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ABSTRACT
Background Children are believed to be the most vulnerable group to leprosy. Childhood leprosy reflects disease transmission in the community as well as the efficiency of ongoing disease control programmes. In Cuba, leprosy is not a national health problem; however, new childhood leprosy cases are diagnosed every year.

Objective We summarise the experience of Cuba on childhood leprosy control over the past two decades.

Results Between 2000 and 2017, a total of 103 children in Cuba have been diagnosed with leprosy, showing that active transmission of cases remains in 13 of 15 provinces of Cuba. The majority of cases were multibacillary (66%), and 34% were paucibacillary cases. Clinically 60% of children have more than five lesions all over their body. Voluntary reporting was the principal method of case detection. The presence of familial and extrafamilial contact with leprosy cases may be a cause of concern, as it implies continuing transmission of the disease. Only four children had disabilities (one with grade 2 disabilities and three with grade 1 disabilities). A set of national investigations have been developed to intervene in a timely manner. Intervention strategies that combine clinical surveillance and laboratory test could be an option for early detection of childhood leprosy.

Conclusions Early detection of cases due to effective health education campaigns, regular and complete treatment with MDT, and contact tracing may be important in reducing the burden of leprosy in the community.

INTRODUCTION
Leprosy is a chronic infectious disease caused by *Mycobacterium leprae*. It affects the skin, mucous membranes and peripheral nerves. It is associated with significant morbidity, and alongside neurological damage it causes social, psychological and economic problems to the patient.

Leprosy has been a major public health problem in many developing countries for centuries. The WHO reports that in 2017, 210 671 new cases were diagnosed. The number of new cases in the Americas (29 101) (13.8% of the global burden) is surpassed only by South-East Asia. The household contacts of a baciliferous patient can show a variable response to the infection. Some acquire the disease in its benign form, others do so in its most serious form, while others resist entry and multiplication of the bacillus. In children, any of the clinical forms of leprosy may occur, and they appear to be the most vulnerable group to *M. leprae* infection. The number of new cases detected in children under 15 years of age continues to be high. In 2017 children constituted 8.1% of new cases worldwide, with 16 979 children diagnosed and 238 children with grade 2 disabilities (see table 1). The diagnosis of a new case in children and adolescents shows the active transmission of the bacillus. It also indicates the magnitude of the transmission of the disease, which is directly related to the proportion of sources of infection (multibacillary forms) without treatment and the efficacy of the control programmes.

In Cuba, leprosy was no longer a national health problem in 1993, when the rate of registered prevalence of less than 1 case per 10 000 inhabitants was reached. This is according to the WHO goal and definition of eliminating the disease, and serves as a guide for control programmes in other countries. Despite the progress made in the control of the disease, the detection of new cases has remained constant. Every year new cases of childhood leprosy are reported. The frequency of cases with disabilities is not significant, but the multibacillary clinical forms predominate. The early diagnosis of new leprosy cases continues to be a central point in the development of control strategies to reduce the time of exposure of children to these untreated infectious sources.

The present review summarised the Cuban experience on childhood leprosy control between 2000 and 2017.
Table 1  WHO leprosy disability grading system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability grading</th>
<th>Extremities</th>
<th>Eyes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Patients with no functional impairment.</td>
<td>No eye problem due to leprosy, no evidence of leprosy-related vision loss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Loss of sensitivity (anaesthesia) in the hands or feet, but no visible deformity or damage.</td>
<td>Some vision impairment, but not severe (vision 6/60 or better; patients can count fingers from 2 to 6 m away).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cases with both anaesthesia and complications such as trophic ulcers, claw deformities and bone resorption in the extremities.</td>
<td>Involves severe vision impairment (vision worse than 6/60; inability to count fingers from 2 to 6 m away); also includes lagophthalmos, iridocyclitis and corneal opacities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guidelines for Leprosy Control. The data included in this review were collected by the National Program for Leprosy Control as part of their monthly analysis of the leprosy situation in the country.

**CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS OF LEPROSY**

The diagnosis of leprosy should be based on cardinal features of leprosy. Childhood leprosy does not differ from adult leprosy but has specific characteristics.

Children at an early age, from 3 to 4 years old, suffer from the initial or infantile form of the disease\(^\text{12, 13}\) (table 2). The first symptoms are usually at the neural level, with a tingling sensation (paraesthesia or acroparasthesia), especially in the extremities. In its initial stages, macules or hypochromic and/or erythematous spots appear, which are usually of variable size, number and location, with diffuse or well-defined borders. They may be associated with disorders of superficial sensitivity (thermal, tactile and painful) and may be accompanied by alterations in sweating or in hair growth.\(^\text{14}\)

In older children, around adolescence, where there is supposed to be a long time of exposure to the bacillus, other symptoms may appear more similar to those described for the polar forms of the disease. Occasionally, the only manifestation of the disease is the thickening of the superficial nerves, especially the auricular, supracciliary and ulnar muscles.\(^\text{15}\)

Tuberculoid and childhood nodular leprosy are the most common presentations in children. Tuberculoid leprosy presents single and small lesions. Elevated erythema with dry surface and alopecia may also be present. Childhood nodular leprosy is characterised by erythematous nodules

Table 2  Leprosy classification using the Cuban programme for leprosy control\(^\text{18, 43, 44}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paucibacillary 1 skin lesion</td>
<td>Tuberculoide (TT)</td>
<td>Tuberculoide (TT)</td>
<td>Unique and infiltrated lesions</td>
<td>No neurological damage</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paucibacillary 2–5 skin lesions</td>
<td>Borderline tuberculoid (BB)</td>
<td>Indeterminate (IND)</td>
<td>Stasis and hypopigmented lesions</td>
<td>Little neurological damage</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multibacillary &gt;5 lesions</td>
<td>Borderline (BB)</td>
<td>Lepromatous (LL)</td>
<td>Few or many lesions of varying size</td>
<td>Little or no neurological damage</td>
<td>Negative/ 1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_multibacillary lepromatous (BL)</td>
<td>Multiple lesions, maculopapular</td>
<td>Late thickening of the nerves</td>
<td>≥2+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepromatous (LL)</td>
<td>Multiple lesions, maculopapular, nodules</td>
<td>Late thickening of the nerves</td>
<td>&gt;2+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline lepromatous (BL)</td>
<td>Multiple lesions, maculopapular, nodules</td>
<td>Late thickening of the nerves</td>
<td>&gt;2+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1+ or 2+: microscopic criteria when acid fast bacilli were observed using Ziehl-Neelsen stain.

Negative: no acid fast bacilli observed.

BL, Borderline lepromatous; BT, Borderline tuberculoid; IND, Indeterminate; LL, lepromatous leprosy; TT, Tuberculoid leprosy.
on the face or limbs, usually a single lesion. Both forms are of benign prognosis. Some authors suggest that these two types of leprosy can disappear spontaneously and reappear over time, installing the disorder of sensitivity with the involvement of one or more peripheral nerves, face, buttocks and extremities.\textsuperscript{16,17}

The less common presentations of leprosy in childhood include indeterminate and lepromatous leprosy. Indeterminate leprosy, according to the Indian classification system, consists of a single macula of nonprecise edges, with sensory disturbance located mainly on the face, limbs and buttocks. Lepromatous leprosy, according to the Ridley and Jopling classification,\textsuperscript{18} is occasionally seen in children older than 5 years. The incidence of the disease increases with age. It is usually seen in children in countries with high endemicity of the disease. The clinical manifestations involve infiltration of large cutaneous areas, especially in the cartilaginous areas of the nose and ears. The mucous membranes of the nose are invaded by a large number of microorganisms. The lesions can be hypochromic or hyperchromic, from small and single to multiple and large, including nodules.\textsuperscript{19}

In cases in which a neural lesion appears, this is usually irreversible, and the loss of sensory, sympathetic and motor function ends in severe disability of the hands, feet and muscles. This leads to mutilation and deformity.\textsuperscript{20}

Late diagnosis can lead to disabilities, ranging from lack of sensitivity to motor paralysis of a limb. It can also give rise to secondary lesions that are not specific to the pathology, such as burns and wounds, which if not treated properly will lead to bone destruction or reabsorption. Reaction complications are immune-mediated reactions caused by hyper-reactivity or immune complex and are considered rare in children.\textsuperscript{21}

**Epidemiology**

In the last 5 years (2013–2017), there has been a slight decrease in the number of leprosy cases diagnosed annually, with 190 new cases in 2017\textsuperscript{4} (figure 1). This diminution may suggest apparent progress. However, each year new cases of childhood leprosy are diagnosed in Cuba, which shows that active transmission of cases remains in almost all the provinces of the country (13 of 15 provinces of Cuba). It is accepted that the main mode of transmission of leprosy is through the upper airways. Multibacillary patients not undergoing treatment are the largest source of the bacillus. This is why household contacts of patients with leprosy have the highest probability of acquiring the disease. Children have a lower level of socialisation, which is why in populations where cases of childhood leprosy are diagnosed the presence of sick adults not undergoing treatment becomes evident. It is important to note that once a patient is diagnosed and treated with polychemotherapy, the disease transmission chain is eliminated due to the treatment’s high effectiveness. Figure 1 shows the number of cases of childhood leprosy and the total number of new cases diagnosed in Cuba between 2000 and 2017. In 2013, the largest number of cases of childhood leprosy was diagnosed with 11 children.\textsuperscript{2}

The provinces that have the most frequently reported cases in the period 2000–2017 are Granma, Santiago, Guantánamo, Ciego de Ávila and Havana.\textsuperscript{22-26}

The age group most commonly affected by the disease among children under 15 years old was between 10 and 14 years (table 3). This is due to the disease’s long incubation period of approximately 3–5 years.\textsuperscript{8} The youngest patients diagnosed in Cuba were 3 years old. An equal number of boys and girls have been affected.\textsuperscript{25}

---

**Figure 1** Number of cases of childhood leprosy for years and the total number of new leprosy cases diagnosed. Cuba 2000–2017. The left vertical axis represents the total number of new cases diagnosed in the country. The right vertical axis represents the total number of cases of childhood leprosy diagnosed in Cuba in the same period.
In Cuba, with regard to the number of lesions, we found that 62 children of 103 diagnosed in this period had more than five lesions all over their body. We have also found that in this period one child was diagnosed with musculoskeletal manifestations, such as arthralgia and myalgia, on presentation. Additionally, another important indicator is the percentage of children with disability grades 1 and 2 among the new cases of childhood leprosy. In this period only four children had disabilities (one with grade 2 disabilities and three with grade 1 disabilities).

The last decade has seen an increase in multibacillary forms (BL and lepromatous leprosy) (figure 2). This is evidence, in our opinion, of the greater exposure of these children to the bacillus, due largely to sick people remaining in the community, especially multibacillary patients, without treatment.

**CUBAN STRATEGIES FOR LEPROSY CONTROL**

Leprosy was a health problem in Cuba until 1993. Between 1960 and 1990, research and surveillance actions were carried out to reduce the incidence of the disease. These actions were based on the development of an inclusive control programme that allowed for active surveillance in both rural and urban populations on the island. These actions were based on the Cuban public health system. The beginning of the application of polychemotherapy in Cuba in 1988 and its inclusion in the control programme guaranteed a dramatic decrease in the incidence of the disease. As of 2003, when the rate of <1 case per 10 000 inhabitants at the province level was reached, a new stage begins that requires the implementation of novel strategies that allow the active search for new cases to be sustainable. All described data indicate that children who are at risk of developing the disease still escape from active surveillance. This situation has shown the need to develop strategies to improve surveillance and active search among suspected cases.

The National Program for Leprosy Control, in agreement with other Cuban health institutions (Tropical Medicine Institute Pedro Kourí, Pediatric Hospital Juan Manuel Marquez, and Provincial Center for Hygiene, Epidemiology and Microbiology of Havana), has developed intervention projects with the objective of identifying those children who are contacts of patients with leprosy earlier and proposing a follow-up strategy that allows early diagnosis.

A set of national investigations have been developed to intervene in a timely manner. Some projects considered improve the clinical dermatological evaluation of all children contacts of patients. Other strategies combine conventional methods with the use of serological methods based on phenolic glycolipid I and molecular methods to perform an initial assessment of all children who are contacts of patients with leprosy.

In general terms, the most important method for early diagnosis is the dermatological examination of signs and symptoms of leprosy in children and adults. In children, this test is very difficult to conduct. If some of the children appear to be suspicious, a bacteriological and pathological analysis is recommended. In Cuba, to diagnose leprosy in children, a total of six samples of lymph smears are taken and a biopsy of the cutaneous lesions is done for histopathological study. A new case is classified according to WHO’s classification. The treatment is also according to WHO’s recommendation and is controlled and supervised by local health structures (family doctors and nurses). These professionals, along with local dermatologists, are responsible for clinical surveillance of family and non-family contacts of the new case every year for 5 years. This method has been effective in maintaining
the incidence of the disease; however, it has been ineffective in eliminating the disease. For this reason, strategies that combine this clinical surveillance with a serological follow-up of children suspected of leprosy show interesting results in terms of early diagnosis.

Also, the integration of molecular methods of diagnosis could be a great strategy for leprosy control in Cuba. Moreover, the high incidence of leprosy in some regions of the country makes us believe that contacts who do not live with children could be an important source of infection. The new strategies now include school contacts and neighbours. The options of the national control programme have been to monitor contacts suspected of leprosy by combining several methods, clinical, serological and molecular, for a period never less than 3 years.

Communication strategies have been part of the Cuban programmes, and these include active education in populations with high and low incidence of the disease, with the objective of encouraging active search for typical injuries among people and increasing risk perception.

**CUBAN EXPERIENCE IN THE CONTEXT OF OTHER AMERICAN COUNTRIES**

The Cuban public health system has recognised robustness, so for children with leprosy the average range in terms of the time of evolution of the skin lesions and the first consultation is less than a year, according to parents. This fairly short time between the start of the symptoms and the consultation is probably due to the easy access of patients to primary care. In other countries, authors refer to a time of 18 months between presentation and diagnosis. However, this indicator, at least in Cuba, shows an inconsistent behaviour, taking into account that in children the initial manifestations of the disease are observed predominantly in the skin and the multibacillary form takes many years to evolve. Some authors suggest that, in paediatric ages, skin alterations take years to show, and many times in the initial forms of leprosy they present as non-specific chronic dermatitis. It is clear that in Cuba we are facing a situation of little perception of risk of both the population and health professionals, due undoubtedly to the drastic decrease of new cases experienced in Cuba in the last decade. On the other hand, 89% of the cases diagnosed have at least one case of leprosy diagnosed in their family, so they must be diagnosed by household contact tracing.

In Cuba, some of the children presenting with leprosy are considered an index case (first case in the family). The source of infection is diagnosed after examining the children’s household contacts. In a retrospective study conducted over 20 years (1989–2006) at the Pediatric Hospital Juan Manuel Marquez, 60% of children with leprosy had a known source of infection in the family and in 48% of the cases were grandparents. The behaviour in the last decade has not changed because it is recognised in the literature that, especially in the case of children, family contact is the primary source of the infection. It is almost always an intrafamilial member. Special attention to this epidemiological pattern can help in the identification of new cases. Children do not have a mature immune system, and considering that predisposition to developing the disease is inherited, they are the most vulnerable group. Active surveillance on this age group is therefore essential.

Romero-Montoya et al. in a study of 12 children with leprosy in Colombia found that 9 of them had a household contact with a patient with leprosy. They reported that in a family where there are cases of undiagnosed leprosy, children are the ones most likely to get sick. Among the household contacts, the risk of developing the disease was up to nine times more, while for neighbour contacts the risk was four times more. Duráes et al. in Brazil demonstrated a risk of illness of 2.4 times greater than the case index in household contacts as compared with household perimeter contacts, and 2.05 if the contacts were first-degree relatives. Considering the same type of household contact, in a nuclear family it was observed that blood relatives had a higher incidence of the disease than other non-blood relatives, illustrating the genetic predisposition described in other studies.

In Cuba, several studies have been carried out using the UMELEISA Hansen kit for the detection of IgM antibodies against the phenolic glycolipid I. In a study conducted in three Cuban provinces between 2013 and 2015, a serological follow-up was carried out every 6 months during 2 years in children’s household contacts of patients with leprosy. In this period a total of 151 children were included in the study, of whom 44 (29%) were positive for phenolic glycolipid. Of these children, 11 were diagnosed in the 3 years of the project. The results could serve as a basis to evaluate the use of this tool as a possible strategy for active searching for new cases of leprosy among children contacts of patients. Currently, studies have been conducted using the anti-PGL-I to evaluate the seroprevalence of household and school contacts in American hyperendemic areas. Barreto et al. conducted a study with school children in the Amazon region and found that 777 (48.8%) of the 1592 school children proved to be seropositive for anti-PGL-I. The results suggest that in these regions there may be children with subclinical or undiagnosed infections. This is why early diagnosis is important. The proposal of this investigation on the Cuban experience is to use this analysis as a tool in seroprevalence studies and in supporting the active search for new cases at least among patient contacts.

The Cuban experience on leprosy control in the American region is relevant in terms of control programme strategies that could be economically sustainable for developing countries. The use of phenolic glycolipid serology I as a monitoring tool for suspected patients can be useful and sustainable even in low-income countries. However, although this proposal is not entirely new, the positive results of the Cuban experience rest on the solidity of the public health system that is completely inclusive and reaches every person in the country. Besides being unique in its operation and staggered in its structures,
it allows the use of networks and strategies designed to deal with other diseases, integrated and adapted to the surveillance of leprosy.

CONCLUSIONS
The diagnosis of childhood leprosy in Cuba shows the relevance of leprosy control activities even in areas with low prevalence in order to sustain the elimination of leprosy. The intervention strategies used in Cuba have made it possible to increase the effectiveness of the active search for cases of childhood leprosy. Early detection of cases due to effective health education campaigns, regular and complete treatment with multidrug therapy (MDT), and contact tracing may be important in reducing the burden of leprosy in the community.
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