Evidence from animal models of human perinatal asphyxia has demonstrated that starting moderate hypothermia within 6 hours after the hypoxic-ischaemic insult provides neuro-protection.1–3 One of the beneficial effects of moderate hypothermia is presumed to be a reduction of ‘secondary energy failure’ which starts 6–8 hours after resuscitation.14

Trials in (near-)term human infants confirmed the beneficial effects of cooling when started within 6 hours, and most guidelines strongly recommend starting therapeutic hypothermia as soon as possible after perinatal asphyxia and subsequent encephalopathy.5

In their paper in this journal Guillot et al suggest that starting therapeutic hypothermia before 3 hours was not associated with a difference in brain injury on MRI or a better neuro-developmental outcome at 18 months.6 They based their conclusions on observations in 91 infants of whom 61 had moderate to severe hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy (HIE) before therapeutic hypothermia was started.

The authors suggest that time to start cooling is not relevant, although in the conclusions of their paper they state that cooling should be started as soon as the indication is confirmed.

When taking a closer look at the data of the paper, it is obvious that the infants who were started early needed more resuscitation, and were referred earlier to a level III neonatal intensive care unit. This is also reflected in the severity of HIE before therapeutic hypothermia: significantly more infants with severe HIE were seen in the ‘Early’ versus the ‘Late’ group. The paper does not mention how many infants who died had an MRI performed, and what the results of these MRIs were.

Time to reach target temperature after initiation of therapeutic hypothermia was surprisingly long, more than 3 hours in the ‘Early’ group, and 3.5 hours in the ‘Late’ group. This is much longer than the 2–2.5 hours as reported by Thoresen et al.7

If the outcome is similar in the ‘Early’ versus ‘Late’ groups of Guillot et al, this would suggest that earlier treatment is more effective, since the infants who were cooled earlier had a more severe hypoxic-ischaemic insult as demonstrated by a significantly higher resuscitation score.

In contrast with the findings of Guillot et al an earlier study demonstrated a better motor outcome at 18–20 months when cooling was started before 3 hours7.

Using MRI different patterns of brain injury can be demonstrated after perinatal asphyxia.3 Signal changes in the deep grey matter are seen after a severe sentinel event such as uterine rupture or placental abruption. Lesions in the deep grey matter are commonly followed by motor impairments, in particular dyskinetic cerebral palsy.3

Watershed lesions occur more commonly after more prolonged, moderate fetal hypoxia and are followed by cognitive impairments specially when aggravated by hypoglycaemia.10 Follow-up until school age is required to assess long-term effects of hypothermia.

It is likely that cooling is more effective in the acute, sentinel insult than in the prolonged fetal hypoxia and therefore provides better motor protection as suggested by Thoresen et al.7 The paper by Guillot et al is underpowered to make this distinction, and MRI was not performed in the most severely affected infants.

A publication by Laptook et al focusing on therapeutic hypothermia initiated 6–24 hours after birth stated that delayed therapeutic hypothermia ‘may have benefit, but there is uncertainty in its effectiveness’.11 These findings were criticised recently.12

After more than a decade of using moderate hypothermia as standard neuroprotective therapy there is still room for fine-tuning. We therefore agree with the final sentence of Guillot et al that larger studies are needed to better establish the effect of timing of therapeutic hypothermia avoiding the bias of...
severity of the insult. Use of amplitude-integrated electroencephalography (aEEG) will definitely aid in stratification of severity of HIE before cooling.\textsuperscript{13}

In addition, the paper by Guillot et al demonstrates that after therapeutic hypothermia still a substantial number of patients have an adverse outcome.\textsuperscript{6} Routine neuro-monitoring using aEEG and treatment of (subclinical) seizures will reduce the adverse neurodevelopmental outcome following HIE. Because of the still substantial number of patients with adverse outcome, research should focus on add-on treatment modalities or interventions to further improve outcome. Funding for these studies is urgently needed.

Meanwhile, based on the current evidence, therapeutic hypothermia should be started as soon as indicated to obtain the best neuroprotective results.
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