1

PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist

This checklist has been adapted for use with protocol submissions to *Systematic Reviews* from Table 3 in Moher D et al: Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* 2015 4:1

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Section where				
			Yes	No	each item is addressed in the protocol				
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION									
Title: Students' Preparedness for Disasters in Schools: A Systematic Review Protocol									
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review			Title page				
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number in the Abstract			Title page				
Authors									
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author			Title page				
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review			Contributors				
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments			n/a				
Support									
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review			Funding				
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor			Funding				
Role of sponsor/funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol			Funding				
INTRODUCTION									
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known			What is already known on this topic?				

2

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Section where
			Yes	No	each item is addressed in the protocol
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)			Introduction
METHODS					
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review			Eligibility
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage			Search Strategy
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	\boxtimes		Supplementary File 2
STUDY RECORDS					
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review			Data Extraction
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)			Selection Processes
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators			Data Extraction
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications			Data Extraction
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale			Inclusion Criteria
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis			Quality Appraisal
DATA					
Synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized	\boxtimes		Data synthesis
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	\boxtimes		Data synthesis

3

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Section where
			Yes	No	each item is addressed in the protocol
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)			n/a
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)			Data synthesis