

## Supplementary File 1

### Investigating the Use of Ultrasonography for the Antenatal Diagnosis of Structural Congenital Anomalies in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review Protocol

#### PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 Checklist

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	Section Where Addressed in Protocol
<b>Administrative information</b>			
Title:			
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	Title Page
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	N/A
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	Title Page
Authors:			
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	Title Page
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	Contributors
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	Methods and Analysis
Support:			
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	Funding
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	Funding
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	Funding
<b>Introduction</b>			
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	Introduction
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	Aim; Objectives
<b>Methods</b>			
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	Search Strategy; Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	Published Literature Search

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	Section Where Addressed in Protocol
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	Search Strategy; Table 1; Supplementary Document 2
Study records:			
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	Study Screening; Data Extraction
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	Study Screening
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	Data Extraction
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	Data Extraction
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	Data Extraction
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	Methodological Quality
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized	Data Synthesis
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as $I^2$ , Kendall's $\tau$ )	Data Synthesis
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	N/A
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	Data Synthesis
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	Data Synthesis
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	Data Synthesis

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.