

BMJ Paediatrics Open

BMJ Paediatrics Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Paediatrics Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjpaedsopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Paediatrics Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjpo@bmj.com

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Protocol for a pilot randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial for assessing the feasibility and efficacy of faecal microbiota transplantation in adolescents with refractory irritable bowel syndrome: FAIS Trial

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2020-000689
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Apr-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Zeevenhooven, Judith; Emma Childrens Hospital AMC, Paediatric Gastroenterology de Bruijn, Clara; Emma Childrens Hospital AMC, Paediatric Gastroenterology Vlieger, Arine; Sint Antonius Hospital, Department of Paediatrics Nieuwdorp, Max; Amsterdam UMC - Locatie AMC, Department of Internal Medicine Benninga, Marc; Emma Kinderziekenhuis AMC, Paediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition
Keywords:	Gastroenterology

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 **Protocol for a pilot randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial for assessing the feasibility**
4 **and efficacy of faecal microbiota transplantation in adolescents with refractory irritable bowel**
5 **syndrome: FAIS Trial**
6
7

8
9 **Authors**

10
11 Judith Zeevenhooven¹, Clara M.A. de Bruijn¹, Arine M. Vlieger², Max Nieuwdorp³, Marc A. Benninga¹
12

13 **Affiliations**

- 14
15
16 1. Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Paediatric
17 Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
18
19 2. Department of Paediatrics, St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands
20
21 3. Department of Internal Medicine, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam
22

23 **Address correspondence**

24
25 Judith Zeevenhooven, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam,
26 Paediatric Gastroenterology, Room C2-312, PO Box 22700, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
27

28
29 Telephone: +3120-5662906. Email: j.zeevenhooven@amsterdamumc.nl
30

31 **Word Count**

32
33 Main text: 2503

34
35
36 Tables: 6

37
38
39 Figures: 1

40
41
42 Number of references: 50
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Background: Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a common chronic medical condition, in both children and adults. Despite the availability of effective (non)pharmacological treatments, symptoms persist in a significant amount of IBS patients. Faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) may be an effective alternative treatment in adolescents with refractory IBS through manipulation of the intestinal microbiota.

Methods and analysis: This randomised, placebo-controlled single-centre pilot study will assess feasibility and efficacy of FMT in 30 adolescents (16-21 years) with refractory IBS. Patients will be randomly allocated (1:1) to receive two allogeneic (healthy donor) or two autologous (own) faecal infusions at baseline and after 6 weeks. Primary outcomes will assess feasibility, including patient and donor recruitment, adherence, and incidence rates of adverse events. To evaluate clinical efficacy, secondary outcomes will include the proportion of patients with at least > 50% reduction of their abdominal pain intensity and frequency 12 weeks after the first FMT, and after 6 and 12 months follow-up. Other outcomes comprise changes in faecal gut microbiota composition, quality of life, depression and anxiety, school or work absenteeism and adequate relief, measured directly after FMTs and after 6 and 12 months of follow up.

Discussion: This is the first RCT to investigate the feasibility and effectiveness of repetitive FMTs in adolescents with refractory IBS.

Ethics and dissemination: The study is approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committees AMC (MEC-AMC) in the Netherlands.

Trial registration details: Clinical trials registration number is NCT03074227.

Keywords: Faecal microbiota transplantation, FMT, irritable bowel syndrome, IBS, therapy resistant, adolescents

What is known about the subject

- It is suggested that IBS symptoms are generated through an effect of the microbiome on the intestinal barrier, enteroendocrine system, the immune system and the gut-brain axis
- Faecal Microbiota Transplantation (FMT) administered via a nasoduodenal tube, is a new treatment regimen which modifies the gut microbiome through replacement of the patient microbiome by that of a healthy donor

What this study hopes to add

- This is the first RCT to investigate the feasibility and effectiveness of repetitive FMTs in adolescents with refractory IBS
- This study will enable us to analyse in detail which microbiota components might predict a positive response to FMT

Confidential: For Review Only

BACKGROUND

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) according to the Rome IV criteria (Table 1) is a common chronic medical condition, with worldwide pooled prevalence rates in adults and children ranging from 5.8-17.5% and 6.2-11.9%, respectively.[1,2] Some studies report a peak prevalence in adolescents (12-19 years).[3] IBS impairs daily life, as patients report a decreased quality of life,[4,5] high work or school absence,[6,7] and a higher risk to develop depressive and anxiety disorders compared to healthy controls.[7,8] Consequently, healthcare costs are substantial.[9,10]

Table 1. Rome IV criteria: Irritable Bowel Syndrome[11]

Diagnostic Criteria must include all of the following*

1. Abdominal pain at least 4 days per month associated with one or more of the following:
 - a. Related to defecation
 - b. A change in frequency of stool
 - c. A change in form (appearance) of stool
2. In children with constipation, the pain does not resolve with resolution of the constipation (children in whom the pain resolves have functional constipation, not irritable bowel syndrome)
3. After appropriate evaluation, the symptoms cannot be fully explained by another medical condition

**Criteria fulfilled for at least 2 months before diagnosis.*

Standard medical care for IBS consists of education, reassurance and simple dietary and behavioural advices.[12,13] Subsequently, either a pharmacological (tricyclic antidepressants (TCA), peppermint oil, linaclotide and lubiprostone) or non-pharmacological treatment (hypnotherapy and cognitive behavioural therapy) can be considered.[12–15] In the treatment of adolescent IBS patients, evidence for the efficacy of pharmacological agents is scarce and inconclusive.[16] In addition, some interventions that modify the microbiome, such as rifaximin or particular strains of probiotics, appear to have beneficial effects in IBS adult patients,[17] and in adolescent patients as well.[16,18] Some low quality evidence exists for the dietary low in fermentable oligo-, di- and monosaccharides and polyols (FODMAP) intervention in adult and adolescent IBS patients.[19,18] Finally, some psychological therapies, such as hypnotherapy, relaxation therapy and cognitive behavioural therapy are proven to be effective treatments for IBS.[14,20] Despite these available treatments, symptoms

1
2
3 may persist in some IBS patients.[21] These IBS patients can be considered as therapy resistant
4
5 (refractory) and might benefit from another potential treatment. Recent publications in children and
6
7 adults indicate that altered gut microbiota may play an important role in the pathophysiology of
8
9 IBS.[22–24] Symptoms may be generated through effects of the microbiome on the intestinal barrier,
10
11 enteroendocrine system, the immune system, the gut-brain axis, regulation of bile acid
12
13 deconjugation, but also via diet derived metabolites produced by the microbiota.[25,26] Therefore,
14
15 manipulation of the intestinal microbiota by faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT), which modifies
16
17 the gut microbiome through replacement of the patient microbiome by that of a healthy donor, in
18
19 refractory IBS patients can potentially have beneficial effects on IBS symptoms. FMT has been shown
20
21 to be highly effective in treating adults with recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection [27] and yielded
22
23 promising results in patients with ulcerative colitis [28] and metabolic syndrome.[29] For IBS, six
24
25 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on efficacy of FMT have been performed in adults.[30–32] Two
26
27 recent meta-analyses on these trials concluded that FMT versus placebo yielded no significant
28
29 improvement in IBS symptoms, but results were hampered by significant inconsistency due to
30
31 important differences in FMT methodology.[30,31] To the best of our knowledge, no study has yet
32
33 assessed the effect of FMT in adolescents with refractory IBS. Therefore, the objective of this RCT is
34
35 to assess feasibility and effectiveness of FMT in adolescents with refractory IBS.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

METHODS

Trial design

The Faecal Administration in refractory Irritable bowel Syndrome (FAIS) trial is a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled single-centre pilot study. We aim to enrol 30 adolescents aged between 16 and 21 years, with refractory irritable bowel syndrome (Table 2). After randomisation, patients will either receive two allogenic faecal microbiota transplantations (FMTs) from a healthy donor or two autologous FMTs at baseline and after 6 weeks. The flow of the study protocol is presented in Figure 1.

Table 2. Refractory Irritable Bowel Syndrome

1.	Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) according to the Rome IV criteria
2.	Symptoms are present for ≥ 12 months
3.	Patients received adequate explanation, reassurance and dietary advice for their symptoms
4.	There is an absence of response to a minimum of six sessions of psychological treatment, like hypnotherapy or cognitive behavioural therapy
5.	There is an absence of response to an adequate dose of at least one pharmacological agent tried for a minimum of six weeks

Patient and public involvement

There was no involvement of patients or the public in the design of this RCT.

Procedure

Recruitment

Patients

Patients from the outpatient clinic of the Amsterdam University Medical Centre (AUMC) will be recruited by their treating gastroenterologist. Furthermore, patients from other hospitals can be referred to the AUMC for participation in this study. In addition, patients will be recruited throughout

the Netherlands with help of online advertisement through IBS patient associations. Patient enrolment began in September 2018.

Donors

Healthy faecal donors will be recruited through advertisement in the form of posters, intranet network and emails, and via word by mouth.

Participant screening

Patients

Eligible patients will be invited for a screening visit. Informed consent from the participants will be obtained by the clinical research coordinator. During the screening visit, adolescents will undergo routine laboratory testing to exclude underlying organic disorders (Table 3). Furthermore, patients will fill out a pain diary.

Table 3. Specification of patient screening	
Faeces screening	
Calprotectine	
Bacteria	
Clostridium difficile	
Helicobacter pylori	
Parasites	
Giardia lamblia	Dientamoeba fragilis
Cryptosporidium spp.	Blastocystis hominis
Entamoeba histolytica	
Other	
Parasitic worm eggs	Protozoan Cysts and Oocysts
Larvae	
Serum screening	
Hematology	
Complete Blood Count (CBC)	Alkaline phosphatase (AF)
C-reactive protein (CRP)	Kreatinine
Bilirubine	Ureum
Aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT)	Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (EGFR)
Alanine aminotransferase (ALAT)	Anti-transglutaminase antibodies
Gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT)	IgA
Viruses*	

Cytomegalovirus (CMV)
Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)
* In case of seronegativity, a matching seronegative donor will be used for FMT
AF = Alkaline phosphatase; ALAT = Alanine aminotransferase; ASAT = Aspartate aminotransferase; CBC = complete blood count; CMV = cytomegalovirus; CRP = C-reactive protein; EGFR = Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; EBV = Epstein-Barr virus; GGT = Gamma-glutamyl transferase;

Donors

Potential donors will be thoroughly screened according to the screenings protocol of the Netherlands Donor Faeces Bank (NDFB).[33] Potential donors have to complete an extensive questionnaire regarding risk factors for infectious diseases and factors potentially perturbing the intestinal microbiota. Exclusion criteria for donors are outlined under 'Eligibility criteria'. If donors are considered eligible after completing the questionnaire, they will undergo serum and faeces laboratory testing to exclude potentially transmittable diseases (Table 4).

Table 4. Specification of donor screening	
Faeces screening	
Calprotectine	
Bacteria	
Clostridium difficile	Yersinia enterocolitica
Helicobacter pylori	Plesiomonas shigelloides
Salmonella spp	Pathogenic Campylobacter Spp.
Shigella spp.	Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC)
Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria	
Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE)	Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative (MRGN) 3
Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE)	MRGN 4
Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	Extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae
Viruses	
Hepatitis E	Rotavirus
Norovirus Type I and II	Enterovirus
Astrovirus	Adenovirus non-41/41
Sapovirus	Parechovirus
Adenovirus type 40/41	
Parasites	
Giardia lamblia	Microsporidium spp.
Cryptosporidium spp.	Blastocystis hominis*
Entamoeba histolytica	Isospora spp.
Dientamoeba fragilis	Cyclospora
Non-pathogenic parasites**	
Entamoeba gingivalis	Endolimax nana

Entamoeba hartmanni	Iodamoeba bütschlii
Entamoeba coli	Entamoeba dispar
Entamoeba polecki	Entamoeba moshkovskii
Other	
Parasitic worm eggs	Protozoan Cysts and Oocysts
Larvae	
Serum screening	
Hematology	
Complete Blood Count (CBC)	Gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT)
Bilirubine	Alkaline phosphatase (AF)
C-reactive protein (CRP)	Kreatinine
Aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT)	Ureum
Alanine aminotransferase (ALAT)	Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (EGFR)
Bacteria	
Lues	
Viruses	
Hepatitis A	Cytomegalovirus (CMV)
Hepatitis B	Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)
Hepatitis C	Human T-lymphotropic virus (HTLV)
Human immunodeficiency viruses (HIV)	
Parasites	
Strongyloides	
* Exclusion of donor only if microscopically "much" or "very much" blastocystis are seen	
** Presence of only one non-pathogenic parasite is acceptable	
CRE = Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae; ESBL = Extended spectrum beta-lactamase; MRGN = multidrug-resistant Gram-negative; MRSA = Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; STEC = Shigatoxine-Producerende E. Coli; VRE = Vancomycin resistant enterococ; AF = Alkaline phosphatase; ALAT = Alanine aminotransferase; ASAT = Aspartate aminotransferase; CBC = complete blood count; CMV = cytomegalovirus; CRP = C-reactive protein; EGFR = Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; EBV = Epstein-Barr virus; GGT = Gamma-glutamyl transferase; HIV = Human immunodeficiency viruses; HTLV = Human T-lymphotropic virus	

Eligibility criteria

Patients

Inclusion criteria

- Age 16-21 years
- Non-smokers
- Ability to give informed consent
- IBS diagnosis (Table 1)
- Refractory symptoms (Table 2)
- Average daily pain rate ≥ 30 mm on the pain component scale of the IBS-SSS[34]

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

Donors

Inclusion criteria

- Age ≥ 16 years
- Non-smokers
- Ability to give informed consent
- BMI 18-25 kg/m²
- Regular stool pattern

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

Randomisation, blinding and treatment allocation

1
2
3 Randomisation will be done by a computerised random-number generator in the Electronic Data
4
5 Capture system Castor EDC in a 1:1 ratio to one of the following two treatment arms:

- 6
7
8 1. Allogeneic faecal infusions at t = 0 weeks and t = 6 weeks.
- 9
10 2. Autologous faecal infusions at t = 0 weeks and t = 6 weeks.

11
12
13 Randomly permuted blocks of size two and four will be used with no stratification. On the day of
14
15 faecal transplantation, both patient and donors will deliver faeces produced that morning.

16
17
18 Randomisation will be performed by one of the 'randomisation-assistants', who is designated to this
19
20 task. To guarantee blinding, the randomisation-assistant will make sure the randomised treatment is
21
22 not traceable to the donor or the patient. The blinded faeces will be brought to the Laboratory,
23
24 where the preparation of the faeces will be done by one of the investigators. Detailed information
25
26 about the preparation process is outlined under 'FMT procedure'. During the second FMT at 6 weeks,
27
28 faeces will be processed according to the randomisation performed on the first transplantation day.
29
30
31 The randomisation-assistant is the only person who will know which treatment the patient will be
32
33 given and will have no role in further parts of the study. The randomisation list will be kept under
34
35 secured access by Castor EDC. In case of an emergency, the study treatment can be unblinded after
36
37 consultation of the principal investigator.

38 39 40 **Intervention**

41 42 43 *FMT procedure*

44
45
46 At baseline and at 6 weeks, patient and donor will collect a fresh morning stool sample in a small
47
48 container and bring this to the AUMC for processing. Upon arrival of the patient in the hospital, a
49
50 nasoduodenal tube will be positioned under direct imaging, with the Cortrak[®] electromagnetic
51
52 sensing device.[35] After placement of the nasoduodenal tube, bowel lavage with 1.5–3.5 litres of
53
54 macrogol electrolytes (Klean-Prep[®]) solution will be performed according to standard protocols to
55
56 ensure complete bowel lavage. The amount of solution that is given depends on the rapidity by
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 which the bowel is cleaned. Finally, a faecal suspension of 200cc will be infused in the duodenum of
4
5 the patient through the nasoduodenal tube.
6
7

8 *Preparation of faecal infusion product*

9

10
11 On the day of infusion, a fresh faeces sample (100 – 200 g on average) of either the donor
12
13 (allogeneic) or patient (autologous) will be used. Time of collection will be recorded. The faeces will
14
15 be weighted and mixed with 200 – 400 ml Saline (0.9% NaCl) until fully homogenised. Next, the
16
17 faeces solution is poured through a double gauze and debris of large size will be removed. This step
18
19 will be repeated. Afterwards, the homogenised solution will be decanted through a metal funnel into
20
21 a 200 cc sterile plastic bottle. All steps are performed under a fume-hood by one of the co-
22
23 investigators. Within 6 hours after production by the donor, the faeces will be installed through the
24
25 nasoduodenal tube in the patient
26
27
28

29 **Outcomes**

30
31
32 All below mentioned outcome measures apply to patients.
33
34

35 *Primary outcome*

36
37

38 The primary objective of this RCT is to assess the feasibility of our study protocol. This will be
39
40 assessed by evaluating the process of patient recruitment and screening, the patient drop-out rate
41
42 and the incidence rates of adverse events. Table 5 delineates the feasibility outcome measures,
43
44 including measurement instruments and statistical analyses.
45
46
47

48 *Secondary outcomes*

49
50

51 Secondary objectives include the proportion of patients with > 50% reduction of their abdominal pain
52
53 intensity and pain frequency compared to baseline at t=12 weeks after the first FMT. This will be
54
55 assessed with the pain component of the IBS-SSS.[34] Table 5 also describes all secondary outcome
56
57 measures.
58
59
60

Table 5. Trial outcome measures, instruments and analyses

	Outcome measures	Instrument	Statistical analysis
Feasibility outcomes	Patient recruitment	Patient recruitment per month	1 patient/month recruited
	Patient screening	Patient eligibility	> 80 % of patients
	Patient drop-out	Patient drop-out rate after randomization	< 20% of patients
		Including patient acceptance to accomplish repetitive faecal microbiota transplantations (FMTs)	
	Serious adverse events related to FMT	Hospitalization or increase of > 100 points on pain component of IBS-SSS	< 10% of patients
	Stool sample collection	Patients provide all necessary stool samples	> 90% of the required samples
		Morning stool samples will be collected during all study visits	
Efficacy outcomes	> 50% reduction of abdominal pain intensity and pain frequency compared to baseline at 12 (T3), 24 (T5) and 48 (T6) weeks after first FMT	Pain component of Irritable Bowel Syndrome Severity Scoring System (IBS-SSS) score[34]	Fisher's exact or chi-square to compare proportions
		With two questions, the severity and frequency of the abdominal pain over the last 10 days is measured. The IBS-SSS is the only symptom severity scale that has been responsive to treatment effects.[36] It has been recommended as a good instrument to obtain information on specific IBS related symptoms.[37]	
	Change in gut microbiota composition	MiSeq Illumina Sequencing	- Alfa-diversity (OTU count for species richness, Shannon index for species diversity)
		Morning stool samples will be collected to profile the faecal microbiota composition by sequencing of the V4 region of the 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene	- Beta-diversity (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity for microbial abundances)
	Change in gut mycobiome composition	ITS sequencing	- UniFrac distance
	Morning stool sample will be collected to profile the faecal mycobiome composition by high-throughput rDNA sequencing of fungal internal transcribed spacer (ITS)-1 regions	- RDP-II Naïve Bayesian Classifier	
Change in gut metabolome composition	Capillary electrophoresis time-of-flight mass spectrometry (CE-TOF-MS)	- Bray-Curtis dissimilarity Index	
	Morning stool sample will be collected to profile the faecal metabolome composition by CE-TOF-MS	- One-way permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA)	
Number of adverse events	Patient CRF	- Spearman's correlation coefficient	
		- Wilcoxon's signed-rank test	
		- PCoA	
		Fisher's exact or chi-square to compare proportions	

Number of rescue medication	Patient CRF	Fisher's exact or chi-square to compare proportions
Total IBS-SSS score	Irritable Bowel Syndrome Severity Scoring System (IBS-SSS) score [34] The IBS-SSS is the only symptom severity scale that has been responsive to treatment effects.[36] It has been recommended as a good instrument to obtain information on specific IBS related symptoms.[37]	Student's independent t-test or Mann Whitney U-test
Health related quality of life	Irritable Bowel Syndrome – Quality of Life (IBS-QOL) questionnaire [38] This questionnaire is a 34-item assessment of the degree to which the IBS interferes with patient quality of life and consists of eight domains: dysphoria, interference with activities, body image, health worry, food avoidance, social reactions, sexual health, and effect on relationships.[38]	Student's independent t-test or Mann Whitney U-test
Generic quality of life	Medical Outcomes Study 36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) The SF-36 questionnaire consists of 36 questions regarding eight dimensions of health perception: limitations in physical functioning, role limitation due to physical health problems, bodily pain, general health perception, vitality, social functioning, role limitations due to emotional limitations, and mental health. A score between 0 (worst possible quality of life) and 100 (best possible quality of life) can be obtained. The reliability has been proven extensively for diverse patient groups and it is validated for the Dutch population.[39] The SF-36 described as adequate for persons 14 years of age and older.[40]	Student's independent t-test or Mann Whitney U-test
Depression and anxiety	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) The HADS is divided into two 7-item scales, with answers on a four-point scale (0-3). Higher scores indicate a higher level of anxiety or depression (range 0-21). A scale score of ≥ 8 (cut-off score) indicates clinically significant anxiety or depression. The Dutch version of the HADS showed satisfactory validity and reliability.[41]	Student's independent t-test or Mann Whitney U-test
Absence of school or work, healthcare resources and costs	Adapted version of the Dutch Health and Labor Questionnaire [42] School or work absenteeism and indirect healthcare utilization costs are measures by three items. Adolescents indicate whether they have been absent from school or work due to abdominal pain complaints, and if yes, the amount of hours per week. For the indirect costs of healthcare utilization, adolescents indicate additional	Student's independent t-test or Mann Whitney U-test

	costs they had due to symptoms of abdominal pain over the past 4 weeks	
Impact of treatment	<p>Adapted version of the Patient Satisfaction and Preference Questionnaire [43]</p> <p>Impact of FMT treatment will be assessed using 5 questions, which are based on the Patient Satisfaction and Preference Questionnaire used in another RCT on FMT in patients with recurrent Clostridium Difficile infection.[43] The questions address thoughts on how unpleasant and how dirty participants find the idea of getting a faecal transplant.</p>	Student’s independent t-test or Mann Whitney U-test
Adequate relief	<p>One question:</p> <p>“Did you have adequate relief of IBS symptoms (abdominal discomfort/pain, bowel habits, and other symptoms like nausea and bloating) over the past week?” (Yes/No)</p>	Fisher’s exact or chi-square to compare proportions
Plasma biomarkers:	Vena puncture	Student’s independent t-test or Mann Whitney U-test
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Intestinal fatty acid-binding protein (I-FABP) - Smooth muscle protein of 22 kDa (SM-22) - Citrulline 	<p>EDTA vacuum tubes were used. All blood samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm, at 41C for 15 minutes to obtain plasma. Plasma was immediately stored in aliquots at -80°C until analysis</p>	Student’s independent t-test or Mann Whitney U-test
Safety parameters	Vena puncture	Student’s independent t-test or Mann Whitney U-test
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - C-reactive Protein (CRP) - Liver function - Renal function 	<p>EDTA vacuum tubes were used. All blood samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm, at 41C for 15 minutes to obtain plasma. Plasma was immediately stored in aliquots at -80°C until analysis</p>	Student’s independent t-test or Mann Whitney U-test
Dietary intake	Dietary diary	Fisher’s exact or chi-square to compare proportions
	Dietary intake lists are filled out 7 days prior to each faecal sample collection.	

CE-TOF-MS = capillary electrophoresis time-of-flight mass spectrometry; CRF = case report form; CRP = c-reactive protein; EDTA = ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; FMT = faecal microbiota transplantation; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; I-FABP = intestinal fatty acid-binding protein; IBS = irritable bowel syndrome; IBS-QOL = irritable bowel syndrome – quality of life; IBS-SSS = irritable bowel syndrome – severity scoring system; ITS = internal transcribed spacer; OTU = operational taxonomic unit; PcoA = principal coordinate analysis; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RDP = Ribosomal Database Project; rRNA = ribosomal RNA; SF-36 = Study 36-item Short Form Health Survey; SM-22 = smooth muscle protein of 22 kDa

po-2020-000689 on 20 April 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjpaedopen.bmj.com/ on April 17, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Participant timeline

Figure 1 displays the time schedule of enrolment, interventions, assessments and visits for participating patients.

Sample size calculation

Since this is a pilot study, a reliable sample size calculation is not feasible. In accordance with recruitment recommendations,[44,45] a minimum of 15 patients per treatment group will be included. In addition, based on accumulated evidence with 16S rRNA sequencing using MiSeq, Illumina Platform, a sample size of 20 individuals is normally enough to detect relevant differences in the microbiota. Hence, a total sample size of N=30 seems adequate. In order to reduce heterogeneity in faecal transplants, one donor will donate faeces to approximately 3 patients, which implicates that 5 donors are needed for 30 patients.

Statistical analysis

All primary and secondary outcomes will be analysed according to the intention to treat (ITT) analysis. See Table 5 for statistical analyses of primary and secondary outcome measures. Significance is set at $\alpha = 0.05$ for all analyses.

Monitoring

Data monitoring

In order to optimise safety of the study during inclusion, patient data will be disclosed to a data safety monitoring board (DSMB) when 50% of the intended sample size is attained and has reached 12 weeks follow up. The advice(s) of the DSMB will be notified upon receipt by the sponsor to the METC that approved the protocol. With this notification a statement will be included indicating whether the advice will be followed.

Harms

1
2
3 The risks associated with participation in this RCT can be considered moderate, because of the
4 minimal invasive treatment. Nasoduodenal tube positioning through a Cortrak® electromagnetic
5 sensing device carries a little risk of complications like aspiration, perforation or mal-positioning. If
6
7 there is any doubt of malposition of the tube, a plain abdominal X-ray will be performed. To prevent
8 complications, patients with swallowing disorders will not be included in this study.
9
10
11
12

13
14
15 Recent meta-analyses on clinical outcomes of FMT in general concluded that no serious adverse
16 events were attributable to FMT.[30,46] Adverse events (AEs) were infrequent and mostly self-
17 limiting (i.e. diarrhoea, abdominal distension, nausea and vomiting) and no differences existed in the
18 number of AEs between donor FMT and control patients.[30,46] In our study, AEs will be monitored
19 throughout the whole study. In order to make the risk for transmission of infectious diseases as small
20 as possible rescreening of the faecal donors will be performed according to Table 6. In accordance to
21 the legal requirements in the Netherlands (article 10, subsection 1, WMO), the investigator will
22 inform the subjects and the reviewing accredited METC if harmful events occur. When there are
23 indications that the disadvantage of participation may be significantly greater than was described in
24 the research proposal, the study will be suspended pending a further positive decision by the
25 accredited METC. The investigator will take care that all subjects are kept informed.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	Rescreening interval			
	Pre-FMT	4 weeks	8 weeks	26 weeks
Short rescreening questionnaire	x			
Extensive rescreening questionnaire				x
Faeces screening				
Calprotectine				x
Bacteria				x
Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria			x	
Viruses				x
Parasites				x
Non-pathogenic parasites**				x
Other				x
Serum screening				
Hematology				x
Bacteria				x
Viruses				x
<i>Cytomegalovirus (CMV)</i>		x*		
<i>Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)</i>				
Parasites				x
* for specification of screening items see Table 4: specification of donor screening				
** when a donor is seronegative for EBV IgG and/or CMV IgG				

Ethics and dissemination

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Research Committee of the AUMC in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. All important protocol amendments will be presented to the Medical Ethics Committee of the AUMC and will await approval before they are implemented.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

IBS is a chronic and disabling condition, which can pose great impact on daily life of patients, reflected in decreased quality of life,[4,5] high work or school absence,[6,7] a higher risk to develop depressive and anxiety disorders.[7,8] and substantial healthcare costs.[9,10] Effective management strategies for adolescents and adults in the form of antidepressants, peppermint oil, cognitive behavioural therapy, hypnotherapy, probiotics and low FODMAP diet exist. However, a subgroup of IBS patients remains symptomatic. New effective treatment options for this subgroup are warranted and might be targeted on the altered microbiome in IBS patients.[24]

Up to now, six RCTs have been performed to assess the effect of FMT in IBS in adults. Two trials assessed the effect of FMT administered by capsules, two evaluated the effect of FMT delivered by colonoscopy, one via gastroscopy, and one by nasojejunal tube.[31] It appears that the efficacy of FMT is associated with the methodology of FMT and placebo, as donor faeces administered by colonoscopy, gastroscopy or nasojejunal tube demonstrated a clinically significant improvement in global IBS symptoms in comparison with autologous FMT via the same route, whereas stool capsules did not demonstrate any beneficial effect compared to placebo capsules.[31]

The present pilot study is the first to assess the feasibility of FMT in adolescents with refractory IBS according to the Rome IV criteria. Furthermore, the efficacy of FMT on abdominal pain symptoms in these patients is explored. By designing this specific treatment protocol, a unique opportunity is created to investigate potential beneficial effects of restoring the gut microbiota composition on abdominal pain complaints. Data of this study will help determine optimal study conditions and inform the choice of endpoints for future, larger size, double-blind RCTs on FMT in adolescents with IBS. Furthermore, this study will define preliminary efficacy results of the use of FMT in these patients. In addition, this study will enable us to analyse in detail which microbiota components might predict a positive response to FMT.

1
2
3 Our study has several strengths. First, the FMT will be administered via a nasoduodenal tube and it
4 will be performed twice, since it has been demonstrated that this might enhance the effect of the
5 FMT.[48] Another strength is the one-year follow-up, which allows us to assess the long-term effect
6 of FMT.
7
8
9

10
11
12 A limitation of our study is the small sample size, which allows us to only encounter major effects of
13 the FMT treatment. Furthermore, we decided to include IBS patients regardless of subtype, leading
14 to a heterogeneous patient population which may affect the efficacy results. Moreover, it is unclear
15 what the effect of bowel lavage is on the efficacy of FMT and on microbiome composition. Studies
16 with and without bowel preparations before FMT demonstrate great efficacy.[48,49] In addition, it
17 has been shown that bowel preparation can disrupt the colonic ecosystem were the overall
18 microbiome composition recovers to baseline within 14 days after bowel cleansing.[50] Our efficacy
19 outcome measure is assessed at 12 weeks after the first FMT (and 6 weeks after the second FMT),
20 which minimises the effect that the bowel cleansing can have on the microbiome composition.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 In conclusion, the results of this trial will provide preliminary evidence for the use of FMT in
35 adolescents with refractory IBS. The results will inform future larger, double-blind, placebo-
36 controlled trials on the right sample size, on the feasibility of this study design, on efficacy outcome
37 measures and on the potential of the microbiome to be a therapeutic target in IBS.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Contributorship Statement

MAB is the principal investigator, designed the study, wrote the protocol, supervised the trial, and supervised drafting of the manuscript. JZ participated in the design of the study, wrote the protocol, coordinated part of the trial, and was responsible for data collection, analysis and drafting the manuscript. CMAB coordinates the trial, and is responsible for data collection, analysis and drafting the manuscript. AV and MN contributed to the design of the trial, critically revised the protocol, and supervised drafting of the manuscript.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests

M. Nieuwdorp is in the scientific advisory board of Caelus Health and Kaleido BioSciences; however, none of these are directly related to the current manuscript.

The other have no competing interests relevant to this article to disclose.

Acknowledgements

Dr. I.J.N. Koppen and dr. D.R. Hoekman provided substantial conceptual contributions. Prof. dr. A.H. Zwinderman provided contributions to statistical considerations for the trial.

REFERENCES

- 1 Sperber AD, Dumitrascu D, Fukudo S, *et al.* The global prevalence of IBS in adults remains elusive due to the heterogeneity of studies: a Rome Foundation working team literature review. *Gut* 2017;**66**:1075–82. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2015-311240
- 2 Korterink JJ, Diederik K, Benninga MA, *et al.* Epidemiology of pediatric functional abdominal pain disorders: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2015;**10**:e0126982. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126982
- 3 Sagawa T, Okamura S, Kakizaki S, *et al.* Functional gastrointestinal disorders in adolescents and quality of school life. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013;**28**:285–90. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1746.2012.07257.x
- 4 Gralnek IM, Hays RD, Kilbourne A, *et al.* The impact of irritable bowel syndrome on health-related quality of life. *Gastroenterology* 2000;**119**:654–60.
- 5 Youssef NN. Quality of Life for Children With Functional Abdominal Pain: A Comparison Study of Patients' and Parents' Perceptions. *Pediatrics* 2006;**117**:54–9. doi:10.1542/peds.2005-0114
- 6 Canavan C, West J, Card T. Review article: the economic impact of the irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2014;**40**:1023–34. doi:10.1111/apt.12938
- 7 Youssef NN, Atienza K, Langseder AL, *et al.* Chronic abdominal pain and depressive symptoms: analysis of the national longitudinal study of adolescent health. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008;**6**:329–32. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2007.12.019
- 8 Fond G, Loundou A, Hamdani N, *et al.* Anxiety and depression comorbidities in irritable bowel syndrome (IBS): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2014;**264**:651–60. doi:10.1007/s00406-014-0502-z
- 9 Brandt LJ, Chey WD, Foxx-Orenstein AE, *et al.* An Evidence-Based Position Statement on the Management of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;**104**:S1–35. doi:10.1038/ajg.2008.122
- 10 Hoekman DR, Rutten JMTM, Vlieger AM, *et al.* Annual Costs of Care for Pediatric Irritable Bowel Syndrome, Functional Abdominal Pain, and Functional Abdominal Pain Syndrome. *J Pediatr* 2015;**167**:1103–8.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.07.058
- 11 Hyams JS, Di Lorenzo C, Saps M, *et al.* Childhood Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders: Child/Adolescent. *Gastroenterology* 2016;**150**:1456–68.e2. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.015
- 12 Drossman DA. The functional gastrointestinal disorders and the Rome III process. *Gastroenterology* 2006;**130**:1377–90. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2006.03.008
- 13 Rutten JMTM, Korterink JJ, Venmans LMAJ, *et al.* [Guideline on functional abdominal pain in children]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2017;**161**:D781.
- 14 Ford AC, Lacy BE, Harris LA, *et al.* Effect of Antidepressants and Psychological Therapies in Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019;**114**:21–39. doi:10.1038/s41395-018-0222-5
- 15 Black CJ, Yuan Y, Selinger CP, *et al.* Efficacy of soluble fibre, antispasmodic drugs, and gut-brain neuromodulators in irritable bowel syndrome: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* Published Online First: 16 December 2019.

- doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30324-3
- 16 Martin AE, Newlove-Delgado T V, Abbott RA, *et al.* Pharmacological interventions for recurrent abdominal pain in childhood. In: Martin AE, ed. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. Chichester, UK: : John Wiley & Sons, Ltd 2017. CD010973. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010973.pub2
- 17 Ford AC, Harris LA, Lacy BE, *et al.* Systematic review with meta-analysis: the efficacy of prebiotics, probiotics, synbiotics and antibiotics in irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2018;**48**:1044–60. doi:10.1111/apt.15001
- 18 Newlove-Delgado T V, Martin AE, Abbott RA, *et al.* Dietary interventions for recurrent abdominal pain in childhood. *Cochrane database Syst Rev* 2017;**3**:CD010972. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010972.pub2
- 19 Dionne J, Ford AC, Yuan Y, *et al.* A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Evaluating the Efficacy of a Gluten-Free Diet and a Low FODMAPS Diet in Treating Symptoms of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2018;**113**:1290–300. doi:10.1038/s41395-018-0195-4
- 20 Abbott RA, Martin AE, Newlove-Delgado T V, *et al.* Psychosocial interventions for recurrent abdominal pain in childhood. *Cochrane database Syst Rev* 2017;**1**:CD010971. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010971.pub2
- 21 Vlieger AM, Rutten JMTM, Govers AMAP, *et al.* Long-term follow-up of gut-directed hypnotherapy vs. standard care in children with functional abdominal pain or irritable bowel syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012;**107**:627–31. doi:10.1038/ajg.2011.487
- 22 Saulnier DM, Riehle K, Mistretta T-A, *et al.* Gastrointestinal microbiome signatures of pediatric patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 2011;**141**:1782–91. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.06.072
- 23 Tap J, Derrien M, Törnblom H, *et al.* Identification of an Intestinal Microbiota Signature Associated With Severity of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 2017;**152**:111–23.e8. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.09.049
- 24 Pittayanon R, Lau JT, Yuan Y, *et al.* Gut Microbiota in Patients With Irritable Bowel Syndrome—A Systematic Review. *Gastroenterology* 2019;**157**:97–108. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.03.049
- 25 Hyland NP, Quigley EMM, Brint E. Microbiota-host interactions in irritable bowel syndrome: epithelial barrier, immune regulation and brain-gut interactions. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014;**20**:8859–66. doi:10.3748/wjg.v20.i27.8859
- 26 Öhman L, Törnblom H, Simrén M. Crosstalk at the mucosal border: importance of the gut microenvironment in IBS. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015;**12**:36–49. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2014.200
- 27 van Nood E, Vrieze A, Nieuwdorp M, *et al.* Duodenal infusion of donor feces for recurrent *Clostridium difficile*. *N Engl J Med* 2013;**368**:407–15. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1205037
- 28 Costello SP, Hughes PA, Waters O, *et al.* Effect of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation on 8-Week Remission in Patients With Ulcerative Colitis. *JAMA* 2019;**321**:156. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.20046
- 29 Kootte RS, Levin E, Salojärvi J, *et al.* Improvement of Insulin Sensitivity after Lean Donor Feces

- 1
2
3 in Metabolic Syndrome Is Driven by Baseline Intestinal Microbiota Composition. *Cell Metab*
4 2017;**26**:611–9.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2017.09.008
5
- 6 30 Xu D, Chen VL, Steiner CA, *et al.* Efficacy of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation in Irritable Bowel
7 Syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019;**114**:1043–50. doi:10.14309/ajg.000000000000198
8
- 9 31 Ianiro G, Eusebi LH, Black CJ, *et al.* Systematic review with meta-analysis: efficacy of faecal
10 microbiota transplantation for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol*
11 *Ther* 2019;**50**:240–8. doi:10.1111/apt.15330
12
- 13 32 El-Salhy M, Hatlebakk JG, Gilja OH, *et al.* Efficacy of faecal microbiota transplantation for
14 patients with irritable bowel syndrome in a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled
15 study. *Gut* 2019;:gutjnl – 2019–319630. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2019-319630
16
- 17 33 Terveer EM, van Beurden YH, Goorhuis A, *et al.* How to: Establish and run a stool bank. *Clin*
18 *Microbiol Infect* 2017;**23**:924–30. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2017.05.015
19
- 20 34 Francis CY, Morris J, Whorwell PJ. The irritable bowel severity scoring system: a simple
21 method of monitoring irritable bowel syndrome and its progress. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*
22 1997;**11**:395–402.
23
- 24 35 Rao MM, Kallam R, Flindall I, *et al.* Use of Cortrak--an electromagnetic sensing device in
25 placement of enteral feeding tubes. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2008;**67**:E109.
26 doi:10.1017/S0029665108007416
27
- 28 36 Irvine EJ, Tack J, Crowell MD, *et al.* Design of Treatment Trials for Functional Gastrointestinal
29 Disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2016;**150**:1469–80.e1. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.010
30
- 31 37 Bijkerk CJ, de Wit NJ, Muris JWM, *et al.* Outcome measures in irritable bowel syndrome:
32 comparison of psychometric and methodological characteristics. *Am J Gastroenterol*
33 2003;**98**:122–7. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2003.07158.x
34
- 35 38 Patrick DL, Drossman DA, Frederick IO, *et al.* Quality of life in persons with irritable bowel
36 syndrome: development and validation of a new measure. *Dig Dis Sci* 1998;**43**:400–11.
37
- 38 39 Aaronson NK, Muller M, Cohen PD, *et al.* Translation, validation, and norming of the Dutch
39 language version of the SF-36 Health Survey in community and chronic disease populations. *J*
40 *Clin Epidemiol* 1998;**51**:1055–68.
41
- 42 40 Ware JE. SF-36 health survey update. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2000;**25**:3130–9.
43
- 44 41 Spinhoven P, Ormel J, Sloekers PP, *et al.* A validation study of the Hospital Anxiety and
45 Depression Scale (HADS) in different groups of Dutch subjects. *Psychol Med* 1997;**27**:363–70.
46
- 47 42 Van Roijen L, Essink-bot M-L, Koopmanschap MA, *et al.* Labor and Health Status in Economic
48 Evaluation of Health Care: The Health and Labor Questionnaire. *Int J Technol Assess Health*
49 *Care* 1996;**12**:405–15. doi:10.1017/S0266462300009764
50
- 51 43 Kao D, Roach B, Silva M, *et al.* Effect of Oral Capsule– vs Colonoscopy-Delivered Fecal
52 Microbiota Transplantation on Recurrent *Clostridium difficile* Infection. *JAMA* 2017;**318**:1985.
53 doi:10.1001/jama.2017.17077
54
- 55 44 Sim J, Lewis M. The size of a pilot study for a clinical trial should be calculated in relation to
56 considerations of precision and efficiency. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2012;**65**:301–8.
57 doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.07.011
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 45 Lancaster GA, Dodd S, Williamson PR. Design and analysis of pilot studies: recommendations
4 for good practice. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2004;**10**:307–12. doi:10.1111/j.2002.384.doc.x
5
- 6 46 Lai CY, Sung J, Cheng F, *et al.* Systematic review with meta-analysis: review of donor features,
7 procedures and outcomes in 168 clinical studies of faecal microbiota transplantation. *Aliment*
8 *Pharmacol Ther* 2019;**49**:354–63. doi:10.1111/apt.15116
9
- 10 47 Information Pertaining to Additional Safety Protections Regarding Use of Fecal Microbiota for
11 Transplantation – Screening and Testing of Stool Donors for Multi-drug Resistant Organisms |
12 FDA. [https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/safety-availability-biologics/information-](https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/safety-availability-biologics/information-pertaining-additional-safety-protections-regarding-use-fecal-microbiota-transplantation)
13 [pertaining-additional-safety-protections-regarding-use-fecal-microbiota-transplantation](https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/safety-availability-biologics/information-pertaining-additional-safety-protections-regarding-use-fecal-microbiota-transplantation)
14 (accessed 21 Oct 2019).
15
- 16 48 Ianiro G, Maida M, Burisch J, *et al.* Efficacy of different faecal microbiota transplantation
17 protocols for *Clostridium difficile* infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *United Eur*
18 *Gastroenterol J* 2018;**6**:1232–44. doi:10.1177/2050640618780762
19
- 20 49 Postigo R, Kim JH. Colonoscopic versus nasogastric fecal transplantation for the treatment of
21 *Clostridium difficile* infection: a review and pooled analysis. *Infection* 2012;**40**:643–8.
22 doi:10.1007/s15010-012-0307-9
23
- 24 50 Nagata N, Tohya M, Fukuda S, *et al.* Effects of bowel preparation on the human gut
25 microbiome and metabolome. *Sci Rep* 2019;**9**:4042. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-40182-9
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Address correspondence**
4

5 Judith Zeevenhooven, Emma Children’s Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam,
6 Paediatric Gastroenterology, Room C2-312, PO Box 22700, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
7
8 Telephone: +3120-5662906. Email: j.zeevenhooven@amsterdamumc.nl
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only

1
2
3 **Figure legends**
4

5 **Figure 1. Trial design.** After adolescents sign the informed consent form (T-2), patients complete the
6 baseline pain diary, the IBS-SSS and deliver stool samples and blood samples for eligibility screening.
7
8 At T0, adolescents are randomised in the allogeneic or autologous FMT group.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only

TIMEPOINT	Enrolment	Allocation	During treatment		Follow-up, Number of weeks after first FMT			
	T -2	T0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6
	-2 weeks	Baseline	3 weeks	6 weeks	12 weeks	16 weeks	24 weeks	48 weeks
	Screening patient	First FMT		Second FMT				
ENROLMENT:								
1 AMC visit	X	X		X	X		X	X
1 Phone assessment			X			X		
1 Eligibility screen	X							
1 Informed consent	X							
1 Allocation		X						
INTERVENTIONS:								
2 Allogeneic FMT		↔		↔				
2 Autologous FMT		↔		↔				
ASSESSMENTS:								
3 Pain diary card	X							
3 IBS-SSS	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3 Morning stool sample	X	X		X	X		X	X
3 Blood samples (20 ml)	X	X		X	X			
3 Adverse events			X	X	X	X	X	X
4 Questionnaires:								
4 Quality of life								
4 Depression/anxiety		X		X	X		X	X
4 School/work absenteeism								
4 Impact of treatment								
4 Adequate relief								
4 Dietary booklet	X	X		X	X		X	X

Figure 1. Trial design

After adolescents sign the informed consent form (T-2), patients complete the baseline pain diary, the IBS-SSS and deliver stool samples and blood samples for eligibility screening. At T0, adolescents are randomised in the allogeneic or autologous FMT group.

FMT = fecal microbiota transplantation; IBS-SSS = Irritable Bowel Syndrome Severity Scoring System

Supplementary Table 1. Exclusion criteria patients and donors

Patients	
	Use of systemic antibiotics in preceding 6 weeks
	Use of probiotic treatment in preceding 6 weeks
	Use of concomitant medication, including proton pump inhibitors (PPI) and vasopressine medication. Pain medication in the form of Paracetamol or NSAIDs is allowed.
	Current use of drugs which influence gastrointestinal motility (erythromycin, azithromycin, butyl scopolamine, domperidone, peppermint oil capsules, iberogast)
	Current treatment by another health care professional for abdominal symptoms
	Current treatment by psychologist or shrink for known anxiety or depression disorder
	Known swallowing disorder
	Known diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease (i.e. Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis)
	Known concomitant organic gastrointestinal disease
	Known diagnosis of an autoimmune disease (e.g. hypo- or hyperthyroidism, celiac disease, rheumatoid arthritis)
	Condition leading to profound immunosuppression (HIV, infectious diseases leading to immunosuppression, bone marrow malignancies)
	Known diagnosis of cystic fibrosis
	Known diagnosis of porphyria
	Known pregnancy or current lactation
	Use of systematic chemotherapy
	Life expectancy < 12 months
	Current Intensive Care Unit-stay
	XTC, amphetamine or cocaine abuse
	Known intra-abdominal fistula
	Signs of ileus, diminished passage
	Allergy to macrogol or substituents, e.g. peanuts, shellfish
	History of surgery: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o <i>Hemicolectomy (defined as: surgery resulting in a resection of > 0.5 of the colon)</i> o <i>Presence of a pouch due to surgery</i> o <i>Presence of stoma</i>
	Insufficient knowledge of the Dutch language
Donors	
	Abnormal bowel motions, abdominal complaints or symptoms indicative of irritable bowel syndrome
	An extensive travel behaviour
	Higher risk of colonization with multidrug- resistant organisms including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o <i>Health care workers</i> o <i>Persons who have recently been hospitalized or discharged from long term care facilities</i> o <i>Persons who regularly attend outpatient medical or surgical clinics</i> o <i>Persons who have recently engaged in medical tourism</i>
	Unsafe sex practice (assessed with standardized questionnaire)
	Use of any medication including PPI
	Antibiotic treatment in the past 12 weeks
	A positive history/clinical evidence for inflammatory bowel disease (i.e. Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis)
	A positive history/clinical evidence for other gastrointestinal diseases, including chronic diarrhoea or chronic constipation

1
2
3 Patients receiving immunosuppressive medications or a positive history/clinical evidence for autoimmune
4 disease including:

- 5 *o Type 1 diabetes*
- 6 *o Hashimoto hypothyroidism*
- 7 *o Graves hyperthyroidism*
- 8 *o Rheumatoid arthritis*
- 9 *o Celiac disease*

10
11 History of or present known malignant disease and/or patients who are receiving systemic anti-neoplastic agents

12 Known psychiatric disease (i.e. depression, schizophrenia, autism, Asperger's syndrome)

13 Known chronic neurological/neurodegenerative disease (e.g. Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis)

14 Positive blood tests for the presence of: HIV, HTLV, lues, Strongyloides

15 Active hepatitis A, B-, C- or E-virus infection or known exposure within recent 12 months

16 Acute infection with cytomegalovirus (CMV) or Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)

17 Chronic pain syndromes (e.g. fibromyalgia)

18 Major relevant allergies (e.g. food allergy, multiple allergies)

19 Recent (gastrointestinal) infection within last 6 months

20 Tattoo or body piercing placement within last 6 months

21 Alcohol abuse (>3 units/day)

22 Known risk of Creutzfeldt Jacob's disease

23 History of current use of IV drugs

24 History of treatment with growth factors

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Protocol for a pilot randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial for assessing the feasibility and efficacy of faecal microbiota transplantation in adolescents with refractory irritable bowel syndrome: FAIS Trial

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2020-000689.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Jun-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Zeevenhooven, Judith; Emma Childrens Hospital AMC, Paediatric Gastroenterology de Bruijn, Clara; Emma Childrens Hospital AMC, Paediatric Gastroenterology Vlieger, Arine; Sint Antonius Hospital, Department of Paediatrics Nieuwdorp, Max; Amsterdam UMC - Locatie AMC, Department of Internal Medicine Benninga, Marc; Emma Kinderziekenhuis AMC, Paediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition
Keywords:	Gastroenterology

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 **Protocol for a pilot randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial for assessing the feasibility**
4 **and efficacy of faecal microbiota transplantation in adolescents with refractory irritable bowel**
5 **syndrome: FAIS Trial**
6
7

8
9 **Authors**

10
11 Judith Zeevenhooven¹, Clara M.A. de Bruijn¹, Arine M. Vlieger², Max Nieuwdorp³, Marc A. Benninga¹
12

13 **Affiliations**

- 14
15
16 1. Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Paediatric
17 Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
18
19 2. Department of Paediatrics, St. Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands
20
21 3. Department of Internal Medicine, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam
22

23 **Address correspondence**

24
25 Judith Zeevenhooven, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam,
26 Paediatric Gastroenterology, Room C2-312, PO Box 22700, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
27

28
29 Telephone: +3120-5662906. Email: j.zeevenhooven@amsterdamumc.nl
30

31 **Word Count**

32
33 Main text: 2503

34
35
36 Tables: 6

37
38
39 Figures: 1

40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Number of references: 50

ABSTRACT

Background: Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a common chronic medical condition, in both children and adults. Despite the availability of effective (non)pharmacological treatments, symptoms persist in a significant amount of IBS patients. Faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) may be an effective alternative treatment in adolescents with refractory IBS through manipulation of the intestinal microbiota.

Methods and analysis: This randomised, placebo-controlled single-centre pilot study will assess feasibility and efficacy of FMT in 30 adolescents (16-21 years) with refractory IBS. Patients will be randomly allocated (1:1) to receive two allogeneic (healthy donor) or two autologous (own) faecal infusions at baseline and after 6 weeks. Primary outcomes will assess feasibility, including patient and donor recruitment, adherence, and incidence rates of adverse events. To evaluate clinical efficacy, secondary outcomes will include the proportion of patients with at least > 50% reduction of their abdominal pain intensity and frequency 12 weeks after the first FMT, and after 6 and 12 months follow-up. Other outcomes comprise changes in faecal gut microbiota composition, quality of life, depression and anxiety, school or work absenteeism and adequate relief, measured directly after FMTs and after 6 and 12 months of follow up.

Discussion: This RCT will investigate the feasibility and effectiveness of repetitive FMTs in adolescents with refractory IBS.

Ethics and dissemination: The study is approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committees AMC (MEC-AMC) in the Netherlands.

Trial registration details: Clinical trials registration number is NCT03074227.

Keywords: Faecal microbiota transplantation, FMT, irritable bowel syndrome, IBS, therapy resistant, adolescents

What is known about the subject

- It is suggested that IBS symptoms are generated through an effect of the microbiome on the intestinal barrier, enteroendocrine system, the immune system and the gut-brain axis
- Faecal Microbiota Transplantation (FMT) administered via a nasoduodenal tube, is a new treatment regimen which modifies the gut microbiome through replacement of the patient microbiome by that of a healthy donor

What this study hopes to add

- This RCT will investigate the feasibility and effectiveness of repetitive FMTs in adolescents with refractory IBS
- This study will enable us to analyse in detail which microbiota components might predict a positive response to FMT

Confidential: For Review Only

BACKGROUND

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) according to the Rome IV criteria (Table 1) is a common chronic medical condition, with worldwide pooled prevalence rates in adults and children ranging from 5.8-17.5% and 6.2-11.9%, respectively.[1,2] Some studies report a peak prevalence in adolescents (12-19 years).[3] IBS impairs daily life, as patients report a decreased quality of life,[4,5] high work or school absence,[6,7] and a higher risk to develop depressive and anxiety disorders compared to healthy controls.[7,8] Consequently, healthcare costs are substantial.[9,10]

Table 1. Rome IV criteria: Irritable Bowel Syndrome[11]

Diagnostic Criteria must include all of the following*

1. Abdominal pain at least 4 days per month associated with one or more of the following:
 - a. Related to defecation
 - b. A change in frequency of stool
 - c. A change in form (appearance) of stool
2. In children with constipation, the pain does not resolve with resolution of the constipation (children in whom the pain resolves have functional constipation, not irritable bowel syndrome)
3. After appropriate evaluation, the symptoms cannot be fully explained by another medical condition

**Criteria fulfilled for at least 2 months before diagnosis.*

Standard medical care for IBS consists of education, reassurance and simple dietary and behavioural advices.[12,13] Subsequently, either a pharmacological (tricyclic antidepressants (TCA), peppermint oil, linaclotide and lubiprostone) or non-pharmacological treatment (hypnotherapy and cognitive behavioural therapy) can be considered.[12–15] In the treatment of adolescent IBS patients, evidence for the efficacy of pharmacological agents is scarce and inconclusive.[16] In addition, some interventions that modify the microbiome, such as rifaximin or particular strains of probiotics, appear to have beneficial effects in IBS adult patients,[17] and in adolescent patients as well.[16,18] Some low quality evidence exists for the dietary low in fermentable oligo-, di- and monosaccharides and polyols (FODMAP) intervention in adult and adolescent IBS patients.[18,19] Finally, some psychological therapies, such as hypnotherapy, relaxation therapy and cognitive behavioural therapy are proven to be effective treatments for IBS.[14,20] Despite these available treatments, symptoms

1
2
3 may persist in some IBS patients.[21] These IBS patients can be considered as therapy resistant
4
5 (refractory) and might benefit from another potential treatment. Recent publications in children and
6
7 adults indicate that altered gut microbiota may play an important role in the pathophysiology of
8
9 IBS.[22–24] Symptoms may be generated through effects of the microbiome on the intestinal barrier,
10
11 enteroendocrine system, the immune system, the gut-brain axis, regulation of bile acid
12
13 deconjugation, but also via diet derived metabolites produced by the microbiota.[25,26] Therefore,
14
15 manipulation of the intestinal microbiota by faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT), which modifies
16
17 the gut microbiome through replacement of the patient microbiome by that of a healthy donor, in
18
19 refractory IBS patients can potentially have beneficial effects on IBS symptoms. FMT has been shown
20
21 to be highly effective in treating adults with recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection [27] and yielded
22
23 promising results in patients with ulcerative colitis [28] and metabolic syndrome.[29] For IBS, six
24
25 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on efficacy of FMT have been performed in adults.[30–32] Two
26
27 recent meta-analyses on these trials concluded that FMT versus placebo yielded no significant
28
29 improvement in IBS symptoms, but results were hampered by significant inconsistency due to
30
31 important differences in FMT methodology.[30,31] To the best of our knowledge, no study has yet
32
33 assessed the effect of FMT in adolescents with refractory IBS. Therefore, the objective of this RCT is
34
35 to assess feasibility and effectiveness of FMT in adolescents with refractory IBS.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

METHODS

Trial design

The Faecal Administration in refractory Irritable bowel Syndrome (FAIS) trial is a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled single-centre pilot study. We aim to enrol 30 adolescents aged between 16 and 21 years, with refractory irritable bowel syndrome (Table 2). After randomisation, patients will either receive two allogenic faecal microbiota transplantations (FMTs) from a healthy donor or two autologous FMTs at baseline and after 6 weeks. The flow of the study protocol is presented in Figure 1.

Table 2. Refractory Irritable Bowel Syndrome

1.	Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) according to the Rome IV criteria
2.	Symptoms are present for ≥ 12 months
3.	Patients received adequate explanation, reassurance and dietary advice for their symptoms
4.	There is an absence of response to a minimum of six sessions of psychological treatment, like hypnotherapy or cognitive behavioural therapy
5.	There is an absence of response to an adequate dose of at least one pharmacological agent tried for a minimum of six weeks

Patient and public involvement

There was no involvement of patients or the public in the design of this RCT.

Procedure

Recruitment

Patients

Patients from the outpatient clinic of the Amsterdam University Medical Centre (AUMC) will be recruited by their treating gastroenterologist. Furthermore, patients from other hospitals can be referred to the AUMC for participation in this study. In addition, patients will be recruited throughout

the Netherlands with help of online advertisement through IBS patient associations. Patient enrolment began in September 2018.

Donors

Healthy faecal donors will be recruited through advertisement in the form of posters, intranet network and emails, and via word by mouth.

Participant screening

Patients

Eligible patients will be invited for a screening visit. Informed consent from the participants will be obtained by the clinical research coordinator. During the screening visit, adolescents will undergo routine laboratory testing to exclude underlying organic disorders (Table 3). Furthermore, patients will fill out a pain diary.

Table 3. Specification of patient screening	
Faeces screening	
Calprotectine	
Bacteria	
Clostridium difficile	
Helicobacter pylori	
Parasites	
Giardia lamblia	Dientamoeba fragilis
Cryptosporidium spp.	Blastocystis hominis
Entamoeba histolytica	
Other	
Parasitic worm eggs	Protozoan Cysts and Oocysts
Larvae	
Serum screening	
Hematology	
Complete Blood Count (CBC)	Alkaline phosphatase (AF)
C-reactive protein (CRP)	Kreatinine
Bilirubine	Ureum
Aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT)	Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (EGFR)
Alanine aminotransferase (ALAT)	Anti-transglutaminase antibodies
Gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT)	IgA
Viruses*	

Cytomegalovirus (CMV)
Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)
* In case of seronegativity, a matching seronegative donor will be used for FMT
AF = Alkaline phosphatase; ALAT = Alanine aminotransferase; ASAT = Aspartate aminotransferase; CBC = complete blood count; CMV = cytomegalovirus; CRP = C-reactive protein; EGFR = Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; EBV = Epstein-Barr virus; GGT = Gamma-glutamyl transferase;

Donors

Potential donors will be thoroughly screened according to the screenings protocol of the Netherlands Donor Faeces Bank (NDFB).[33] Potential donors have to complete an extensive questionnaire regarding risk factors for infectious diseases and factors potentially perturbing the intestinal microbiota. Exclusion criteria for donors are outlined under 'Eligibility criteria'. If donors are considered eligible after completing the questionnaire, they will undergo serum and faeces laboratory testing to exclude potentially transmittable diseases (Table 4).

Table 4. Specification of donor screening	
Faeces screening	
Calprotectine	
Bacteria	
Clostridium difficile	Yersinia enterocolitica
Helicobacter pylori	Plesiomonas shigelloides
Salmonella spp	Pathogenic Campylobacter Spp.
Shigella spp.	Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC)
Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria	
Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE)	Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative (MRGN) 3
Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE)	MRGN 4
Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	Extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae
Viruses	
Hepatitis E	Rotavirus
Norovirus Type I and II	Enterovirus
Astrovirus	Adenovirus non-41/41
Sapovirus	Parechovirus
Adenovirus type 40/41	COVID-19
Parasites	
Giardia lamblia	Microsporidium spp.
Cryptosporidium spp.	Blastocystis hominis*
Entamoeba histolytica	Isospora spp.
Dientamoeba fragilis	Cyclospora
Non-pathogenic parasites**	
Entamoeba gingivalis	Endolimax nana

Entamoeba hartmanni	Iodamoeba bütschlii
Entamoeba coli	Entamoeba dispar
Entamoeba polecki	Entamoeba moshkovskii
Other	
Parasitic worm eggs	Protozoan Cysts and Oocysts
Larvae	
Serum screening	
Hematology	
Complete Blood Count (CBC)	Gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT)
Bilirubine	Alkaline phosphatase (AF)
C-reactive protein (CRP)	Kreatinine
Aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT)	Ureum
Alanine aminotransferase (ALAT)	Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (EGFR)
Bacteria	
Lues	
Viruses	
Hepatitis A	Cytomegalovirus (CMV)
Hepatitis B	Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)
Hepatitis C	Human T-lymphotropic virus (HTLV)
Human immunodeficiency viruses (HIV)	
Parasites	
Strongyloides	
* Exclusion of donor only if microscopically "much" or "very much" blastocystis are seen	
** Presence of only one non-pathogenic parasite is acceptable	
CRE = Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae; ESBL = Extended spectrum beta-lactamase; MRGN = multidrug-resistant Gram-negative; MRSA = Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; STEC = Shigatoxine-Producerende E. Coli; VRE = Vancomycin resistant enterococ; AF = Alkaline phosphatase; ALAT = Alanine aminotransferase; ASAT = Aspartate aminotransferase; CBC = complete blood count; CMV = cytomegalovirus; CRP = C-reactive protein; EGFR = Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; EBV = Epstein-Barr virus; GGT = Gamma-glutamyl transferase; HIV = Human immunodeficiency viruses; HTLV = Human T-lymphotropic virus	

Eligibility criteria

Patients

Inclusion criteria

- Age 16-21 years
- Non-smokers
- Ability to give informed consent
- IBS diagnosis (Table 1)
- Refractory symptoms (Table 2)
- Average daily pain rate ≥ 30 mm on the pain component scale of the IBS-SSS[34]

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

Donors

Inclusion criteria

- Age ≥ 16 years
- Non-smokers
- Ability to give informed consent
- BMI 18-25 kg/m²
- Regular morning stool pattern

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

Randomisation, blinding and treatment allocation

1
2
3 Randomisation will be done by a computerised random-number generator in the Electronic Data
4
5 Capture system Castor EDC in a 1:1 ratio to one of the following two treatment arms:

- 6
7
8 1. Allogeneic faecal infusions at t = 0 weeks and t = 6 weeks.
- 9
10 2. Autologous faecal infusions at t = 0 weeks and t = 6 weeks.

11
12
13 Randomly permuted blocks of size two and four will be used with no stratification. On the day of
14
15 faecal transplantation, both patient and donors will deliver faeces produced that morning.

16
17
18 Randomisation will be performed by one of the 'randomisation-assistants', who is designated to this
19
20 task. To guarantee blinding, the randomisation-assistant will make sure the randomised treatment is
21
22 not traceable to the donor or the patient. The blinded faeces will be brought to the Laboratory,
23
24 where the preparation of the faeces will be done by one of the investigators. Detailed information
25
26 about the preparation process is outlined under 'FMT procedure'. During the second FMT at 6 weeks,
27
28 faeces will be processed according to the randomisation performed on the first transplantation day.
29
30
31 The randomisation-assistant is the only person who will know which treatment the patient will be
32
33 given and will have no role in further parts of the study. The randomisation list will be kept under
34
35 secured access by Castor EDC. In case of an emergency, the study treatment can be unblinded after
36
37 consultation of the principal investigator.

38 39 40 **Intervention**

41 42 43 *FMT procedure*

44
45
46 At baseline and at 6 weeks, patient and donor will collect a fresh morning stool sample in a small
47
48 container and bring this to the AUMC for processing. Upon arrival of the patient in the hospital, a
49
50 nasoduodenal tube will be positioned under direct imaging, with the Cortrak® electromagnetic
51
52 sensing device.[35] After placement of the nasoduodenal tube, bowel lavage with 1.5–3.5 litres of
53
54 macrogol electrolytes (Klean-Prep®) solution will be performed according to standard protocols to
55
56 ensure complete bowel lavage. The amount of solution that is given depends on the rapidity by
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 which the bowel is cleaned. Finally, a faecal suspension of 200cc will be infused in the duodenum of
4
5 the patient through the nasoduodenal tube.
6
7

8 *Preparation of faecal infusion product*

9

10
11 On the day of infusion, a fresh faeces sample (100 – 200 g on average) of either the donor
12
13 (allogeneic) or patient (autologous) will be used. In case a patient is not able to provide a fresh
14
15 morning faeces sample, the first faecal production after the start of bowel-lavage with Klean-Prep®
16
17 is used as suitable faecal sample for further processing. Time of collection will be recorded. The
18
19 faeces will be weighted and mixed with 200 – 400 ml Saline (0.9% NaCl) until fully homogenised.
20
21
22 Next, the faeces solution is poured through a double gauze and debris of large size will be removed.
23
24 This step will be repeated. Afterwards, the homogenised solution will be decanted through a metal
25
26 funnel into a 200 cc sterile plastic bottle. All steps are performed under a fume-hood by one of the
27
28 co-investigators. Within 6 hours after production by the donor, the faeces will be installed through
29
30 the nasoduodenal tube in the patient.
31
32
33

34 **Outcomes**

35
36

37 All below mentioned outcome measures apply to patients.
38
39

40 *Primary outcome*

41
42

43 The primary objective of this RCT is to assess the feasibility of our study protocol. This will be
44
45 assessed by evaluating the process of patient recruitment and screening, the patient drop-out rate
46
47 and the incidence rates of adverse events. Table 5 delineates the feasibility outcome measures and
48
49 measurement instruments.
50
51

52 *Secondary outcomes*

53
54

55 Secondary objectives include the proportion of patients with > 50% reduction of their abdominal pain
56
57 intensity and pain frequency compared to baseline at t=12 weeks after the first FMT. This will be
58
59
60

1
2
3 assessed with the pain component of the IBS-SSS.[34] Table 5 also describes all secondary outcome
4
5 measures.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only

Table 5. Trial outcome measures and instruments

	Outcome measures	Instrument
Feasibility outcomes	Patient recruitment	Patient recruitment per month <i>patient/month recruited</i>
	Patient screening	Patient eligibility <i>% of patients</i>
	Patient drop-out	Patient drop-out rate after randomization <i>% of patients, including patient acceptance to accomplish repetitive faecal microbiota transplantations (FMTs)</i>
	Serious adverse events related to FMT	Hospitalization or increase of > 100 points on pain component of IBS-SSS <i>% of patients</i>
	Stool sample collection	Patients provide all necessary stool samples <i>% of the provided samples, morning stool samples will be collected during all study visits</i>
Efficacy outcomes	> 50% reduction of abdominal pain intensity and pain frequency compared to baseline at 12 (T3), 24 (T5) and 48 (T6) weeks after first FMT	Pain component of Irritable Bowel Syndrome Severity Scoring System (IBS-SSS) score [34] With two questions, the severity and frequency of the abdominal pain on the last 10 days is measured. The IBS-SSS is the only symptom severity scale that has been responsive to treatment effects.[36] It has been recommended as a good instrument to obtain information on specific IBS related symptoms.[37]
	Change in gut microbiota composition	MiSeq Illumina Sequencing Morning stool samples will be collected to profile the faecal microbiota composition by sequencing of the V4 region of the 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene
	Change in gut mycobiome composition	ITS sequencing Morning stool sample will be collected to profile the faecal mycobiome composition by high-throughput rDNA sequencing of fungal internal transcribed spacer (ITS)-1 regions
	Change in gut metabolome composition	Capillary electrophoresis time-of-flight mass spectrometry (CE-TOF-MS) Morning stool sample will be collected to profile the faecal metabolome composition by CE-TOF-MS
	Number of adverse events	Patient CRF
	Number of rescue medication	Patient CRF
	Total IBS-SSS score	Irritable Bowel Syndrome Severity Scoring System (IBS-SSS) score [34] The IBS-SSS is the only symptom severity scale that has been responsive to treatment effects.[36] It has been recommended as a good instrument to obtain information on specific IBS related symptoms.[37]
	Health related quality of life	Irritable Bowel Syndrome – Quality of Life (IBS-QOL) questionnaire [38] This questionnaire is a 34-item assessment of the degree to which the IBS interferes with patient quality of life and consists of eight domains: dysphoria, interference with activities, body image, health worry, food avoidance, social reactions, sexual health, and effect on relationships.[38]
Generic quality of life	Medical Outcomes Study 36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) The SF-36 questionnaire consists of 36 questions regarding eight dimensions of health perception: limitations in physical functioning, role limitation due to physical health problems, bodily pain, general health perception, vitality, social functioning, role limitations due to emotional limitations, and mental health. A score between 0 (worst possible quality of life) and 100 (best possible quality of life) can be obtained. The reliability has been proven extensively for diverse patient groups and it is validated for the Dutch population.[39] The SF-36 is described as adequate for persons 14 years of age and older.[40]	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Depression and anxiety	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) The HADS is divided into two 7-item scales, with answers on a four-point scale (0-3). Higher scores indicate a higher level of anxiety or depression (range 0-21). A scale score of ≥ 8 (cut-off score) indicates clinically significant anxiety or depression. The Dutch version of the HADS showed satisfactory validity and reliability.[41]
Absence of school or work, healthcare resources and costs	Adapted version of the Dutch Health and Labor Questionnaire [42] School or work absenteeism and indirect healthcare utilization costs are measures by three items. Adolescents indicate whether they have been absent from school or work due to abdominal pain complaints, and if yes, the amount of hours per week. For the indirect costs of healthcare utilization, adolescents indicate additional costs they had due to symptoms of abdominal pain over the past 4 weeks.
Impact of treatment	Adapted version of the Patient Satisfaction and Preference Questionnaire [43] Impact of FMT treatment will be assessed using 5 questions, which are based on the Patient Satisfaction and Preference Questionnaire used in another RCT on FMT in patients with recurrent Clostridium Difficile infection.[43] The questions address thoughts on how unpleasant and how dirty participants find the idea of getting a faecal transplant.
Adequate relief	One question: "Did you have adequate relief of IBS symptoms (abdominal discomfort/pain, bowel habits, and other symptoms like nausea and bloating) over the past week?" (Yes/No)
Plasma biomarkers	Vena puncture
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Intestinal fatty acid-binding protein (I-FABP) - Smooth muscle protein of 22 kDa (SM-22) - Citrulline 	EDTA vacuum tubes were used. All blood samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm, at 41C for 15 minutes to obtain plasma. Plasma was immediately stored in aliquots at -80°C until analysis
Safety parameters	Vena puncture
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - C-reactive Protein (CRP) - Liver function - Renal function 	EDTA vacuum tubes were used. All blood samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm, at 41C for 15 minutes to obtain plasma. Plasma was immediately stored in aliquots at -80°C until analysis
Dietary intake	Dietary diary Dietary intake lists are filled out 7 days prior to each faecal sample collection.

CE-TOF-MS = capillary electrophoresis time-of-flight mass spectrometry; CRF = case report form; CRP = c-reactive protein; EDTA = ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; FMT = faecal microbiota transplantation; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; I-FABP = intestinal fatty acid-binding protein; IBS = irritable bowel syndrome; IBS-QOL = irritable bowel syndrome – quality of life; IBS-SSS = irritable bowel syndrome – severity scoring system; RCT = randomized controlled trial; rRNA = ribosomal RNA; SF-36 = Study 36-item Short Form Health Survey; SM-22 = smooth muscle protein of 22 kDa



Participant timeline

Figure 1 displays the time schedule of enrolment, interventions, assessments and visits for participating patients.

Sample size calculation

Since this is a pilot study, a reliable sample size calculation is not feasible. In accordance with recruitment recommendations,[44,45] a minimum of 15 patients per treatment group will be included. In addition, based on accumulated evidence with 16S rRNA sequencing using MiSeq, Illumina Platform, a sample size of 20 individuals is normally enough to detect relevant differences in the microbiota. Hence, a total sample size of N=30 seems adequate. In order to reduce heterogeneity in faecal transplants, one donor will donate faeces to approximately 3 patients, which implicates that 5 donors are needed for 30 patients.

Statistical analysis

All data will be analysed according to the intention-to-treat principle. Feasibility outcome measures will be presented as proportions at each time point throughout the trial. To assess the efficacy outcomes group differences will be calculated by a mean difference with a 95% CI, using an independent t-test for continuous variables with a parametric distribution or Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables with a non-parametric distribution. Group differences for categorical variables will be calculated using Fisher's exact statistics. In addition, data of continues variables will be analysed using mixed models to account for correlations of measurements within the same individual on several time points. Due to the small sample size, baseline values will not be incorporated in these analyses. Significance is set at $\alpha = 0.05$ in all analyses.

Microbiota composition of the faecal samples will be measured by 16S rRNA sequencing and specific genera/species are screened by qPCR. Alfa-and beta-diversity of faecal samples will be calculated. Cluster analysis and similarity of the microbiota profiles, expressed as Pearson correlation, will be

1
2
3 assessed and compared between IBS patients and healthy donors, between treatment groups, and
4
5 between responders and non-responders. In addition, short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) composition of
6
7 the faecal samples will be measured.
8
9

10 11 12 **Monitoring**

13 14 *Data monitoring*

15
16 In order to optimise safety of the study during inclusion, patient data will be disclosed to a data
17
18 safety monitoring board (DSMB) when 50% of the intended sample size is attained and has reached
19
20 12 weeks follow up. The advice(s) of the DSMB will be notified upon receipt by the sponsor to the
21
22 METC that approved the protocol. With this notification a statement will be included indicating
23
24 whether the advice will be followed.
25
26
27
28

29 30 *Harms*

31
32 The risks associated with participation in this RCT can be considered moderate, because of the
33
34 minimal invasive treatment. Nasoduodenal tube positioning through a Cortrak® electromagnetic
35
36 sensing device carries a little risk of complications like aspiration, perforation or mal-positioning. If
37
38 there is any doubt of malposition of the tube, a plain abdominal X-ray will be performed. To prevent
39
40 complications, patients with swallowing disorders will not be included in this study.
41
42
43

44
45 Recent meta-analyses on clinical outcomes of FMT in general concluded that no serious adverse
46
47 events were attributable to FMT.[30,46] Adverse events (AEs) were infrequent and mostly self-
48
49 limiting (i.e. diarrhoea, abdominal distension, nausea and vomiting) and no differences existed in the
50
51 number of AEs between donor FMT and control patients.[30,46] In our study, AEs will be monitored
52
53 throughout the whole study. In order to make the risk for transmission of infectious diseases as small
54
55 as possible rescreening of the faecal donors will be performed according to Table 6. In accordance to
56
57 the legal requirements in the Netherlands (article 10, subsection 1, WMO), the investigator will
58
59
60

1
2
3 inform the subjects and the reviewing accredited METC if harmful events occur. When there are
4
5 indications that the disadvantage of participation may be significantly greater than was described in
6
7 the research proposal, the study will be suspended pending a further positive decision by the
8
9 accredited METC. The investigator will take care that all subjects are kept informed.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only

Table 6. Time interval of donor rescreening*

	<i>Rescreening interval</i>			
	<i>Pre-FMT</i>	<i>4 weeks</i>	<i>8 weeks</i>	<i>26 weeks</i>
Short rescreening questionnaire	x			
Extensive rescreening questionnaire				x
Faeces screening				
Calprotectine				x
Bacteria				x
Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria			x	
Viruses				x
Parasites				x
Non-pathogenic parasites**				x
Other				x
Serum screening				
Hematology				x
Bacteria				x
Viruses				x
<i>Cytomegalovirus (CMV)</i>		x*		
<i>Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)</i>				
Parasites				x

* for specification of screening items see Table 4: specification of donor screening
 ** when a donor is seronegative for EBV IgG and/or CMV IgG

Ethics and dissemination

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Research Committee of the AUMC in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. All important protocol amendments will be presented to the Medical Ethics Committee of the AUMC and will await approval before they are implemented.

Commencement of the trial

On November 23, 2017 the first study participant (in particular donor) was included in the trial. Until today, 58 potential donors were recruited of which 39 were included and started the screening procedure. Finally, a total of five donors were eligible to donate faeces. The first patient signed informed consent in August 2018. At time of writing 15 adolescents were recruited and a total of 19 faecal transplantations have been performed.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

IBS is a chronic and disabling condition, which can pose great impact on daily life of patients, reflected in decreased quality of life,[4,5] high work or school absence,[6,7] a higher risk to develop depressive and anxiety disorders.[7,8] and substantial healthcare costs.[9,10] Effective management strategies for adolescents and adults in the form of antidepressants, peppermint oil, cognitive behavioural therapy, hypnotherapy, probiotics and low FODMAP diet exist. However, a subgroup of IBS patients remains symptomatic. New effective treatment options for this subgroup are warranted and might be targeted on the altered microbiome in IBS patients.[24]

Up to now, six RCTs have been performed to assess the effect of FMT in IBS in adults. Two trials assessed the effect of FMT administered by capsules, two evaluated the effect of FMT delivered by colonoscopy, one via gastroscopy, and one by nasojejunal tube.[31] It appears that the efficacy of FMT is associated with the methodology of FMT and placebo, as donor faeces administered by colonoscopy, gastroscopy or nasojejunal tube demonstrated a clinically significant improvement in global IBS symptoms in comparison with autologous FMT via the same route, whereas stool capsules did not demonstrate any beneficial effect compared to placebo capsules.[31]

The present pilot study assesses the feasibility of FMT in adolescents with refractory IBS according to the Rome IV criteria. Furthermore, the efficacy of FMT on abdominal pain symptoms in these patients is explored. By designing this specific treatment protocol, a unique opportunity is created to investigate potential beneficial effects of restoring the gut microbiota composition on abdominal pain complaints. Data of this study will help determine optimal study conditions and inform the choice of endpoints for future, larger size, double-blind RCTs on FMT in adolescents with IBS.

Furthermore, this study will define preliminary efficacy results of the use of FMT in these patients. In addition, this study will enable us to analyse in detail which microbiota components might predict a positive response to FMT.

1
2
3 Our study has several strengths. First, the FMT will be administered via a nasoduodenal tube and it
4 will be performed twice, since it has been demonstrated that this might enhance the effect of the
5 FMT.[47] Another strength is the one-year follow-up, which allows us to assess the long-term effect
6 of FMT.
7
8
9
10

11
12 A limitation of our study is the small sample size, which allows us to only encounter major effects of
13 the FMT treatment. Furthermore, we decided to include IBS patients regardless of subtype, leading
14 to a heterogeneous patient population which may affect the efficacy results. Moreover, it is unclear
15 what the effect of bowel lavage is on the efficacy of FMT and on microbiome composition. Studies
16 with and without bowel preparations before FMT demonstrate great efficacy.[47,48] In addition, it
17 has been shown that bowel preparation can disrupt the colonic ecosystem were the overall
18 microbiome composition recovers to baseline within 14 days after bowel cleansing.[49] Our efficacy
19 outcome measure is assessed at 12 weeks after the first FMT (and 6 weeks after the second FMT),
20 which minimises the effect that the bowel cleansing can have on the microbiome composition.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 In conclusion, the results of this trial will provide preliminary evidence for the use of FMT in
34 adolescents with refractory IBS. The results will inform future larger, double-blind, placebo-
35 controlled trials on the right sample size, on the feasibility of this study design, on efficacy outcome
36 measures and on the potential of the microbiome to be a therapeutic target in IBS.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Contributorship Statement

MAB is the principal investigator, designed the study, wrote the protocol, supervised the trial, and supervised drafting of the manuscript. JZ participated in the design of the study, wrote the protocol, coordinated part of the trial, and was responsible for data collection, analysis and drafting the manuscript. CMAB coordinates the trial, and is responsible for data collection, analysis and drafting the manuscript. AV and MN contributed to the design of the trial, critically revised the protocol, and supervised drafting of the manuscript.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests

M. Nieuwdorp is in the scientific advisory board of Caelus Health and Kaleido BioSciences; however, none of these are directly related to the current manuscript.

The other have no competing interests relevant to this article to disclose.

Acknowledgements

Dr. I.J.N. Koppen and dr. D.R. Hoekman provided substantial conceptual contributions. Prof. dr. A.H. Zwinderman provided contributions to statistical considerations for the trial.

REFERENCES

- 1 Sperber AD, Dumitrascu D, Fukudo S, *et al.* The global prevalence of IBS in adults remains elusive due to the heterogeneity of studies: a Rome Foundation working team literature review. *Gut* 2017;**66**:1075–82. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2015-311240
- 2 Korterink JJ, Diederik K, Benninga MA, *et al.* Epidemiology of Pediatric Functional Abdominal Pain Disorders: A Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One* 2015;**10**:e0126982. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126982
- 3 Sagawa T, Okamura S, Kakizaki S, *et al.* Functional gastrointestinal disorders in adolescents and quality of school life. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013;**28**:285–90. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1746.2012.07257.x
- 4 Gralnek IM, Hays RD, Kilbourne A, *et al.* The impact of irritable bowel syndrome on health-related quality of life. *Gastroenterology* 2000;**119**:654–60.
- 5 Youssef NN. Quality of Life for Children With Functional Abdominal Pain: A Comparison Study of Patients' and Parents' Perceptions. *Pediatrics* 2006;**117**:54–9. doi:10.1542/peds.2005-0114
- 6 Canavan C, West J, Card T. Review article: the economic impact of the irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2014;**40**:1023–34. doi:10.1111/apt.12938
- 7 Youssef NN, Atienza K, Langseder AL, *et al.* Chronic Abdominal Pain and Depressive Symptoms: Analysis of the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008;**6**:329–32. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2007.12.019
- 8 Fond G, Loundou A, Hamdani N, *et al.* Anxiety and depression comorbidities in irritable bowel syndrome (IBS): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2014;**264**:651–60. doi:10.1007/s00406-014-0502-z
- 9 Brandt LJ, Chey WD, Foxx-Orenstein AE, *et al.* An Evidence-Based Position Statement on the Management of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;**104**:S1–35. doi:10.1038/ajg.2008.122
- 10 Hoekman DR, Rutten JMTM, Vlieger AM, *et al.* Annual Costs of Care for Pediatric Irritable Bowel Syndrome, Functional Abdominal Pain, and Functional Abdominal Pain Syndrome. *J Pediatr* 2015;**167**:1103-1108.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.07.058
- 11 Hyams JS, Di Lorenzo C, Saps M, *et al.* Childhood Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders: Child/Adolescent. *Gastroenterology* 2016;**150**:1456-1468.e2. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.015
- 12 Drossman DA. The functional gastrointestinal disorders and the Rome III process. *Gastroenterology* 2006;**130**:1377–90. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2006.03.008
- 13 Rutten JMTM, Korterink JJ, Venmans LMAJ, *et al.* [Guideline on functional abdominal pain in children]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2017;**161**:D781.
- 14 Ford AC, Lacy BE, Harris LA, *et al.* Effect of Antidepressants and Psychological Therapies in Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019;**114**:21–39. doi:10.1038/s41395-018-0222-5
- 15 Black CJ, Yuan Y, Selinger CP, *et al.* Efficacy of soluble fibre, antispasmodic drugs, and gut-brain neuromodulators in irritable bowel syndrome: a systematic review and network meta-

- analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* Published Online First: December 2019.
doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30324-3
- 16 Martin AE, Newlove-Delgado T V, Abbott RA, *et al.* Pharmacological interventions for recurrent abdominal pain in childhood. In: Martin AE, ed. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. Chichester, UK: : John Wiley & Sons, Ltd 2017. CD010973.
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010973.pub2
- 17 Ford AC, Harris LA, Lacy BE, *et al.* Systematic review with meta-analysis: the efficacy of prebiotics, probiotics, synbiotics and antibiotics in irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2018;**48**:1044–60. doi:10.1111/apt.15001
- 18 Newlove-Delgado T V, Martin AE, Abbott RA, *et al.* Dietary interventions for recurrent abdominal pain in childhood. *Cochrane database Syst Rev* 2017;**3**:CD010972.
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010972.pub2
- 19 Dionne J, Ford AC, Yuan Y, *et al.* A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Evaluating the Efficacy of a Gluten-Free Diet and a Low FODMAPS Diet in Treating Symptoms of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2018;**113**:1290–300. doi:10.1038/s41395-018-0195-4
- 20 Abbott RA, Martin AE, Newlove-Delgado T V, *et al.* Psychosocial interventions for recurrent abdominal pain in childhood. *Cochrane database Syst Rev* 2017;**1**:CD010971.
doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010971.pub2
- 21 Vlieger AM, Rutten JMTM, Govers AMAP, *et al.* Long-term follow-up of gut-directed hypnotherapy vs. standard care in children with functional abdominal pain or irritable bowel syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012;**107**:627–31. doi:10.1038/ajg.2011.487
- 22 Saulnier DM, Riehle K, Mistretta T-A, *et al.* Gastrointestinal microbiome signatures of pediatric patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 2011;**141**:1782–91.
doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.06.072
- 23 Tap J, Derrien M, Törnblom H, *et al.* Identification of an Intestinal Microbiota Signature Associated With Severity of Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 2017;**152**:111-123.e8. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.09.049
- 24 Pittayanon R, Lau JT, Yuan Y, *et al.* Gut Microbiota in Patients With Irritable Bowel Syndrome—A Systematic Review. *Gastroenterology* 2019;**157**:97–108.
doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.03.049
- 25 Hyland NP, Quigley EMM, Brint E. Microbiota-host interactions in irritable bowel syndrome: epithelial barrier, immune regulation and brain-gut interactions. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014;**20**:8859–66. doi:10.3748/wjg.v20.i27.8859
- 26 Öhman L, Törnblom H, Simrén M. Crosstalk at the mucosal border: importance of the gut microenvironment in IBS. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015;**12**:36–49.
doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2014.200
- 27 van Nood E, Vrieze A, Nieuwdorp M, *et al.* Duodenal infusion of donor feces for recurrent *Clostridium difficile*. *N Engl J Med* 2013;**368**:407–15. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1205037
- 28 Costello SP, Hughes PA, Waters O, *et al.* Effect of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation on 8-Week Remission in Patients With Ulcerative Colitis. *JAMA* 2019;**321**:156.
doi:10.1001/jama.2018.20046

- 1
2
3 29 Kootte RS, Levin E, Salojärvi J, *et al.* Improvement of Insulin Sensitivity after Lean Donor Feces
4 in Metabolic Syndrome Is Driven by Baseline Intestinal Microbiota Composition. *Cell Metab*
5 2017;**26**:611-619.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2017.09.008
6
7 30 Xu D, Chen VL, Steiner CA, *et al.* Efficacy of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation in Irritable Bowel
8 Syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019;**114**:1043-50. doi:10.14309/ajg.000000000000198
9
10 31 Ianiro G, Eusebi LH, Black CJ, *et al.* Systematic review with meta-analysis: efficacy of faecal
11 microbiota transplantation for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol*
12 *Ther* 2019;**50**:240-8. doi:10.1111/apt.15330
13
14 32 El-Salhy M, Hatlebakk JG, Gilja OH, *et al.* Efficacy of faecal microbiota transplantation for
15 patients with irritable bowel syndrome in a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled
16 study. *Gut* 2019;:gutjnl-2019-319630. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2019-319630
17
18 33 Terveer EM, van Beurden YH, Goorhuis A, *et al.* How to: Establish and run a stool bank. *Clin*
19 *Microbiol Infect* 2017;**23**:924-30. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2017.05.015
20
21 34 Francis CY, Morris J, Whorwell PJ. The irritable bowel severity scoring system: a simple
22 method of monitoring irritable bowel syndrome and its progress. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*
23 1997;**11**:395-402.
24
25 35 Rao MM, Kallam R, Flindall I, *et al.* Use of Cortrak--an electromagnetic sensing device in
26 placement of enteral feeding tubes. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2008;**67**:E109.
27 doi:10.1017/S0029665108007416
28
29 36 Irvine EJ, Tack J, Crowell MD, *et al.* Design of Treatment Trials for Functional Gastrointestinal
30 Disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2016;**150**:1469-1480.e1. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.010
31
32 37 Bijkerk CJ, de Wit NJ, Muris JWM, *et al.* Outcome measures in irritable bowel syndrome:
33 comparison of psychometric and methodological characteristics. *Am J Gastroenterol*
34 2003;**98**:122-7. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2003.07158.x
35
36 38 Patrick DL, Drossman DA, Frederick IO, *et al.* Quality of life in persons with irritable bowel
37 syndrome: development and validation of a new measure. *Dig Dis Sci* 1998;**43**:400-11.
38
39 39 Aaronson NK, Muller M, Cohen PD, *et al.* Translation, validation, and norming of the Dutch
40 language version of the SF-36 Health Survey in community and chronic disease populations. *J*
41 *Clin Epidemiol* 1998;**51**:1055-68.
42
43 40 Ware JE. SF-36 health survey update. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2000;**25**:3130-9.
44
45 41 Spinhoven P, Ormel J, Sloekers PP, *et al.* A validation study of the Hospital Anxiety and
46 Depression Scale (HADS) in different groups of Dutch subjects. *Psychol Med* 1997;**27**:363-70.
47
48 42 Van Roijen L, Essink-bot M-L, Koopmanschap MA, *et al.* Labor and Health Status in Economic
49 Evaluation of Health Care: The Health and Labor Questionnaire. *Int J Technol Assess Health*
50 *Care* 1996;**12**:405-15. doi:10.1017/S0266462300009764
51
52 43 Kao D, Roach B, Silva M, *et al.* Effect of Oral Capsule- vs Colonoscopy-Delivered Fecal
53 Microbiota Transplantation on Recurrent *Clostridium difficile* Infection. *JAMA* 2017;**318**:1985.
54 doi:10.1001/jama.2017.17077
55
56 44 Sim J, Lewis M. The size of a pilot study for a clinical trial should be calculated in relation to
57 considerations of precision and efficiency. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2012;**65**:301-8.
58
59

1
2
3 doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.07.011
4

- 5 45 Lancaster GA, Dodd S, Williamson PR. Design and analysis of pilot studies: recommendations
6 for good practice. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2004;**10**:307–12. doi:10.1111/j.2002.384.doc.x
7
- 8 46 Lai CY, Sung J, Cheng F, *et al*. Systematic review with meta-analysis: review of donor features,
9 procedures and outcomes in 168 clinical studies of faecal microbiota transplantation. *Aliment*
10 *Pharmacol Ther* 2019;**49**:354–63. doi:10.1111/apt.15116
11
- 12 47 Ianiro G, Maida M, Burisch J, *et al*. Efficacy of different faecal microbiota transplantation
13 protocols for Clostridium difficile infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *United Eur*
14 *Gastroenterol J* 2018;**6**:1232–44. doi:10.1177/2050640618780762
15
- 16 48 Postigo R, Kim JH. Colonoscopic versus nasogastric fecal transplantation for the treatment of
17 Clostridium difficile infection: a review and pooled analysis. *Infection* 2012;**40**:643–8.
18 doi:10.1007/s15010-012-0307-9
19
- 20 49 Nagata N, Tohya M, Fukuda S, *et al*. Effects of bowel preparation on the human gut
21 microbiome and metabolome. *Sci Rep* 2019;**9**:4042. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-40182-9
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Address correspondence**
4

5 Judith Zeevenhooven, Emma Children's Hospital, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam,
6 Paediatric Gastroenterology, Room C2-312, PO Box 22700, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
7
8 Telephone: +3120-5662906. Email: j.zeevenhooven@amsterdamumc.nl
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only

1
2
3 **Figure legends**
4

5 **Figure 1. Trial design.** After adolescents sign the informed consent form (T-2), patients complete the
6 baseline pain diary, the IBS-SSS and deliver stool samples and blood samples for eligibility screening.
7
8 At T0, adolescents are randomised in the allogeneic or autologous FMT group.
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only

	Enrolment	Allocation	During treatment		Follow-up, Number of weeks after first FMT			
TIMEPOINT	T -2	T0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6
	-2 weeks	Baseline	3 weeks	6 weeks	12 weeks	16 weeks	24 weeks	48 weeks
	Screening patient	First FMT		Second FMT				
ENROLMENT:								
1 AMC visit	X	X		X	X		X	X
1 Phone assessment			X			X		
1 Eligibility screen	X							
1 Informed consent	X							
1 Allocation		X						
INTERVENTIONS:								
2 Allogeneic FMT		↔		↔				
2 Autologous FMT		↔		↔				
ASSESSMENTS:								
3 Pain diary card	X							
3 IBS-SSS	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3 Morning stool sample	X	X		X	X		X	X
3 Blood samples (20 ml)	X	X		X	X			
3 Adverse events			X	X	X	X	X	X
4 Questionnaires:								
42 Quality of life								
43 Depression/anxiety		X		X	X		X	X
44 School/work absenteeism								
45 Impact of treatment								
46 Adequate relief								
47 Dietary booklet	X	X		X	X		X	X

Figure 1. Trial design
 After adolescents sign the informed consent form (T-2), patients complete the baseline pain diary, the IBS-SSS and deliver stool samples and blood samples for eligibility screening. At T0, adolescents are randomised in the allogeneic or autologous FMT group.
 FMT = fecal microbiota transplantation; IBS-SSS = Irritable Bowel Syndrome Severity Scoring System

Supplementary Table 1. Exclusion criteria patients and donors**Patients**

Use of systemic antibiotics in preceding 6 weeks

Use of probiotic treatment in preceding 6 weeks

Use of concomitant medication, including proton pump inhibitors (PPI) and vasopressine medication.
Pain medication in the form of Paracetamol or NSAIDs is allowed.

Current use of drugs which influence gastrointestinal motility (erythromycin, azithromycin, butyl scopolamine, domperidone, peppermint oil capsules, iberogast)

Current treatment by another health care professional for abdominal symptoms

Current treatment by psychologist or shrink for known anxiety or depression disorder

Known swallowing disorder

Known diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease (i.e. Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis)

Known concomitant organic gastrointestinal disease

Known diagnosis of an autoimmune disease (e.g. hypo- or hyperthyroidism, celiac disease, rheumatoid arthritis)

Condition leading to profound immunosuppression (HIV, infectious diseases leading to immunosuppression, bone marrow malignancies)

Known diagnosis of cystic fibrosis

Known diagnosis of porphyria

Known pregnancy or current lactation

Use of systematic chemotherapy

Life expectancy < 12 months

Current Intensive Care Unit-stay

XTC, amphetamine or cocaine abuse

Known intra-abdominal fistula

Signs of ileus, diminished passage

Allergy to macrogol or substituents, e.g. peanuts, shellfish

History of surgery:

- o *Hemicolectomy (defined as: surgery resulting in a resection of > 0.5 of the colon)*
- o *Presence of a pouch due to surgery*
- o *Presence of stoma*

Insufficient knowledge of the Dutch language

Donors

Abnormal bowel motions, abdominal complaints or symptoms indicative of irritable bowel syndrome

An extensive travel behaviour

Higher risk of colonization with multidrug- resistant organisms including:

- o *Health care workers*
- o *Persons who have recently been hospitalized or discharged from long term care facilities*
- o *Persons who regularly attend outpatient medical or surgical clinics*
- o *Persons who have recently engaged in medical tourism*

Unsafe sex practice (assessed with standardized questionnaire)

Use of any medication including PPI

Antibiotic treatment in the past 12 weeks

A positive history/clinical evidence for inflammatory bowel disease (i.e. Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis)

A positive history/clinical evidence for other gastrointestinal diseases, including chronic diarrhoea or chronic constipation

1	
2	
3	
4	Patients receiving immunosuppressive medications or a positive history/clinical evidence for autoimmune disease including:
5	o <i>Type 1 diabetes</i>
6	o <i>Hashimoto hypothyroidism</i>
7	o <i>Graves hyperthyroidism</i>
8	o <i>Rheumatoid arthritis</i>
9	o <i>Celiac disease</i>
10	
11	History of or present known malignant disease and/or patients who are receiving systemic anti-neoplastic agents
12	Known psychiatric disease (i.e. depression, schizophrenia, autism, Asperger's syndrome)
13	Known chronic neurological/neurodegenerative disease (e.g. Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis)
14	Positive blood tests for the presence of: HIV, HTLV, lues, Strongyloides
15	Active hepatitis A, B-, C- or E-virus infection or known exposure within recent 12 months
16	Acute infection with cytomegalovirus (CMV) or Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)
17	Chronic pain syndromes (e.g. fibromyalgia)
18	Major relevant allergies (e.g. food allergy, multiple allergies)
19	Recent (gastrointestinal) infection within last 6 months
20	Tattoo or body piercing placement within last 6 months
21	Alcohol abuse (>3 units/day)
22	Known risk of Creutzfeldt Jacob's disease
23	History of current use of IV drugs
24	History of treatment with growth factors
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

For Review Only