

BMJ Paediatrics Open

BMJ Paediatrics Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Paediatrics Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjpaedsopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Paediatrics Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjpo@bmj.com

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Parenting a child with congenital cytomegalovirus infection: A qualitative study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2020-000844.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Oct-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Vandrevala, Tushna; Kingston University, Psychology Barber , Victoria ; Kingston University Mbire-Chigumba , Evas ; Kingston University Calvert, Anna; St George's, Unite, Institute of Infection and Immunity Star, Caroline; CMV Action, Khalil, Asma; St George's University Hospital, Fetal Medicine Unit Griffiths, Paul; UCL, Virology Book , Alexander ; Parent of child with congenital CMV infection Book, Gayle; Parent of child with congenital CMV infection Heath, Paul; University of London Saint George's, ; Jones, Christine; University of Southampton, Faculty of Medicine and Institute for Life Sciences
Keywords:	Psychology, Qualitative research, Virology

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Manuscript for BMJ Paediatric Open

Title of the paper: Parenting a child with congenital cytomegalovirus infection: A qualitative study

Tushna Vandrevala ^{a*}, Victoria Barber ^a, Evas Mbire-Chigumba ^a, Anna Calvert ^b, Caroline Star ^c, Asma Khalil ^{d,e}, Paul Griffiths ^f, Alexander S. Book ^g, Gayle M. Book ^g, Paul T. Heath ^b, Christine E. Jones ^h.

^a*Department of Psychology, Kingston University, UK*

^b*Paediatric Infectious Diseases Research Group and Vaccine Institute, St George's University of London and St George's University Hospitals NHS Trust, London, UK*

^c*CMV Action, London UK*

^d*Fetal Medicine Unit, St George's University NHS Foundation Trust, University of London, London Vascular Biology Research Centre, Molecular and Clinical Sciences Research Institute, St George's University of London*

^f*Centre for Virology, UCL Medical School, London, UK*

^g*Parent of child with congenital CMV infection*

^h*Faculty of Medicine and Institute for Life Sciences, University of Southampton and NIHR Southampton Clinical Research Facility and NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust*

Word count: 2533

Corresponding author:

Dr Tushna Vandrevala, MSc., PhD., Associate Professor in Health Psychology, Kingston University, Penrhyn Road, Kingston, Surrey KT1 2EE, UK

Tel: 0208 4176317

Email: t.vandrevala@kingston.ac.uk

1
2
3 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1140-8445>

4
5 Twitter: [psych_tush](#)

6
7 **ABSTRACT**

8
9
10 **Background** Congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) is the most common infectious cause of
11 congenital disability, which can cause lifelong impairments including sensorineural hearing
12 loss (SNHL) and developmental delay. This study aimed to explore the experiences of
13 parenting a child with congenital CMV and the impact this has on families.
14
15
16
17
18

19
20 **Methods** Ten parents living with a child with congenital CMV in the UK participated in
21 semi-structured interviews and data were analysed using thematic analysis.
22
23
24

25
26 **Results** The findings illustrate that delays in making the diagnosis of congenital CMV are
27 associated with parental distress and lack of knowledge about CMV among medical
28 professionals can exacerbate this distress. Parents expressed frustration about not knowing
29 about CMV infection during their pregnancies and therefore not having the opportunity to
30 take measures to reduce their risk of acquiring CMV whilst pregnant. The uncertainty about
31 the long-term outcomes of children with congenital CMV adds additional emotional burden
32 for parents. Family and wider societal networks have the potential to facilitate coping and
33 alleviate stress, but the lack of awareness of CMV acts as a barrier to receiving support from
34 family and friends.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 **Conclusions:** There is a need to increase awareness of CMV among medical professionals,
47 pregnant women and wider society to improve the diagnostic process and to provide better
48 support for families caring for children with congenital CMV infection.
49
50
51

52
53
54 **Keywords:** antenatal care, CMV, parents, neurodevelopment disability, qualitative.
55
56
57
58
59
60

What is already known on this topic?

- Congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) is the most common non-genetic cause of childhood neurodevelopmental delay and sensorineural hearing loss (<https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/cytomegalovirus-cmv/>)
- The nature of the condition has an impact on the experience of parenting a child with special needs
- In-depth information about parenting a child with congenital CMV will help develop interventions that are not a case of ‘one size fits all’

What this study adds?

- Delays in the diagnostic process and failures in post-diagnostic support were perceived by parents to be exacerbated by the lack of awareness of CMV among medical professionals.
- Parents expressed frustration of not knowing about CMV during their pregnancies and of ways to prevent acquisition of infection and the uncertainty and unpredictability of congenital CMV added additional emotional strain on parents.
- Family and wider societal networks have the potential to facilitate coping and alleviate stress, but the lack of awareness of CMV in society acted as a barrier.

INTRODUCTION

Congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) is the commonest non-genetic cause of preventable childhood sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) and neurodevelopmental delay[1]. CMV is transmitted through saliva, urine and other bodily fluids of young children. Pregnant women may lower their risk of transmitting CMV by following risk reducing measures, such as hand washing and avoiding contact with saliva and urine. Currently in the UK, there is no antenatal or postnatal screening and no licensed vaccine or routine treatment of CMV infection in pregnancy. Maternal CMV infection is often asymptomatic or associated with a mild influenza-like illness, making clinical diagnosis challenging in pregnancy.

It is estimated that 0.2-0.5% of newborns are affected by congenital CMV (cCMV) in Europe[2] and 0.3-0.4% in the United Kingdom[3]. The majority of infants with cCMV are asymptomatic, with no clinically obvious signs at birth. However, around 10-15% of congenitally infected infants have significant neuro-disability[4], SNHL[5], cerebral palsy and visual impairments[6]. This broad clinical spectrum extends through childhood with many only developing symptoms later in life. The ability to predict at the point of diagnosis whether and to what extent, a child will be affected is imperfect, leaving parents with a degree of uncertainty about the long-term outcomes of their child. Despite the birth prevalence of cCMV being higher than other congenital conditions (spina bifida, Trisomy 21 or congenital toxoplasmosis infection[1]), CMV is less well known amongst women of child-bearing age[7,8].

Living with a child with additional needs can undoubtedly have profound effects on the family[9]. One in 20 children have a disability and 99% are supported by their families at home [10]. Studies have investigated the impact of living with a child with autism[11-13], spina bifida[14] and Trisomy 21[15,16] and consistently highlight that family carers of children with additional needs face considerable stress and despair at the time of

1
2
3 diagnosis[17,18]. Arguably, impacts on families depend on the type and severity of the
4 condition, physical, emotional, financial status of the family[9]. Parenting children with
5 “invisible” disabilities, language delay, behavioural and social problems are particularly
6 stressful for families[12]. Perceptions of disability rather than characteristics of the child or
7 severity of the disability contributes to burdens on family carers[19,20]. However, some
8 parents report an improvement in family cohesion and functioning[21,22,23].
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

There are no published studies on the lived experiences of parenting a child with cCMV. Whilst the wider literature gives us an indication of the experiences of families of children with additional needs, these are likely to be different for families living with children with cCMV, due to the mode of transmission and pregnant women’s ability to potentially lower their risk of acquiring CMV by following risk reducing measures[24]; a strategy which many pregnant women are motivated to engage with [25]. The experiences of parenting a child with cCMV may be distinctly different to parenting a child with other disabilities, therefore the aim of the current study was to examine the lived experiences of cCMV and impact on parents.

METHOD

Design

To obtain rich descriptions of participants’ experiences[26], a qualitative research approach was utilised.

Patient and Public Involvement:

Our project steering group which included pregnant women, parents living with children with cCMV (Co-authors AB and GB and representatives from charities supporting families affected by cCMV (CS) played a role in designing and dissemination the findings of this study.

Recruitment and participants:

Upon receiving approval from Health Research Authority (HRA) South Central- Oxford C Research Ethics Committee (16/SC/0683), parents of children affected by cCMV infection were invited to take part in the study. CMV Action (<https://cmvaction.org.uk>), a UK based organisation for parents and volunteers who raise awareness of CMV and campaign for better prevention measures, invited parents to participate in the study via their website. Opportunity sampling was used to recruit 10 parents (one male and nine females). Eligible participants needed to satisfy the following criteria: (a) be at least 18 years of age; (b) have a child or young person diagnosed with cCMV; (c) ability to comprehend and speak English to a sufficient level; (d) willing to participate and available to be interviewed. Of the 24 individuals who approached the researchers to register their interest in participating in this study, 14 families did not respond further, or a convenient time for interview could not be arranged. Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. This study did not intend to generate theoretical models, therefore theoretical saturation (or data saturation) was not considered

(INSERT TABLE 1 HERE)

Data generation

Data were generated through semi-structured interviews (see Box 1) developed by authors TV, VB and CJ and interviews were conducted by VB. Interviews were piloted and revised in accordance with the iterative process of qualitative research, where concurrent data collection and analysis takes place. This format was chosen to ensure that core questions were asked while providing scope for participants to explore relevant, but unanticipated domains of experience and reflection that were important to them. Topics included experience of CMV from pre-diagnosis through to caring for a child with cCMV in their daily family lives. Five

1
2
3 interviews were conducted face-to-face in the participants' homes and the remainder via
4 video conferencing to enable data collection from different parts of the country. Interviews
5 lasting 60-90 minutes were digitally recorded and transcribed. Field notes were made after
6 the interviews.
7
8
9
10
11
12

13 (INSERT BOX 1)
14
15
16

17 **Analytic strategy**

18
19
20 Transcripts were analysed using thematic analysis to identify a set of meaningful patterns or
21 themes and subthemes associated with the research questions[27]. This process of analysing
22 qualitative data has been identified as a useful method for identifying, analysing and
23 reporting patterns within data through the development and detailed description of themes.
24 The analysis was undertaken by TV, VB and EMC and was guided by Braun and Clarke's
25 (2006) [27] six stages of familiarisation with the data, identification of initial codes,
26 searching for themes, reviewing themes and sub-themes, defining and naming themes and
27 subthemes, and writing up the analysis. NVIVO-11 computer software was used to manage
28 the data. TV, VB and EMC familiarised themselves with the data. EMC was responsible for
29 coding and searching for themes. After this point, discussions between TV, VB and EMC
30 informed the latter stages of the process, with TV taking primary responsibility for writing
31 the results. High quality analysis was promoted through close alignment with recognised
32 criteria for good qualitative research, such as grounding interpretations in examples from the
33 data (which allows readers to confirm or query interpretations), conducting credibility checks
34 and optimising coherence across the study[28,29].
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **RESULTS**

56
57
58
59
60

Our 10 participants described the essence of the lived experience of parenting a child with cCMV can be illustrated by the following themes: difficulties associated with establishing a diagnosis of cCMV; burden associated with caring for a child with cCMV; and societal networks which have the potential to facilitate coping and alleviate stress (Table 2).

(INSERT TABLE 2)

1. Difficulties associated with establishing a diagnosis of congenital CMV

None of the participants had heard of CMV prior to their child's diagnosis.

Participants suggested that medical professionals struggled to arrive at a diagnosis of cCMV and the process was often complicated by errors, poor communication and extended delays. According to participants' perceptions, difficulty with diagnosis, disorganisation and lack of support post-diagnosis were a result of the lack of knowledge and awareness within the medical community. Participants were shocked and angry about the lack of information provided to them at the time of their pregnancies - and therefore the missed opportunities to reduce the risk of acquiring CMV infection in pregnancy - and the lack of post-diagnostic support, describing the experience as "*traumatic due to the uncertainty, the lack of knowledge and the lack of understanding*".

Participants were despondent about the missed opportunities and misdiagnosis, which left them ill-prepared to spot changes in their child's condition. In some cases, professionals repeatedly assured participants not to worry, but the participants reported having '*this gut feeling*' that something might be wrong and living with regret for not acting on it sooner. Participants discussed the lack of coordinated approach to the diagnosis and how the NHS worked in silos, which hindered the much-needed support they could access for their child. The absence of a specialist who oversaw CMV as a whole was identified as a flaw.

(INSERT BOX 2)

2. Burden associated with caring for a child with congenital CMV

Many participants suggested that the diagnosis of cCMV had a lasting impact on the family who were left overwhelmed with the life changing experience. From the early stages of a cCMV diagnosis, there was a strong sense of uncertainty and unpredictability for families on what else may go wrong as a result of the “*fluctuating virus*” and many participants viewed cCMV as a “*constant worry*”, “*dramatic uncertainty*” and “*waiting game*” in terms of how it may further affect their child.

Additionally, meeting everyday care needs, caring for a growing child who was fully dependant on them for an extended period of time, the constant monitoring and the on-going appointments were considered burdensome. Participants acknowledged the emotional aspects of caring and the worry for an unknown future. Furthermore, participants were concerned about the negative impact this may have on other siblings. Many participants expressed that having a child born with cCMV left them with a sense of grief and the grieving process being akin to “*the loss of a dream*”. Many participants suggested that they felt frustrated, guilty and a sense of responsibility for not taking the necessary precautions to reduce the risk of CMV infection. Knowledge of risk reduction measures would have helped in ensuring that they were aware and remained cautious throughout their pregnancy. Participants emphasised the importance of ‘*arming women with information*’ for them to make their own informed decisions regarding the measures they choose to adopt, “*you educate them and then it’s their choice.*”

(INSERT BOX 3)

3. Societal Network: Facilitators and barriers to coping

1
2
3 Familial and community networks have the potential to contribute to the distress of
4
5 carers or to support and alleviate their stress. For participants, the lack of knowledge and
6
7 understanding of cCMV include that of the child's extended family who struggle to
8
9 understand, empathise and effectively adjust to the child's disabilities. Participants believed
10
11 that the lack of support from family and the wider community was in part due to the lack of
12
13 awareness of cCMV and this also makes accessing support problematic. Many participants
14
15 felt strongly about raising awareness of cCMV and educating the wider community.
16
17 However, they were mindful to emphasise the importance of '*getting the message out without*
18
19 *scaring people*'. Similarly, others reaffirmed the need to give women the opportunity to make
20
21 informed decisions to encourage risk reduction of contracting CMV.
22
23
24

25
26 (INSERT BOX 4)
27
28
29

30 31 **DISCUSSION**

32
33 The lived experience of parenting a child with cCMV covers a multitude of
34
35 interrelated facets, including difficulties associated with CMV diagnosis, lack of awareness
36
37 of CMV among medical professionals complicating the diagnosis process, the uncertainties
38
39 about what the diagnosis might mean and, for some families, the ongoing experience of day
40
41 to day life with a child with additional needs. Parents expressed their frustration of not
42
43 knowing about CMV during their pregnancies and consequently not having had the chance to
44
45 take action to avoid infection. Family and wider societal networks have the potential to
46
47 facilitate coping and alleviate stress. However, the lack of knowledge and awareness of CMV
48
49 within social networks acts as a barrier to receiving support from family and friends. The lack
50
51 of open dialogue and awareness of CMV among medical professionals, pregnant women,
52
53 their families and wider society was considered the biggest barrier to reducing risk of CMV
54
55 and also in coping with parenting a child with cCMV.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Consistent with previous research, our findings draw attention to the lack of
4 awareness about congenital neurodevelopmental disabilities in childhood in medical circles
5 and lack of a coordinated approach to diagnosis and post diagnostic support, which leads to
6 parents experiencing great emotional stress[30]. The organisation of the healthcare system
7 and the absence of teams with specialist CMV-specific knowledge, appear to increase
8 burdens placed on parents, who faced uncertainty and delays in receiving a diagnosis for their
9 child. Specific to parenting a child with cCMV, the participants in our study drew attention
10 to the additional emotional burden of guilt and responsibility of potentially and unknowingly
11 transmitting CMV to their unborn children which led parents, particularly mothers, to blame
12 themselves upon discovering that their child has cCMV. The need to identify a cause of the
13 child's disability is related to closure and is part of the adaptive process[19]. Families living
14 with a child with cCMV were also resentful that they were not given an opportunity to
15 participate in risk reducing interventions in order to avoid contracting CMV.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Our findings on the emotions related to grief and loss are consistent with previous
35 findings[31]. The feelings of loss were for the ideal child they might have anticipated during
36 pregnancy, as well as the loss of the child they might have experienced without the
37 difficulties associated with cCMV. Parenting a child with cCMV, the uncertain diagnoses and
38 prognoses, variable daily functioning, an apparent normal development of the child during
39 the first few weeks and months, the manifestation of some symptoms but not others they had
40 been warned about, and coping with an uncertain future contribute to feelings of ambiguous
41 loss[32]. Our findings suggest that social support from informal networks was perceived as
42 supportive and vital to the daily care of their child with disabilities. However, lack of
43 awareness, misjudgement of child's needs, stigma and discrimination hindered family
44 functioning and coping[33].
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Although the study was limited to 10 participants, it provided rich data to highlight
4 the experiences of parents living with a child with cCMV. The aim of qualitative research is
5 not to reach generalisable findings, but to enable a richer understanding of the participants'
6 experiences of the phenomena under investigation. The lack of the male/paternal perspective
7 is an area that warrants further investigation.
8
9

10
11
12 We found that lack of knowledge about CMV is a significant problem and raising
13 awareness of the condition amongst the general public, pregnant women and health care
14 professionals, and providing education about risk reducing measures in pregnancy, is of vital
15 importance. This will require a range of strategies including more emphasis placed on CMV
16 in the undergraduate medical, nursing and midwifery curricula, focussed postgraduate
17 training for healthcare professionals and an organised programme of antenatal education for
18 pregnant women and their families which should include written information in the handheld
19 notes as well as inclusion of CMV in the list of infections discussed by midwives at booking.
20 The effectiveness of this discussion is likely to be facilitated by increasing staff confidence as
21 a result of more training. In addition, clear guidelines for the diagnosis and management of
22 CMV in pregnancy, the newborn and child should be available in all NHS Trusts based on
23 published national and international guidance in order to standardise the care given to these
24 families. Wherever possible, a paediatric infectious diseases specialist should be involved in
25 the management and co-ordination of care of these infants and children[4,34,35]. It should be
26 recognised that the needs of families of affected children are complex and not limited to
27 medical and practical considerations and, where possible, time should be given to families to
28 explore the emotional and psychological dimensions of their child's condition. This may
29 involve signposting families to support organisations, referring for formal psychological
30 support and providing access to a consistent and familiar point of contact, for example a
31 nurse specialist. There is need to conduct further research exploring the perceptions of
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 healthcare professionals about their pre-existing knowledge about CMV, and collaborative
4
5 work between healthcare professionals, affected families and advocacy organisations looking
6
7 at how families' needs can most effectively be met.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only

1
2
3 **Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful to the participants for their involvement and
4
5 interest.
6

7
8 **Funding details:** This paper presents independent research funded by the National Institute
9
10 for Health Research (NIHR) under its Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB) Programme (Grant
11
12 Reference Number PB-PG-0215-36120). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and
13
14 not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.
15

16
17 **Contributors:** TV, VB and CJ designed the study. VB collected the data and TV, VB AND
18
19 EM analysed the data. TV prepared the manuscript with input from all authors. All authors
20
21 approved the final draft.
22

23
24 **Competing interests:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.
25

26
27 **Patient consent:** Not required.
28

29
30 **Data sharing statement:** Anonymised qualitative data and relevant research materials can be
31
32 made available upon request from the corresponding author.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

1. Goderis J, De Leenhee E, Smets K, et al. Hearing loss and congenital CMV infection: a systematic review. *Pediatrics. American Academy of Pediatrics* 2004;134:972–82.doi:10.1542/peds.2014-1173
2. Townsend C, Forsgren M, Ahlfors K, et al. Long-term Outcomes of Congenital Cytomegalovirus Infection in Sweden and the United Kingdom. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013;56:1232.doi:10.1093/cid/cit018
3. Townsend C, Peckham C, Tookey P. Surveillance of Congenital Cytomegalovirus in the UK and Ireland. *Arch Dis Child* 2011;96:A46.doi:10.1136/adc.2011.212563.101
4. Luck SE, Wieringa JW, Blázquez-Gamero D, et al. Congenital cytomegalovirus: a European expert consensus statement on diagnosis and management. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2017;36(12):1205-1213.doi:10.1097/INF.0000000000001763
5. Turner KM, Lee HC, Boppana SB et al. Incidence and Impact of CMV Infection in Very Low Birth Weight Infants. *Pediatrics. American Academy of Pediatrics* 2014;133:609.doi:10.1542/peds.2013-2217
6. Dollard SC, Grosse SD & Ross DS. New estimates of the prevalence of neurological and sensory sequelae and mortality associated with congenital cytomegalovirus infection. *Rev Med Virol* 2007;17:355–363.doi:10.1002/rmv.544
7. Schleiss MR. Congenital cytomegalovirus: Impact on child health. *Contemp Pediatr* 2018;35:7.pmid:30740598
8. Cannon MJ, Westbrook K, Levis D et al. Awareness of and behaviours related to child-to-mother transmission of cytomegalovirus. *J Prev Med* 2012;54(5):351-7.doi:10.1016/j.jpmed.2012.03.009
9. Reichman NE, Corman H & Noonan K. Impact of child disability on the family. *Matern Child Health J* 2008;12:679-83.doi:10.1007/s10995-007-0307-z

- 1
2
3 10. Dlf.org.uk. Key facts | Disabled Living Foundation. Available at:
4
5 <https://www.dlf.org.uk/content/key-facts> Accessed on 16 August 2019.
6
7
8 11. Hall HR & Graff CJ. Parenting Challenges in Families of Children with Autism: A
9
10 Pilot Study. *Issues Compr Pediatr Nurs* 2010;33(4):187-
11
12 204.doi:10.3109/01460862.2010.528644
13
14 12. Sanders JL & Morgan SB. Family stress and adjustment as perceived by caregivers of
15
16 children with Autism or Down syndrome: Implications for intervention. *Child Fam*
17
18 *Behav Ther* 1997;19:15–32.doi:10.1300/J019v19n04_02
19
20
21 13. Bayat M. Evidence of resilience in families of children with Autism. *J Intellect*
22
23 *Disabil Res* 2007;51:702-14.doi:10.1111/j.1365-2788.2007.00960.x
24
25
26 14. Vermaes I, Gerris J & Janssens M. Parents' social adjustment in families of children
27
28 with spina bifida: A theory-driven review. *Journal of Paediatric Psychology*
29
30 2007;32:1214-26.doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsm054
31
32
33 15. King G, Baxter D, Rosenbaum P. et al. Belief Systems of Families of Children with
34
35 Autism Spectrum Disorders or Down Syndrome. *Focus Autism Other Dev Disabl*
36
37 2009;24:50-64.doi:10.1177/1088357608329173
38
39
40 16. Dumas JE, Wolf LC, Fisman SN et al. Parenting stress, child behaviour problems,
41
42 and dysphoria in parents of children with Autism, Down syndrome, behaviour
43
44 disorders, and normal development. *Exceptionality* 1991;2:97–
45
46 110.doi:10.1080/09362839109524770
47
48
49 17. Eddy LL & Engel JM. The impact of child disability type on the family. *ARN J*
50
51 2008;33:98–103.doi:10.1002/j.2048-7940.2008.tb00212.x
52
53
54 18. Yamada A, Kato M, Suzuki M et al. Quality of life of parents raising children with
55
56 pervasive developmental disorders. *BMC Psychiatry*
57
58 2012;12(1):119.doi:10.1186/1471-244X-12-119
59
60

- 1
2
3 19. Summers JA, Behr SK & Turnbull AP. Positive adaptation and coping strengths of
4 families who have children with disabilities. In: Singer GHS Irvin LK eds. Support
5 for caregiving families: Enabling positive adaptation to disability. Baltimore: Paul H.
6 Brookes 1988:27-40.
7
8
9
10
11
12 20. Britner PA, Morog MC, Pianta RC et al. Stress and coping: A comparison of self-
13 reporting measures of functioning in families of young children with Cerebral Palsy
14 or no medical diagnosis. *J Child Fam Stud* 2003,12:335-
15 348.doi:10.1023/A:1023943928358
16
17
18
19
20
21 21. Manning MM, Wainwright L & Bennett J. The Double ABCX model of adaptation
22 in racially diverse families with a school age child in Autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*
23 2011;41:320-331.doi:10.1007/s10803-010-1056-1
24
25
26
27
28 22. Mullins JB. Authentic voices from parents of exceptional children. *Fam Relat*
29 1987;36:30-33.doi:10.2307/584643
30
31
32
33 23. Vacca J & Feinberg E. Rules of engagement: initiating and sustaining a relationship
34 with families. *Infants Young Child* 2000;13(2):51-57.
35
36
37
38 24. Revello MG, Tibaldi C, Masuelli G, Frisina V, Sacchi A, Furione M. et al. Prevention
39 of Primary Cytomegalovirus Infection in Pregnancy. *EBioMedicine* 2015;2(9):1205-
40 1210.doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.08.003
41
42
43
44 25. Vandrevala T, Victoria B, Calvert A et al. Understanding pregnant women's readiness
45 to engage in risk reducing measures to prevent infections in pregnancy. *Journal of*
46 *Health Psychology* 2019; 5:1359105319884609. doi:[10.1177/1359105319884609](https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105319884609).
47 Epub ahead of print.
48
49
50
51
52
53 26. Coyle A Introduction to Qualitative psychological research. In: Lyons E Coyle A,
54 eds. *Analysing Qualitative Data in Psychology*. London: Sage Publications 2016:9-30
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 27. Braun V & Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol*
4
5 2006;3(2):77-101.doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
6
7
8 28. Elliott R, Fischer CT & Rennie DL. Evolving guidelines for publication of qualitative
9
10 research studies in psychology and related fields. *Br J Clin Psychol* 1999;38(3):215–
11
12 29.doi:10.1348/014466599162782
13
14
15 29. Yardley L. Dilemmas in qualitative health research. *Psychology & Health*
16
17 2000;15(2):215–228.doi:10.1080/08870440008400302
18
19
20 30. Graungaard AH & Skov L. Why do we need a diagnosis? A qualitative study of
21
22 parents' experiences, coping and needs, when the newborn child is severely disabled.
23
24 *Child Care Health Dev* 2007;33:296-307.doi:10.1111/j.1365-2214.2006.00666.x
25
26
27 31. Fernández-Alcántara M, Paz García-Caro M, Pérez-Marfil N. et al. Feelings of loss
28
29 and grief in parents of children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). *Res*
30
31 *Dev Disabil* 2016;55:312-321.doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2016.05.007
32
33
34 32. O'Brien M. Ambiguous loss in families of children with autism spectrum disorder.
35
36 *Fam Relat* 2007;56:135–146.doi:10.1111/j.1741-3729.2007.00447.x
37
38
39 33. Cuzzocrea F, Murdaca, Costa S et al. Parental stress, coping strategies and social
40
41 support in families of children with a disability, *Child Care Pract* 2016;22(1): 3-
42
43 19.doi:10.1080/13575279.2015.1064357
44
45
46 34. Shah T, Luck S, Sharland M et al. Fifteen-minute consultation: diagnosis and
47
48 management of congenital CMV. *Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed* 2016;101:232-
49
50 235.doi:10.1136/archdischild-2015-309656
51
52
53 35. Rawlinson WD, Boppana, SB, Fowler, KB et al. Congenital cytomegalovirus
54
55 infection in pregnancy and the neonate: consensus recommendations for prevention,
56
57 diagnosis, and therapy. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2017;17:e177–e188.doi:10.1016/S1473-
58
59 3099(17)30143-3
60

Table 1: Participant Characteristics

		N (%)
Gender	Female	9 (90)
	Male	1 (10)
Age	18-24 years	0 (0)
	25-40 years	6 (60)
	41-50 years	4 (40)
Marital status	Single (never married)	3 (30)
	Married/civil partner	7 (70)
Age of child when diagnosed with congenital CMV	From birth	3 (30)
	Under 12 months	4 (40)
	13 months - 2 years	1 (10)
	3-4 years	1 (10)
	5 years and above	1 (10)
Age of child at time of interview	0-12 months	1 (10)
	13-23 months	0 (0)
	2-5 years	5(50)
	6-10 years	2(20)
	11-15 years	2(20)
Severity of congenital CMV	Hearing loss (unilateral or bilateral)	6 (60)
	Developmental and motor delay	1 (10)
	Severely disabled	2 (20)
	Unknown	1 (10)

Ethnicity	White English	9 (90)
	Other British	1 (10)
Parental highest qualifications	GCSE/BTEC or equivalent	1 (10)
	AS/ A-Levels or equivalent	2 (20)
	PGCert/ PGDip or equivalent	1 (10)
	BSc/ BA or equivalent	2(20)
	MSc/ NIA or equivalent	4 (40)

Confidential: For Review Only

Table 2: Theme and Sub-themes

Themes	Subthemes
Difficulties associated with establishing a diagnosis of congenital CMV	Shock of diagnosis Lack of awareness among medical community Disorganised pathways to diagnosis
Burden associated with caring for a child with congenital CMV	Dealing with uncertainty and unpredictability Loss of a dream Guilt and responsibility
Societal Network: Facilitators and barriers to coping	Support (or lack of support) from family and community Support (or lack of support) from professionals Raising awareness and educating wider community

BOX 1: INTERVIEW GUIDE

The aim of this study is to explore your experiences with CMV and the impact CMV may have had on you and your family. We are interested to hear your story. The information provided will be utilised to create a short film about CMV that will be used to educate recently pregnant women and their families about the preventative strategies they can adopt.

Part 1 - CMV questions pre-diagnosis

I would like to start with asking you about when you first heard about CMV?

Did you know anyone who has had CMV?

How did you think CMV might be caught?

How much were you told about how CMV could be prevented?

Part 2 - Diagnosis-related questions

How did you find out about your child having CMV?

How and who told you that your child had CMV?

What was your initial reaction to hearing the diagnosis?

What were your biggest anxieties at this time?

Part 3 - Post-diagnosis questions

Whose advice did you value when getting information about CMV?

Had you been informed about CMV prior to your/your partner's pregnancy/ies?

In retrospect, do you think realistically that you would adopt any measures to reduce the risk of getting CMV? If so, which one(s)?

What do you think would motivate you pre-diagnosis to adopt some of these hygiene measures and what would practically help you?

What barriers do you think would stop you adopting measures to reduce the risk of catching CMV in pregnancy?

What do you wish you had known, pre-diagnosis?

Part 4 – Experiences of CMV in the family

Can you tell me a little about your experiences of caring for a child with CMV?

Please can you tell me about a typical day living with a family member with CMV?

What are some of the biggest challenges for you and the rest of the family?

What impact has this had on you?

Part 5 – Educational video perceptions/feedback

We are developing an educational video on CMV for pregnant women and their partners.

From your experience what information should it include?

Where should we show it and to whom?

What would be the ideal way to access the video? In what language – preventative or reduction language?

Exit question: anything else you would like to share or raise?

Box 2 Quotes illustrating the difficulties associated with establishing a diagnosis of congenital CMV

“The doctor snatched the dummy out of her mouth and said this is the problem. She says, it’s made her glands swell and it’s affected her hearing. She says, here is some ear drops and come back in a month, if it’s not any better. So, I was a bit like never known that before, but she’s a doctor and okay.” (P3)

“we were caught off guard by the diagnosis and it was handled so badly [...]. She had a hearing loss identified in the new born screening and the audiology said, don’t worry about it, it won’t cause her any problems. They didn’t tell us it could get worse and they didn’t test for what had caused it. Having that really bad experience which meant she didn’t have any antiviral treatment [...] We didn’t know to watch out for her hearing deteriorating. I had sort of gut feeling that it was more than that. I wish I had acted on it. When you have specialists saying everything is fine. You take that. I don’t think I got over that (P5)

“there has been nobody that got kind of a CMV kind of overview. You immediately get sized and dotted for your child’s deafness. Audiology deal with you. If your child has other issues, obviously physio we saw a little while. She gets occupational therapy, but they don’t talk to each other. There is nobody, apart from the parent that has got that overview of what CMV can do. So that is a gap. I think all parents have found that there is no sort of, there is no CMV expertise, specifically” (P5)

Box 3 Quotes illustrating the burden associated with caring for a child with congenital CMV

"Nobody really knows what the future holds for her. You are in this state of limbo, consistently with her, because it's obvious it had quite a big impact. There is no way of predicting anything, you are like, okay, brilliant. That is CMV [...] Devastating [...] And sort of increasing stages of devastation. As you learn more you get things confirmed. That is our experience of it [...] Lots of shocks I suppose. And gradual shocks ...it is not just a slap in the face things. It's sort of dawning realisations that are then confirmed or not and then you are left with I think dramatic uncertainty. That is almost probably the hardest bit of all of it and not knowing" (P7)

"We will just have to keep monitoring it and keep monitoring her right ear, because it's a fluctuating virus. So obviously if it then got worse or they have to look into it again [...] It puts extra stress on me. I have appointments every week. I think because I constantly worry about it like its kind of my job to do the appointments and stuff because he works [...] I am constantly looking for it as well like looking for her hearing to drop or checking her eyesight ... It does, it puts that extra worry on you." (P2)

"It's sort of still is a bit of a grieving process as well for the child you thought you were going to get versus the child you have." (P3)

"When she was still so little it was quite hard to accept. You don't want that to be true. You want that- when a child is labelled with developmental delay, it kind of gives you a little bit of hope that there is some catching up that can be done. It's a slightly unusual term,

1
2
3 because certainly for E there was never any suggestion that she would ever properly catch
4 up. Getting to that conclusion is kind of a process that you have to go through” (P8)
5
6
7

8
9
10 “Obviously the guilt as a mother because shouldn’t have contracted it but there you go
11 [...] I just felt very guilty, ... You feel like okay, so if I hadn’t of shared a spoon with my
12 son and if I hadn’t kissed him on the lips or made sure I washed my hands more thoroughly
13 when I changed nappies or something maybe this wouldn’t have happened. I think I
14 probably did go through a phase of a bit of self-blame, I suppose. If anyone was going to
15 be blamed for it, it was going to be me. No one else you could look to.” (P6)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 “I think again its knowing the consequences of what could happen if you don’t really.
27 That’s got to be the key [....] I wish I’d known it existed and it was a possibility that
28 something could happen. Particularly being that I think, because I do have a toddler, I
29 think I would, if I’d known how, with these messages I think I could have ramped up the
30 hygiene a bit more” (P1)
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Box 4 Quotes illustrating the theme of Societal Networks: Facilitators and barriers to coping

“I think you know, even my closest family like my mum and my husband’s parents, they don’t get it really [...] They don’t make any adjustments for her even though we have asked them to and told them that they need to. They sort of forget, I think I am trying to explain it more and they don’t really take it in. We have sort of given up on that, because it’s exhausting saying the same things over and over again [...] My mum would say, why is she doing that? What is she doing that for? I would say, well, you know, because of everything that’s affecting her. Or she will say things like, you need to tell her off more. Why don’t you discipline her and I am like well, some of her behaviour is the reason for it. She has got a big sensory issue going on. She is not an attention seeker.” (P5)

“I don’t think there is so many birth problems that people have heard of. If no one has heard of CMV and you talk about it and everyone thinks, it’s so rare. It’s a niche.” (P6)

“So, all of that stuff is very stressful and just as stress, on stress, on stress. So, little is understood and known about CMV, it kind of makes it harder to make your case. If it’s an obvious—if it’s an Autism or something, you would go, yes, okay they are going to need more help. I think that has been a real issue is just the lack of professional support and advice as well as—I feel constantly under pressure to be on top of it and on the ball, because if I miss something or don’t do something no-one else would pick it up. You can’t rely on people around you.” (P5)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

“Because they have seen L and so they know, and I did get a pack of leaflets. I have given them to the schools and doctors and the nursery to try and get people” (P2)

Confidential: For Review Only

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Lived experience of parenting a child with congenital cytomegalovirus infection

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2020-000844
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Aug-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Vandrevala, Tushna; Kingston University, Psychology Barber , Victoria ; Kingston University Mbire-Chigumba , Evas ; Kingston University Calvert, Anna; St George's, Unite, Institute of Infection and Immunity Star, Caroline; CMV Action, Khalil, Asma; St George's University Hospital, Fetal Medicine Unit Griffiths, Paul; UCL, Virology Book , Alexander ; Parent of child with congenital CMV infection Book, Gayle; Parent of child with congenital CMV infection Heath, Paul; University of London Saint George's, ; Jones, Christine; University of Southampton, Faculty of Medicine and Institute for Life Sciences
Keywords:	Psychology, Qualitative research, Virology

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Manuscript for BMJ Paediatric Open

Title of the paper: Lived experience of parenting a child with congenital cytomegalovirus infection

Tushna Vandrevala ^{a*}, Victoria Barber ^a, Evas Mbire-Chigumba ^a, Anna Calvert ^b, Caroline Star ^c, Asma Khalil ^{d,e}, Paul Griffiths ^f, Alexander S. Book ^g, Gayle M. Book ^g, Paul T. Heath ^b, Christine E. Jones ^h.

^a*Department of Psychology, Kingston University, UK*

^b*Paediatric Infectious Diseases Research Group and Vaccine Institute, St George's University of London and St George's University Hospitals NHS Trust, London, UK*

^c*CMV Action, London UK*

^d*Fetal Medicine Unit, St George's University NHS Foundation Trust, University of London, London Vascular Biology Research Centre, Molecular and Clinical Sciences Research Institute, St George's University of London*

^f*Centre for Virology, UCL Medical School, London, UK*

^g*Parent of child with congenital CMV infection*

^h*Faculty of Medicine and Institute for Life Sciences, University of Southampton and NIHR Southampton Clinical Research Facility and NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust*

Word count: 2533

Corresponding author:

Dr Tushna Vandrevala, MSc., PhD., Associate Professor in Health Psychology, Kingston University, Penrhyn Road, Kingston, Surrey KT1 2EE, UK

Tel: 0208 4176317

Email: t.vandrevala@kingston.ac.uk

1
2
3 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1140-8445>

4
5 Twitter: [psych_tush](#)

6
7
8 **ABSTRACT**

9
10 **Background** Congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) is the most common infectious cause of
11 congenital disability, which can cause lifelong impairments including sensorineural hearing
12 loss (SNHL) and developmental delay. This study aimed to explore the experiences of
13 parenting a child with congenital CMV and the impact this has on families.
14
15
16
17
18

19
20 **Methods** Ten parents living with a child with CMV in the UK participated in semi-structured
21 interviews and data were analysed using thematic analysis.
22
23
24

25
26 **Results** The findings illustrate that delays in making the diagnosis of CMV are associated
27 with parental distress and lack of knowledge about CCMV among medical professionals can
28 exacerbate this distress. Parents expressed frustration about not knowing about CMV during
29 their pregnancies and therefore not having the opportunity to take measures to reduce their
30 risk of acquiring CMV whilst pregnant. The uncertainty about the long-term outcomes of
31 children with congenital CMV adds additional emotional burden for parents. Family and
32 wider societal networks have the potential to facilitate coping and alleviate stress, but the lack
33 of awareness of CMV acts as a barrier to receiving support from family and friends.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44
45 **Conclusions:** There is a need to increase awareness of CMV among medical professionals,
46 pregnant women and wider society to improve the diagnostic process and to provide better
47 support for families caring for children with congenital CMV infection.
48
49
50
51

52
53
54 **Keywords:** antenatal care, CMV, parents, life limiting conditions, qualitative.
55
56
57
58
59
60

What is already known on this topic?

- CMV is the most common non-genetic cause of childhood neurodevelopmental delay and sensorineural hearing loss (<https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/cytomegalovirus-cmv/>)
- The nature of the condition has an impact on the experience of parenting a child with special needs
- In-depth information about parenting a child with CMV will help develop interventions that are not a case of *'one size fits all'*

What this study adds?

- Delays in the diagnostic process and failures in post-diagnostic support were perceived by parents to be exacerbated by the lack of awareness of CMV among medical professionals.
- Parents expressed frustration of not knowing about CMV during their pregnancies and of ways to prevent acquisition of infection and the uncertainty and unpredictability of CMV added additional emotional strain on parents.
- Family and wider societal networks have the potential to facilitate coping and alleviate stress, but the lack of awareness of CMV in society acted as a barrier.

INTRODUCTION

Congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) is the commonest non-genetic cause of preventable childhood sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) and neurodevelopmental delay[1]. CMV is transmitted through saliva, urine and other bodily fluids of young children. Pregnant women may lower their risk of transmitting CMV by following risk reducing measures, such as hand washing and avoiding contact with saliva and urine. Currently in the UK, there is no antenatal or postnatal screening and no licensed vaccine or routine treatment of CMV infection in pregnancy. Maternal CMV infection is often asymptomatic or associated with a mild influenza-like illness, making clinical diagnosis challenging in pregnancy.

It is estimated that 0.2-0.5% of newborns are affected by CMV in Europe[2] and 0.3-0.4% in the United Kingdom[3]. The majority of infants with congenital CMV are asymptomatic, with no clinically obvious signs at birth; however, around 10-15% of congenitally infected infants have significant neuro-disability[4], SNHL[5], cerebral palsy and visual impairments[6]. This broad clinical spectrum extends through childhood with many only developing symptoms later in life. The ability to predict at the point of diagnosis whether and to what extent, a child will be affected is imperfect, leaving parents with a degree of uncertainty about the long-term outcomes of their child. Despite the birth prevalence of CMV being higher than other congenital conditions (spina bifida, Trisomy 21 or congenital toxoplasmosis infection[1]), CMV is less well known amongst women of child-bearing age[7,8].

Living with a child with additional needs can undoubtedly have profound effects on the family[9]. One in 20 children have a disability and 99% are supported by their families at home [10]. Studies have investigated the impact of living with a child with autism[11-13], spina bifida[14] and Trisomy 21[15,16] and consistently highlight that family carers of children with additional needs face considerable stress and despair at the time of

1
2
3 diagnosis[17,18]. Arguably, impacts on families depend on the type and severity of the
4
5 condition, physical, emotional, financial status of the family[9]. Parenting children with
6
7 “invisible” disabilities, language delay, behavioural and social problems are particularly
8
9 stressful for families[12]. Perceptions of disability rather than characteristics of the child or
10
11 severity of the disability contributes to burdens on family carers[19,20]. However, some
12
13 parents report an improvement in family cohesion and functioning[21,22,23].
14
15

16
17 There are no published studies on the lived experiences of parenting a child with
18
19 CMV. Whilst the wider literature gives us an indication of the experiences of families, these
20
21 are likely to be different for families living with CMV, due to the mode of transmission and
22
23 pregnant women’s ability to potentially lower their risk of acquiring CMV by following risk
24
25 reducing measures[24]; a strategy which many pregnant women are motivated to engage with
26
27 [25]. The experiences of parenting a child with CMV may be distinctly different to parenting
28
29 a child with other disabilities, therefore the aim of the current study was to examine the lived
30
31 experiences of CMV and impact on parents.
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **METHOD**

39 **Design**

40
41 To obtain rich descriptions of participants’ experiences[26], a qualitative research approach
42
43 was utilised.
44
45

46 **Patient and Public Involvement:**

47
48 Our project steering group which included pregnant women, patents living with CMV (Co-
49
50 authors AB and GB and representatives from charities supporting CMV (CS) played a role in
51
52 designing and dissemination the findings of this study.
53
54

55 **Recruitment and participants:**

1
2
3 Upon receiving approval from Health Research Authority (HRA) South Central- Oxford C
4 Research Ethics Committee (16/SC/0683), parents of children affected by CMV infection
5 were invited to take part in the study. CMV Action (<https://cmvaction.org.uk>), a UK based
6 organisation for parents and volunteers who raise awareness of CMV and campaign for better
7 prevention measures, invited parents to participate in the study via their website. Opportunity
8 sampling was used to recruit 10 parents (one male and nine females). Eligible participants
9 needed to satisfy the following criteria: (a) be at least 18 years of age; (b) have a child or
10 young person diagnosed with CMV; (c) ability to comprehend and speak English to a
11 sufficient level; (d) willing to participate and available to be interviewed. Of the 24
12 individuals who approached the researchers to register their interest in participating in this
13 study, 14 families did not respond further, or a convenient time for interview could not be
14 arranged. Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. This study did not intend to
15 generate theoretical models, therefore theoretical saturation (or data saturation) was not
16 considered

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36 (INSERT TABLE 1 HERE)
37
38
39

40 **Data generation**

41
42
43 Data were generated through semi-structured interviews developed by authors TV, VB and
44 CJ and interviews were conducted by VB. This format was chosen to ensure that core
45 questions were asked while providing scope for participants to explore relevant, but
46 unanticipated domains of experience and reflection that were important to them. Topics
47 included experience of CMV from pre-diagnosis through to dealing with a child with CMV
48 in their daily family lives. Five interviews were conducted face-to-face in the participants'
49 homes and the remainder via video conferencing to enable data collection from different parts
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of the country. Interviews lasting 60-90 minutes were digitally recorded and transcribed.

4
5 Field notes were made after the interviews.

6 7 8 9 **Analytic strategy**

10
11
12 Transcripts were analysed using thematic analysis to identify a set of meaningful patterns or
13 themes and subthemes associated with the research questions[27]. This process of analysing
14 qualitative data has been identified as a useful method for identifying, analysing and
15 reporting patterns within data through the development and detailed description of themes.
16
17 The analysis was undertaken by TV, VB and EMC and was guided by Braun and Clarke's
18 (2006) [27] six stages of familiarisation with the data, identification of initial codes,
19 searching for themes, reviewing themes and sub-themes, defining and naming themes and
20 subthemes, and writing up the analysis. NVIVO-11 computer software was used to manage
21 the data. TV, VB and EMC familiarised themselves with the data. EMC was responsible for
22 coding and searching for themes. After this point, discussions between TV, VB and EMC
23 informed the latter stages of the process, with TV taking primary responsibility for writing
24 the results. High quality analysis was promoted through close alignment with recognised
25 criteria for good qualitative research, such as grounding interpretations in examples from the
26 data (which allows readers to confirm or query interpretations), conducting credibility checks
27 and optimising coherence across the study[28,29].
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **RESULTS**

49
50 The essence of the lived experience of parenting a child with CMV can be illustrated by the
51 following themes: difficulties associated with establishing a diagnosis of congenital CMV;
52 burden associated with caring for a child with congenital CMV; and societal networks which
53 have the potential to facilitate coping and alleviate stress (Table 2).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1. Difficulties associated with establishing a diagnosis of congenital CMV

None of the participants had heard of CMV prior to their child's diagnosis.

Participants suggested that medical professionals struggled to arrive at a diagnosis of CMV and the process was often complicated by errors, poor communication and extended delays. According to participants' perceptions, difficulty with diagnosis, disorganisation and lack of support post-diagnosis were a result of the lack of knowledge and awareness within the medical community. Participants were shocked and angry about the lack of information provided to them at the time of their pregnancies - and therefore the missed opportunities to reduce the risk of acquiring CMV infection in pregnancy - and the lack of post-diagnostic support, describing the experience as "*traumatic due to the uncertainty, the lack of knowledge and the lack of understanding*".

Participants were despondent about the missed opportunities and misdiagnosis, which left them ill-prepared to spot changes in their child's condition. In some cases, professionals repeatedly assured participants not to worry, but the participants reported having '*this gut feeling*' that something might be wrong and living with regret for not acting on it sooner. Participants discussed the lack of coordinated approach to the diagnosis and how the NHS worked in silos, which hindered the much-needed support they could access for their child. The absence of a specialist who oversaw CMV as a whole was identified as a flaw.

(INSERT BOX 1)

2. Burden associated with caring for a child with congenital CMV

Many participants suggested that the diagnosis of CMV had a lasting impact on the family who were left overwhelmed with the life changing experience. From the early stages of a CMV diagnosis, there was a strong sense of uncertainty and unpredictability for families on what else may go wrong as a result of the "*fluctuating virus*" and many participants

1
2
3 viewed CMV as a “*constant worry*”, “*dramatic uncertainty*” and “*waiting game*” in terms of
4
5 how it may further affect their child.
6
7

8 Additionally, meeting everyday care needs, caring for a growing child who was fully
9
10 dependant on them for an extended period of time, the constant monitoring and the on-going
11
12 appointments were considered burdensome. Participants acknowledged the emotional aspects
13
14 of caring and the worry for an unknown future. Furthermore, participants were concerned
15
16 about the negative impact this may have on other siblings. Many participants expressed that
17
18 having a child born with CMV left them with a sense of grief and the grieving process being
19
20 akin to “*the loss of a dream*”. Many participants suggested that they felt frustrated, guilty and
21
22 a sense of responsibility for not taking the necessary precautions to reduce the risk of CMV.
23
24 Knowledge of risk reduction measures would have helped in ensuring that they were aware
25
26 and remained cautious throughout their pregnancy. Participants emphasised the importance of
27
28 ‘*arming women with information*’ for them to make their own informed decisions regarding
29
30 the measures they choose to adopt, “*you educate them and then it’s their choice.*”
31
32
33
34

35 (INSERT BOX 2)
36
37
38
39

40 **3. Societal Network: Facilitators and barriers to coping**

41
42 Familial and community networks have the potential to contribute to the distress of
43
44 carers or to support and alleviate their stress. For participants, the lack of knowledge and
45
46 understanding of CMV include that of the child’s extended family who struggle to
47
48 understand, empathise and effectively adjust to the child’s disabilities. Participants believed
49
50 that the lack of support from family and the wider community was in part due to the lack of
51
52 awareness of CMV and this also makes accessing support problematic. Many participants felt
53
54 strongly about raising awareness of CMV and educating the wider community. However,
55
56 they were mindful to emphasise the importance of “*getting the message out without scaring*
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 *people*'. Similarly, others reaffirmed the need to give women the opportunity to make
4
5 informed decisions to encourage risk reduction of contracting CMV.
6
7

8 (INSERT BOX 3)
9
10

11 **DISCUSSION**

12
13
14 The lived experience of parenting a child with CMV covers a multitude of
15
16 interrelated facets, including difficulties associated with CMV diagnosis, lack of awareness
17
18 of CMV among medical professionals complicating the diagnosis process, the uncertainties
19
20 about what the diagnosis might mean and, for some families, the ongoing experience of day
21
22 to day life with a child with additional needs. Parents expressed their frustration of not
23
24 knowing about CMV during their pregnancies and consequently not having had the chance to
25
26 take action to avoid infection. Family and wider societal networks have the potential to
27
28 facilitate coping and alleviate stress. However, the lack of knowledge and awareness of CMV
29
30 within social networks acts as a barrier to receiving support from family and friends. The lack
31
32 of open dialogue and awareness of CMV among medical professionals, pregnant women,
33
34 their families and wider society was considered the biggest barrier to reducing risk of CMV
35
36 and also in coping with parenting a child with CMV.
37
38
39
40
41
42

43
44 Consistent with previous research, our findings draw attention to the lack of
45
46 awareness about life-limiting conditions in childhood in medical circles and lack of a
47
48 coordinated approach to diagnosis and post diagnostic support, which leads to parents
49
50 experiencing great emotional stress[30]. The organisation of the healthcare system and the
51
52 absence of teams with specialist CMV-specific knowledge, appear to increase burdens placed
53
54 on parents, who faced uncertainty and delays in receiving a diagnosis for their child. Specific
55
56 to parenting a child with CMV, the participants in our study drew attention to the additional
57
58 emotional burden of guilt and responsibility of potentially and unknowingly transmitting
59
60

1
2
3 CMV to their unborn children which led parents, particularly mothers, to blame themselves
4 upon discovering that their child has CMV. The need to identify a cause of the child's
5 disability is related to closure and is part of the adaptive process[19]. Families living with
6 CMV were also resentful that they were not given an opportunity to participate in risk
7 reducing interventions in order to avoid contracting CMV.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 Our findings on the emotions related to grief and loss are consistent with previous
17 findings[31]. The feelings of loss were for the ideal child they might have anticipated during
18 pregnancy, as well as the loss of the child they might have experienced without the
19 difficulties associated with CMV. Parenting a child with CMV, the uncertain diagnoses and
20 prognoses, variable daily functioning, an apparent normal development of the child during
21 the first few weeks and months, the manifestation of some symptoms but not others they had
22 been warned about, and coping with an uncertain future contribute to feelings of ambiguous
23 loss[32]. Our findings suggest that social support from informal networks was perceived as
24 supportive and vital to the daily care of their child with disabilities. However, lack of
25 awareness, misjudgement of child's needs, stigma and discrimination hindered family
26 functioning and coping[33].
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to analyse, in-depth, the lived
43 experiences of parenting children with CMV. Although the study was limited to 10
44 participants, it provided rich data to highlight the experiences of parents living with CMV.
45 The aim of qualitative research is not to reach generalisable findings, but to enable a richer
46 understanding of the participants' experiences of the phenomena under investigation. The
47 lack of the male/paternal perspective is an area that warrants further investigation.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 We found that lack of knowledge about CMV is a significant problem and raising
58 awareness of the condition amongst the general public, pregnant women and health care
59
60

1
2
3 professionals, and providing education about risk reducing measures in pregnancy, is of vital
4 importance. This will require a range of strategies including more emphasis placed on CMV
5 in the undergraduate medical, nursing and midwifery curricula, focussed postgraduate
6 training for healthcare professionals and an organised programme of antenatal education for
7 pregnant women and their families which should include written information in the handheld
8 notes as well as inclusion of CMV in the list of infections discussed by midwives at booking.
9
10 The effectiveness of this discussion is likely to be facilitated by increasing staff confidence as
11 a result of more training. In addition clear guidelines for the diagnosis and management of
12 CMV in pregnancy, the newborn and child should be available in all NHS Trusts based on
13 published national and international guidance in order to standardise the care given to these
14 families. Wherever possible, a paediatric infectious diseases specialist should be involved in
15 the management and co-ordination of care of these infants and children[4,34,35]. It should be
16 recognised that the needs of families of affected children are complex and not limited to
17 medical and practical considerations and, where possible, time should be given to families to
18 explore the emotional and psychological dimensions of their child's condition. This may
19 involve signposting families to support organisations, referring for formal psychological
20 support and providing access to a consistent and familiar point of contact, for example a
21 nurse specialist. There is need to conduct further research exploring the perceptions of
22 healthcare professionals about their pre-existing knowledge about CMV, and collaborative
23 work between healthcare professionals, affected families and advocacy organisations looking
24 at how families' needs can most effectively be met.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful to the participants for their involvement and
4
5 interest.
6

7
8 **Funding details:** This paper presents independent research funded by the National Institute
9
10 for Health Research (NIHR) under its Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB) Programme (Grant
11
12 Reference Number PB-PG-0215-36120). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and
13
14 not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.
15

16
17 **Contributors:** TV, VB and CJ designed the study. VB collected the data and TV, VB AND
18
19 EM analysed the data. TV prepared the manuscript with input from all authors. All authors
20
21 approved the final draft.
22

23
24 **Competing interests:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.
25

26
27 **Patient consent:** Not required.
28

29
30 **Data sharing statement:** Anonymised qualitative data and relevant research materials can be
31
32 made available upon request from the corresponding author.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

1. Goderis J, De Leenhee E, Smets K, et al. Hearing loss and congenital CMV infection: a systematic review. *Pediatrics. American Academy of Pediatrics* 2004;134:972–82.doi:10.1542/peds.2014-1173
2. Townsend C, Forsgren M, Ahlfors K, et al. Long-term Outcomes of Congenital Cytomegalovirus Infection in Sweden and the United Kingdom. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013;56:1232.doi:10.1093/cid/cit018
3. Townsend C, Peckham C, Tookey P. Surveillance of Congenital Cytomegalovirus in the UK and Ireland. *Arch Dis Child* 2011;96:A46.doi:10.1136/adc.2011.212563.101
4. Luck SE, Wieringa JW, Blázquez-Gamero D, et al. Congenital cytomegalovirus: a European expert consensus statement on diagnosis and management. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2017;36(12):1205-1213.doi:10.1097/INF.0000000000001763
5. Turner KM, Lee HC, Boppana SB et al. Incidence and Impact of CMV Infection in Very Low Birth Weight Infants. *Pediatrics. American Academy of Pediatrics* 2014;133:609.doi:10.1542/peds.2013-2217
6. Dollard SC, Grosse SD & Ross DS. New estimates of the prevalence of neurological and sensory sequelae and mortality associated with congenital cytomegalovirus infection. *Rev Med Virol* 2007;17:355–363.doi:10.1002/rmv.544
7. Schleiss MR. Congenital cytomegalovirus: Impact on child health. *Contemp Pediatr* 2018;35:7.pmid:30740598
8. Cannon MJ, Westbrook K, Levis D et al. Awareness of and behaviours related to child-to-mother transmission of cytomegalovirus. *J Prev Med* 2012;54(5):351-7.doi:10.1016/j.jpmed.2012.03.009
9. Reichman NE, Corman H & Noonan K. Impact of child disability on the family. *Matern Child Health J* 2008;12:679-83.doi:10.1007/s10995-007-0307-z

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
10. Dlf.org.uk. Key facts | Disabled Living Foundation. Available at:
<https://www.dlf.org.uk/content/key-facts> Accessed on 16 August 2019.
 11. Hall HR & Graff CJ. Parenting Challenges in Families of Children with Autism: A Pilot Study. *Issues Compr Pediatr Nurs* 2010;33(4):187-204.doi:10.3109/01460862.2010.528644
 12. Sanders JL & Morgan SB. Family stress and adjustment as perceived by caregivers of children with Autism or Down syndrome: Implications for intervention. *Child Fam Behav Ther* 1997;19:15–32.doi:10.1300/J019v19n04_02
 13. Bayat M. Evidence of resilience in families of children with Autism. *J Intellect Disabil Res* 2007;51:702-14.doi:10.1111/j.1365-2788.2007.00960.x
 14. Vermaes I, Gerris J & Janssens M. Parents' social adjustment in families of children with spina bifida: A theory-driven review. *Journal of Paediatric Psychology* 2007;32:1214-26.doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsm054
 15. King G, Baxter D, Rosenbaum P. et al. Belief Systems of Families of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders or Down Syndrome. *Focus Autism Other Dev Disabl* 2009;24:50-64.doi:10.1177/1088357608329173
 16. Dumas JE, Wolf LC, Fisman SN et al. Parenting stress, child behaviour problems, and dysphoria in parents of children with Autism, Down syndrome, behaviour disorders, and normal development. *Exceptionality* 1991;2:97–110.doi:10.1080/09362839109524770
 17. Eddy LL & Engel JM. The impact of child disability type on the family. *ARN J* 2008;33:98–103.doi:10.1002/j.2048-7940.2008.tb00212.x
 18. Yamada A, Kato M, Suzuki M et al. Quality of life of parents raising children with pervasive developmental disorders. *BMC Psychiatry* 2012,12(1):119.doi:10.1186/1471-244X-12-119

- 1
2
3 19. Summers JA, Behr SK & Turnbull AP. Positive adaptation and coping strengths of
4 families who have children with disabilities. In: Singer GHS Irvin LK eds. Support
5 for caregiving families: Enabling positive adaptation to disability. Baltimore: Paul H.
6 Brookes 1988:27-40.
7
8
9
- 10
11
12 20. Britner PA, Morog MC, Pianta RC et al. Stress and coping: A comparison of self-
13 reporting measures of functioning in families of young children with Cerebral Palsy
14 or no medical diagnosis. *J Child Fam Stud* 2003,12:335-
15 348.doi:10.1023/A:1023943928358
16
17
18
- 19
20
21 21. Manning MM, Wainwright L & Bennett J. The Double ABCX model of adaptation
22 in racially diverse families with a school age child in Autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*
23 2011;41:320-331.doi:10.1007/s10803-010-1056-1
24
25
26
- 27
28 22. Mullins JB. Authentic voices from parents of exceptional children. *Fam Relat*
29 1987;36:30-33.doi:10.2307/584643
30
31
32
- 33
34 23. Vacca J & Feinberg E. Rules of engagement: initiating and sustaining a relationship
35 with families. *Infants Young Child* 2000;13(2):51-57.
36
37
- 38
39 24. Revello MG, Tibaldi C, Masuelli G, Frisina V, Sacchi A, Furione M. et al. Prevention
40 of Primary Cytomegalovirus Infection in Pregnancy. *EBioMedicine* 2015;2(9):1205-
41 1210.doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.08.003
42
43
44
- 45 25. authors
46
- 47
48 26. Coyle A Introduction to Qualitative psychological research. In: Lyons E Coyle A,
49 eds. *Analysing Qualitative Data in Psychology*. London: Sage Publications 2016:9-30
50
51
- 52
53 27. Braun V & Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol*
54 2006;3(2):77-101.doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 28. Elliott R, Fischer CT & Rennie DL. Evolving guidelines for publication of qualitative
4 research studies in psychology and related fields. *Br J Clin Psychol* 1999;38(3):215–
5
6 29.doi:10.1348/014466599162782
7
8
9
10 29. Yardley L. Dilemmas in qualitative health research. *Psychology & Health*
11
12 2000;15(2):215–228.doi:10.1080/08870440008400302
13
14
15 30. Graungaard AH & Skov L. Why do we need a diagnosis? A qualitative study of
16 parents' experiences, coping and needs, when the newborn child is severely disabled.
17
18 *Child Care Health Dev* 2007;33:296-307.doi:10.1111/j.1365-2214.2006.00666.x
19
20
21 31. Fernández-Alcántara M, Paz García-Caro M, Pérez-Marfil N. et al. Feelings of loss
22 and grief in parents of children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). *Res*
23
24 *Dev Disabil* 2016;55:312-321.doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2016.05.007
25
26
27
28 32. O'Brien M. Ambiguous loss in families of children with autism spectrum disorder.
29
30 *Fam Relat* 2007;56:135–146.doi:10.1111/j.1741-3729.2007.00447.x
31
32
33 33. Cuzzocrea F, Murdaca, Costa S et al. Parental stress, coping strategies and social
34 support in families of children with a disability, *Child Care Pract* 2016;22(1): 3-
35
36 19.doi:10.1080/13575279.2015.1064357
37
38
39
40 34. Shah T, Luck S, Sharland M et al. Fifteen-minute consultation: diagnosis and
41 management of congenital CMV. *Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed* 2016;101:232-
42
43 235.doi:10.1136/archdischild-2015-309656
44
45
46
47 35. Rawlinson WD, Boppana, SB, Fowler, KB et al. Congenital cytomegalovirus
48 infection in pregnancy and the neonate: consensus recommendations for prevention,
49
50 diagnosis, and therapy. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2017;17,e177–e188.doi:10.1016/S1473-
51
52 3099(17)30143-3
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1: Participant Characteristics

		N (%)
Gender	Female	9 (90)
	Male	1 (10)
Age	18-24 years	0 (0)
	25-40 years	6 (60)
	41-50 years	4 (40)
Marital status	Single (never married)	3 (30)
	Married/civil partner	7 (70)
Age of child when diagnosed with CMV	From birth	3 (30)
	Under 12 months	4 (40)
	13 months - 2 years	1 (10)
	3-4 years	1 (10)
	5 years and above	1 (10)
Age of child at time of interview	0-12 months	1 (10)
	13-23 months	0 (0)
	2-5 years	5(50)
	6-10 years	2(20)
	11-15 years	2(20)
Severity of cCMV	Hearing loss (unilateral or bilateral)	6 (60)
	Developmental and motor delay	1 (10)
	Severely disabled	2 (20)

	Unknown	1 (10)
Ethnicity	White English	9 (90)
	Other British	1 (10)
Parental highest qualifications	GCSE/BTEC or equivalent	1 (10)
	AS/ A-Levels or equivalent	2 (20)
	PGCert/ PGDip or equivalent	1 (10)
	BSc/ BA or equivalent	2(20)
	MSc/ NIA or equivalent	4 (40)

Table 2: Theme and Sub-themes

Themes	Subthemes
Difficulties associated with establishing a diagnosis of congenital CMV	Shock of diagnosis Lack of awareness among medical community Disorganised pathways to diagnosis
Burden associated with caring for a child with congenital CMV	Dealing with uncertainty and unpredictability Loss of a dream Guilt and responsibility
Societal Network: Facilitators and barriers to coping	Support (or lack of support) from family and community Support (or lack of support) from professionals Raising awareness and educating wider community

Box 1 Quotes illustrating the difficulties associated with establishing a diagnosis of congenital CMV

“The doctor snatched the dummy out of her mouth and said this is the problem. She says, it’s made her glands swell and it’s affected her hearing. She says, here is some ear drops and come back in a month, if it’s not any better. So, I was a bit like never known that before, but she’s a doctor and okay.” (P3)

“we were caught off guard by the diagnosis and it was handled so badly [....]. She had a hearing loss identified in the new born screening and the audiology said, don’t worry about it, it won’t cause her any problems. They didn’t tell us it could get worse and they didn’t test for what had caused it. Having that really bad experience which meant she didn’t have any antiviral treatment [....] We didn’t know to watch out for her hearing deteriorating. I had sort of gut feeling that it was more than that. I wish I had acted on it. When you have specialists saying everything is fine. You take that. I don’t think I got over that (P5)

“there has been nobody that got kind of a CMV kind of overview. You immediately get sized and dotted for your child’s deafness. Audiology deal with you. If your child has other issues, obviously physio we saw a little while. She gets occupational therapy, but they don’t talk to each other. There is nobody, apart from the parent that has got that overview of what CMV can do. So that is a gap. I think all parents have found that there is no sort of, there is no CMV expertise, specifically” (P5)

Box 2 Quotes illustrating the burden associated with caring for a child with congenital**CMV**

“Nobody really knows what the future holds for her. You are in this state of limbo, consistently with her, because it’s obvious it had quite a big impact. There is no way of predicting anything, you are like, okay, brilliant. That is CMV [....] Devastating [...] And sort of increasing stages of devastation. As you learn more you get things confirmed. That is our experience of it [...] Lots of shocks I suppose. And gradual shocks ...it is not just a slap in the face things. It’s sort of dawning realisations that are then confirmed or not and then you are left with I think dramatic uncertainty. That is almost probably the hardest bit of all of it and not knowing” (P7)

“We will just have to keep monitoring it and keep monitoring her right ear, because it’s a fluctuating virus. So obviously if it then got worse or they have to look into it again [...] It puts extra stress on me. I have appointments every week. I think because I constantly worry about it like its kind of my job to do the appointments and stuff because he works [...] I am constantly looking for it as well like looking for her hearing to drop or checking her eyesight ... It does, it puts that extra worry on you.” (P2)

“It’s sort of still is a bit of a grieving process as well for the child you thought you were going to get versus the child you have.” (P3)

“When she was still so little it was quite hard to accept. You don’t want that to be true. You want that- when a child is labelled with developmental delay, it kind of gives you a little bit of hope that there is some patching out that can be done. It’s a slightly unusual term, because

1
2
3 certainly for E there was never any suggestion that she would ever properly catch up. Getting
4 to that conclusion is kind of a process that you have to go through” (P8)
5
6
7
8
9

10 “Obviously the guilt as a mother because shouldn’t have contracted it but there you go [...] I
11 just felt very guilty, ... You feel like okay, so if I hadn’t of shared a spoon with my son and if I
12 hadn’t kissed him on the lips or made sure I washed my hands more thoroughly when I
13 hadn’t kissed him on the lips or made sure I washed my hands more thoroughly when I
14 changed nappies or something maybe this wouldn’t have happened. I think I probably did go
15 through a phase of a bit of self-blame, I suppose. If anyone was going to be blamed for it, it
16 was going to be me. No one else you could look to.” (P6)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 “I think again its knowing the consequences of what could happen if you don’t really. That’s
27 got to be the key [...] I wish I’d known it existed and it was a possibility that something
28 could happen. Particularly being that I think, because I do have a toddler, I think I would, if
29 I’d known how, with these messages I think I could have ramped up the hygiene a bit more”
30
31
32
33
34
35
36 (P1)
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Box 3 Quotes illustrating the theme of Societal Networks: Facilitators and barriers to coping

“I think you know, even my closest family like my mum and my husband’s parents, they don’t get it really [....] They don’t make any adjustments for her even though we have asked them to and told them that they need to. They sort of forget, I think I am trying to explain it more and they don’t really take it in. We have sort of given up on that, because it’s exhausting saying the same things over and over again [...] My mum would say, why is she doing that? What is she doing that for? I would say, well, you know, because of everything that’s affecting her. Or she will say things like, you need to tell her off more. Why don’t you discipline her and I am like well, some of her behaviour is the reason for it. She has got a big sensory issue going on. She is not an attention seeker.” (P5)

“I don’t think there is so many birth problems that people have heard of. If no one has heard of CMV and you talk about it and everyone thinks, it’s so rare. It’s a niche.” (P6)

“So, all of that stuff is very stressful and just as stress, on stress, on stress. So, little is understood and known about CMV, it kind of makes it harder to make your case. If it’s an obvious—if it’s an Autism or something, you would go, yes, okay they are going to need more help. I think that has been a real issue is just the lack of professional support and advice as well as—I feel constantly under pressure to be on top of it and on the ball, because if I miss something or don’t do something no-one else would pick it up. You can’t rely on people around you.” (P5)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

“Because they have seen L and so they know, and I did get a pack of leaflets. I have given them to the schools and doctors and the nursery to try and get people” (P2)

Confidential: For Review Only