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ABSTRACT

Background Responses to the COVID-19 pandemic include strict public health measures, such as national lockdowns. During these measures, paediatric emergency department attendances have declined and the prevalence of presenting complaints has changed. This study sought to identify whether dog bite attendance and victim demographics changed during COVID-19 public health measures.

Methods An audit was conducted of emergency department attendance data from a UK tertiary paediatric hospital between January 2016 and September 2020. Dog bite attendance and victim demographics were explored using χ² tests and multivariable Poisson regression. The mean monthly percentage of attendance due to dog bites in 2020 was compared against predicted percentages based on previous years’ data.

Results Dog bite attendance rose in conjunction with the introduction of COVID-19 public health measures and reached a peak in July 2020 (44 dog bites, 1.3% of all attendances were due to dog bites). This was a threefold increase in dog bite attendance. By September 2020, attendance had returned to normal. The demographic profile of child dog bite victims remained the same. Boys had the highest attendance rates in 7–12-year-olds, girls in 4–6-year-olds. Girls showed higher attendance rates in the summer, while boys’ attendance rates were constant throughout the year. COVID-19 public health measures were associated with a 78% increase in attendance for boys and a 66% increase in girls.

Conclusions COVID-19 national public health measures were associated with an increase in paediatric emergency department dog bite attendance, and may be due to increased child exposure to dogs via ‘stay at home’ orders and school closures. National lockdowns are likely to continue globally throughout the COVID-19 pandemic; this is likely to result in more dog bites. Urgent public health communication and injury prevention strategies are needed to help prevent these avoidable injuries.

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 global pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2 has affected medicine and public health in a multitude of unforeseen ways. One of these was the significant reduction in patient attendance at paediatric emergency departments during COVID-19 national lockdowns. Absolute attendance reduced between 27% and 72%, though this reduction was not seen across all presenting complaints. For example, attendance for trauma has increased and decreased, dependent on the reporting hospital. One trauma of increasing concern is dog bites. Dog bite hospital admission rates across England have tripled in adults between 1998 and 2018, yet remained stable in children, with a mean child annual admission rate of 14.4 dog bite hospital admissions per 100 000 population per year. Both sexes have their highest admission rates in childhood, peaking between 5 and 14 years old for boys and 5 and 9 years old for girls.

The majority of dog bite injuries to children occur to the head; 73.3% in English hospital admissions. In Canadian paediatric emergency departments, 25% of bites were classified as severe, 15% of victims were
admitted, 7% needed operations and 0.3% resulted in fatalities.9 Children are over-represented in fatal dog attacks; in the USA, 55.6% of victims were less than 10 years old,16 in Canada 86% were under 12 years old,11 while in Europe 16% were less than 10, with 3% less than a year old.12 UK fatality numbers are unknown. Even with excellent management of wounds, the physical and psychological consequences may be long lasting.13 14 With 70% of parents of dog bite victims having reported behavioural concerns in their children as a sequela of the bite.15

During the COVID-19 pandemic, many public health measures directly affected children, primarily the implementation of national lockdowns, ‘stay at home’ orders, the restriction of socialisation outside their household unit and the closure of schools.15 16 Such measures resulted in children spending more time than usual at home. Previous research has demonstrated that dogs provided people and families with many positive benefits in lockdown by reducing loneliness and improving well-being.17 However, it is known that the majority of child dog bite victims are bitten inside the home, ranging from 41% to 91%,4 8 18 19 and most dogs involved are known to the victim.9 18 We, and others, have theorised that the increased time at home, and resultant increased contact time with a pet dog, could lead to more dog bites and a subsequent increase in dog bite attendances at paediatric emergency departments.20 21 The aim of this study was to understand the impact that English COVID-19 public health measures had on emergency department dog bite attendance in a paediatric hospital.

METHODS

An audit of emergency department records was performed at Alder Hey Children’s Hospital to identify trends in the number of dog bites and the percentage of attendances due to dog bites, to explore patient demographics between 1 January 2016 and 30 September 2020 and identify any impact associated with the COVID-19 public health measures. Alder Hey Children’s Hospital has one of the busiest paediatric emergency departments in the UK. It primarily serves the children of Liverpool and surrounding areas, but major traumas are received from North West England, North Wales and the Isle of Man.

Anonymised data were collected from hospital patient electronic health records. These contain standard information regarding clinical and safeguarding aspects of the child presenting to the emergency department. The following variables were captured for all attendees of the emergency department: date of attendance, age, sex and whether a dog bite was their reason for attendance (as a binary term). Monthly dog bite attendance counts were plotted, alongside overall attendance figures. The mean monthly number of dog bites prior to, and during, the COVID-19 public health measures was compared using a χ² test, and a linear trend with age further evaluated using a χ² test for trend (also known as a Cochran-Armitage test for trend).

The monthly percentage of attendances due to dog bites was calculated for the whole audit period, with associated CIs calculated using Byar’s method. Monthly attendance percentages were smoothed using LOESS (locally estimated scatterplot smoothing) methods.22 Monthly attendance percentages for 2020 were predicted based on monthly data from 2016 to 2019 using the ETS (error, trend and seasonality) method.23–25

For this analysis, a binary variable (‘COVID-19 Public Health Measures’) was assigned to each patient to indicate whether any national COVID-19 public health measures were in place at the time of attendance; this variable was assigned the value of 1 for attendances within the months March to September 2020,15 16 and the value 0 otherwise. To compare the demographics (sex and age) of dog bite victims during and outside of COVID-19 public health measures, χ² tests were performed. Age groups were defined as infants (<12 months old), toddlers (1–3 years old), early childhood (4–6 years old), middle childhood (7–12 years old) and adolescents (13–17 years old).26

Interrupted Poisson regression time series was performed to assess the following variables’ association with monthly attendances for dog bites: time (a linear term, with each unit equating to an individual month), month of the year, sex, age group and COVID-19 public health measures. The number of dog bite victims per month was used as the numerator, while the denominator was the emergency department attending population. Sex-specific multivariable models were created. Interaction between age and sex often occurs when analysing healthcare data. Thus, presenting sex-stratified models provided clarity to our results, in addition to delivering clear sex disaggregated data as recommended by the WHO.27 Each model used attendance data for each specific sex as their dominator. Substantive knowledge was used to select the models with the best fit (only these will be presented). All models were checked for the presence of any interaction terms. Goodness-of-fit χ² tests for Poisson models were used to assess model performance.

All statistical analyses were carried out using R language (V.3.5.3). Results were deemed statistically significant where p<0.05.

Patient and public involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in this research.

RESULTS

Between 1 January 2016 and 30 September 2020, a total of 919 individuals attended Alder Hey Children’s Hospital’s emergency department for a dog bite; over the study period 0.33% (95% CI 0.31 to 0.36) of all attendances were due to dog bites.

Prior to March 2020, the mean monthly total emergency department attendance was 5035 (95% CI 4899 to 5171). The lowest monthly total number of attendances...
was recorded in April 2020 (n=2056), this represents a 2.45 times reduction (figure 1). The mean monthly dog bite attendance was 15 cases (95% CI 14 to 16), the highest monthly dog bite attendance was in July 2020 (n=44), an almost threefold increase (ratio: 2.93) compared with mean monthly dog bite attendance. Overall, there was a significant increase in the mean monthly number of dog bite attendances when COVID-19 public health measures were applied (table 1).

There was no significant difference in the proportion of males and females attending based on whether they attended during COVID-19 public health measures or not (χ²=0.17, p=0.68), nor was there a difference in the proportion of ages attending (χ²=3.92, p=0.42) or evidence of a linear effect of age (trend χ²=0.13, p=0.71).

The monthly percentage of attendances due to dog bites prior to 2020 revealed a consistent yet fluctuating pattern, ranging from 0.13% to 0.57%, with a mean monthly percentage of 0.31 (95% CI 0.28 to 0.34) (figure 2). The monthly percentages in 2020 deviated from the projected data from May to August, peaking in July with 1.28% (95% CI 0.94 to 1.70) of all attendances due to dog bites. This peak reflected a quadrupling...
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Table 2  Univariable and multivariable Poisson analysis of monthly paediatric dog bite attendance rates in an emergency department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Univariable analysis</th>
<th>Female multivariable model</th>
<th>Male multivariable model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>ARR (95% CI) P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 public health measures (Ref=Absent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>2.29 (1.93 to 2.71)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.66 (1.18 to 2.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (linear)</td>
<td>1.01 (1.01 to 1.02)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00 to 1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year (Ref=2016)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1.06 (0.85 to 1.32)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1.26 (1.02 to 1.55)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1.20 (0.98 to 1.48)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2.20 (1.78 to 2.71)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month (Ref=January)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>1.23 (0.88 to 1.72)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.69 (1.02 to 2.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>0.97 (0.69 to 1.37)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.27 (0.75 to 2.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>1.41 (1.02 to 1.97)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.66 (0.99 to 2.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>1.76 (1.29 to 2.40)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.26 (1.41 to 3.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>1.57 (1.14 to 2.17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.68 (1.01 to 2.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>1.73 (1.27 to 2.37)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.05 (1.26 to 3.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>1.98 (1.45 to 2.72)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.28 (1.39 to 3.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>1.36 (0.99 to 1.90)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.75 (1.06 to 2.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>0.99 (0.69 to 1.41)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.28 (0.73 to 2.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>0.68 (0.45 to 1.00)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.08 (0.60 to 1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>1.03 (0.72 to 1.48)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.05 (0.57 to 1.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Ref=Female)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.91 (0.80 to 1.03)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Ref=Toddler, 1–3 years old)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant (&lt;12 months)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.13 to 0.28)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.17 (0.09 to 0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early childhood (4–6 years old)</td>
<td>1.75 (1.45 to 2.10)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.89 (1.46 to 2.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle childhood (7–12 years old)</td>
<td>1.70 (1.44 to 2.01)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.44 (1.13 to 1.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent (13–17 years old)</td>
<td>1.30 (1.05 to 1.61)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.94 (0.68 to 1.30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COVID-19 public health measures were present from March 2020 to September 2020. ARR, attendance rate ratio; N/A, not applicable.

(ratio: 4.13) of the average monthly percentage of attendances due to dog bites. COVID-19 public health measures were independently associated with a 66% increase in dog bite attendance rates in females and a 78% increase in males (table 2).

Females had the highest dog bite attendance rates from May to September. In contrast, male attendance rates were similar throughout the year except in March and November which displayed significantly lower rates. Female attendance rates showed similar attendance rates between toddlers and adolescents, with early and middle childhood showing the highest rates (peaking in early childhood), and infants the lowest. Male age attendance rates peaked in middle childhood. All male age groups had significantly higher rates than toddlers except infants who were significantly lower. To achieve the final model fit, the ‘year’ variable was excluded from both models. Both male and female models had good model fits with small residual differences (male model p=0.66, female model p=0.94). No interaction terms were identified.

DISCUSSION
This study highlights that COVID-19 public health measures were associated with an increase in the number of paediatric dog bite emergency department attendances, potentially due to children spending more time at home, with greater exposure to dogs. Additionally,
the demographics of children at risk of dog bites have remained the same during this period. These results can be used to underpin the need for an evidence-based approach to dog bite injury prevention strategies targeted at children and their guardians during this pandemic and future ones.

Following 4 years of stable monthly patterns of dog bite attendance, there was a sharp increase in dog bites and attendance percentage between April and July 2020: an effective tripling in dog bite attendances and quadrupling in percentage of attendances. This is confirmed by a clear statistical association between the period of COVID-19 public health measures and a rise in dog bite attendances.

The national lockdown (March to May 2020) saw a rapid reduction in overall emergency attendance levels, which reached their lowest in April. It was expected that overall attendance levels would decline as patients and parents were fearful of hospital-acquired COVID-19 and were told not to seek medical aid unless absolutely necessary. However, children continued to attend Alder Hey for dog bites, with no clear decrease in attendance, despite overall attendance numbers dramatically decreasing (Figure 1).

Dog bite numbers did not dramatically increase until May, over a month into the lockdown period. The initial stable level of dog bites during lockdown was unexpected given another study reported a rapid increase in dog bite attendance as soon as their lockdown began. During the initial period, life satisfaction and happiness in adults declined, with anxiety levels raised. Rates of self-harm and domestic violence also rose. These studies highlight that in some households the normal emotional palette had been disrupted, and this could feasibly include dogs, who are viewed as family members. Dogs’ routines also changed drastically during the first lockdown with 80% spending more time with children, and having less socialisation with other dogs and less exercise. Dog owners reported behavioural changes in their dogs and many reported a hesitancy to walk their dog due to COVID-19 infection risks. The observed time lag in attendances for bites may be due to a lag in household risk or a lag in attending hospitals.

Bite risk may not have increased immediately due to a range of reasons. It may have taken some time for dogs to exceed their tolerance threshold for behaviours directed towards them, and/or due to the accumulative effect of separate triggering experiences, particularly where there was greater activity occurring within homes during lockdown. Parental supervision of their children with dogs within the home is often limited, and this may have been further impacted during lockdown with parents having to juggle multiple conflicting demands on their time. In lockdown, there was also a fear of attending hospitals, so it is possible that less severe dog bite injuries were managed at home.

From May to July, the number of dog bite attendances increased faster than overall attendance number, leading to a higher percentage of attendances being due to dog bites. During this period, relaxation of public health restrictions and summer weather meant there was potentially more opportunity for children to be exposed to non-household dogs, providing another source of risk perhaps more absent previously. There may also have been increased exposure due to proliferation of dog adoptions and acquisition of ‘pandemic puppies’ as 2020 progressed. Further, according to UK Kennel Club research, many new puppies were bought on impulse with little research. Compounded by difficulty accessing professional advice due to ‘emergency only’ veterinary provision, and closure of training classes, many dogs acquired during 2020 may have had inadequate training and socialisation, which may result in a greater likelihood to biting.

August and September saw declining dog bite attendances and the percentage of attendances due to dog bites lowered, both measures returned to the normal range in September coincident with schools reopening and likely resulted in children spending less time at home with dogs. In a study in Colorado, dog bite attendance numbers were not assessed beyond cessation of their lockdown, and it is possible that as public health measures eased, attendance rates would have returned to normal as our data have.

This study found that the sex and age profile of dog bite victims remained the same during the COVID-19 public health measures, in line with available international trauma data. Our data confirm dog bite seasonality, but to the best of our knowledge, this work is the first to describe sex differences related to dog bite seasonality, with dog bites to girls increasing during the summer, but not to boys; research is needed to understand why.

**Limitations**

The main limitation of these data is how representative they are of the wider UK population. Alder Hey Children’s Hospital is one of Europe’s largest paediatric hospitals, and the community it serves has one of the largest hospital admission rates for dog bites in the country. This research needs to be repeated at a national scale. For this audit, only case count and basic demographic information of the victims was captured. Further work exploring the association of COVID-19 public health measures with severity and anatomical location of the bites, and the context of the bite, is critically important if we are to understand why dog bites increased. The variable ‘COVID-19 Public Health Measures’ encompasses a complex range of measures varying in timing and likely impact. It is likely that multiple confounding and interacting factors led to the increase in dog bite attendance rates. It was felt that the pragmatic decision to choose a broad definition was justified. All our hypotheses as to why dog bites rose are speculative, and more research is needed.
CONCLUSIONS

On 5 January 2021, the UK was once again told to ‘Stay at Home’; this third COVID-19 lockdown is expected to last at least 2 months. Lockdowns across the world will continue until public health measures are successful in bringing the COVID-19 pandemic to an end. Until that time, lockdowns and school closures are likely to be associated with a rise in paediatric dog bites. The victims who attend emergency departments probably have the most severe injuries and represent only a portion of the bitten population. There will be potentially thousands of children who receive preventable and life-changing physical and psychological injuries. Urgent public health communication and education is needed to raise awareness of increased dog bite risk and promote safe interactions with dogs, thus ensuring that waves of dog bites do not follow strict COVID-19 public health measures.


