in clinic and the children could immediately participate. It is possible these children  
10  
11 who gained consent within the school sample are not representative of normal  
12  
13 children. These children may have had particular motivations to get the consent  
14  
15 forms returned. For example the children may have come from more anxious  
16  
17 families and therefore the family were more interested in research on anxiety. Or the  
18  
19 children may have come from families where physical health problems are present  
20  
21 leading to an interest in research on anxiety within a physical health context. An opt  
22  
23 out procedure may have increased the recruitment rate and thus the  
24  
25 representativeness of the control sample. However this was not considered an  
26  
27 ethical procedure by the ethics board.  
28  
29  
30

### 31 **Conclusion:**

32  
33  
34 The CAQ has promising psychometric properties in a child population.  
35  
36 However the retest reliability and norms/cut offs in a child population need to be  
37  
38 established before the CAQ can be used routinely in clinical practice. Children with  
39  
40 a cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children who have a family history who have  
41  
42 experienced a SCD in the immediate family are at risk of experiencing anxiety.  
43  
44 These children should be targeted for routine screening and offered psychological  
45  
46 input where necessary.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 *This study was supported by the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical*  
19 *Research Centre at Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation*  
20 *Trust and University College London. The views expressed are those of the author*  
21 *and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.*  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

### 28 **What is already known on this topic?**

29  
30 The limited research that exists suggests children attending a specialist inherited  
31 cardiac arrhythmia clinic may experience elevated levels of anxiety. Eifert, Zvolensky  
32 and Lejuez describe a cognitive behavioural model of cardiac focussed anxiety in  
33 adults that could be applied to help explain the distress these children may  
34 experience. A measure of cardiac focussed anxiety has been developed in adults  
35 but not in children.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 **What this study adds?**

44  
45  
46 The study explored how the Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire (CAQ-C) performs in a  
47 child population. The allowed an examination of cardiac focussed anxiety in children  
48 with an inherited cardiac arrhythmia syndrome and children being screened due to a  
49 family history for the first time. Equally it is the first time associations between clinical  
50 variables and high levels of anxiety in these groups have been examined.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## REFERENCES

1. Giudici, V., Spanaki, A., Hendry, J., Mead-Regan, S., Field, E., Zuccotti, G.V., Abrams, D., Lowe, M., Kaski, J.P. (2014). Sudden arrhythmic death syndrome: diagnostic yield of comprehensive clinical evaluation of pediatric first-degree relatives. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*, 37, 1681-1685
2. Stewart, J.L. & Mishel, M.H. (2000) Uncertainty in childhood illness: A synthesis of the parent and child literature. *Sch Inq Nurs Prac*, 14, 299–319.
3. Meulenkamp, T., Tibben, A., Mollema, E., van Lagen I., Wiegman, A., de Wert, G., Beaufort, I., Wilde, A., & Smets, E. (2008). Predictive Genetic Testing for Cardiovascular Diseases: Impact on Carrier Children. *Am J Med Genet*, 146, 3136–3146.



- 1  
2  
3 4. Guifre, R.M., Gupta, S., Crawford, S.G, & Leung, A.K.C. (2008) Fears and  
4  
5 anxiety in children with Long QT Syndrome compared to children with  
6  
7 Asthma. *J Natl Med Assoc*, 100, 420-4.  
8  
9
- 10  
11 5. Eifert, G., Zvolensky, M., & Lejuez, C. (2000). Heart focussed anxiety and  
12  
13 Chest Pain: A Conceptual and Clinical Review. *Clinical Psychology:*  
14  
15 *Science and Practice*, 7, 403-417.  
16  
17
- 18  
19 6. Barlow, D. H. (1988). *Anxiety and its Disorders*. New York: Guildford Press.  
20  
21  
22
- 23  
24 7. Clark, D. (1986). A cognitive approach to panic. *Behav Res Ther*, 24, 461–  
25  
26 470.  
27  
28
- 29  
30 8. Eifert, G., Thompson, R., Zvolensky, M., Edwards, K., Frazer, N., John W.  
31  
32 Haddad, J., & Davig, J. (2000). The Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire:  
33  
34 development and preliminary validity *Behav Res Ther.*, 38, 1039-1049.  
35  
36  
37
- 38  
39 9. Silverman, W. K., Flesig, W., Rabian, B., & Peterson, R. A. (1991).  
40  
41 Childhood Anxiety Sensitivity Index. *J Clin Child Psychol*, 20, 162-168.  
42  
43  
44
- 45  
46 10. Chorpita, B.F., Yim, L., Moffitt, C., Umemoto, L., & Francis, S. (2000).  
47  
48 Assessment of symptoms of DSM-IV anxiety and depression in children: a  
49  
50 Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Behav Res Ther*, 38, 835-855  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 11. Chorpita, B.F., Moffitt, C.E., & Gray, J. (2005). Psychometric properties of the  
4 Revised Child Anxiety and Depression scale in a child sample. *Behav Res*  
5 *Ther*, 43, 309-322.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 12. Tabachnick, B., & Fidell, L. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics* (5th edition).  
12 New York: Harper Collins.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 13. Bennett, S., Shafran, R., Coughtrey, A., Walker, S., & Heyman, I. (2015).  
19 Psychological interventions for mental health disorders in children with chronic  
20 physical illness: a systematic review. *Arch Dis Child*, 100, 308-316.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 14. Eifert, G. H., & Lau, A. W. (2001). Using behavioural experiments in the  
29 treatment of cardiophobia: A case study. *Cogn Behav Pract* 8, 305-317.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Confidential: For Review Only

bmjpo: first published as 10.1136/bmjpo-2018-000271 on 30 August 2018. Downloaded from <http://bmjpaedsopen.bmj.com/> on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.