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ABSTRACT

Introduction During the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, there were many changes in the provision of healthcare as well as home and educational environments for children. We noted an apparent increase in the number of children presenting with ingested foreign bodies and due to the potential impact of injury from this, further investigated this phenomenon.

Method Using a prospective electronic record, data were retrospectively collected for patients referred to our institution with foreign body ingestion from March 2020 to September 2020 and compared with the same period the year prior as a control.

Results During the 6-month pandemic period of review, it was observed that 2.5 times more children were referred with foreign body ingestion (n=25) in comparison to the control period (n=10). There was also a significant increase in the proportion of button battery and magnet ingestions during the COVID-19 pandemic (p 0.04).

Conclusion These findings raise concerns of both increased frequency of foreign body ingestion during the COVID-19 pandemic and the nature of ingested foreign bodies linked with significant morbidity. This may relate to the disruption of home and work environments and carries implications for ongoing restrictions. Further awareness of the danger of foreign body ingestion, especially batteries and magnets, is necessary (project ID: 2956).

What is already known?

- Foreign body ingestions, specifically button batteries and magnets, are associated with mortality and morbidity.
- Cases of button battery ingestions are an increasingly concerning cause of fatality in the paediatric population over the past decade.
- During the coronavirus pandemic, the home and work environment underwent significant disruption, with many children unable to attend school or nursery and caregivers working from home.

What this study adds?

- This study demonstrates an increase in paediatric foreign body ingestions during the COVID-19 pandemic in North London.
- This supports literature from other countries reporting an increase in foreign body ingestion in children during the pandemic.
- There was an increase in the percentage of button battery and magnets ingested which is of significant concern for potential morbidity and mortality.

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic started in December 2019 with the WHO declaring the outbreak as a public health emergency in January 2020. The first cases of coronavirus arrived in the UK at the end of January 2020. On the 3 April 2020, the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health issued a position statement on delayed access to medical care during the pandemic. The college set out clear guidelines to reassure the general population that paediatric patients will continue to receive the care they need during this international crisis and that timely access to healthcare should continue to be sought.

It has previously been reported that 50–60 deaths per year in the UK are secondary to foreign body ingestion, with the most common foreign bodies encountered in the paediatric population being button batteries, coins and high-powered magnets. Notably, Litovitz et al reported 12.6% of children who ingested a 20-mm button battery suffered severe or fatal injuries. In addition, a more recent study in France investigated an increasing trend of urgent endoscopies in children over a 6-month period (February–July 2017). With a total of 237 referrals, 68% were found to be associated with foreign body ingestions. Of those, 25.2% had ingested a battery and 26.4% had ingested coins. Such findings add further weight to an evolving picture of an increase in foreign body ingestion and associated mortality in the paediatric population.

While the paediatric population has largely been protected from COVID-19-related illness, children and their caregivers have
been significantly impacted by closure of schools, nurseries and enforcement of social distancing. Moreover, a wide variability in the degree to which students returned to school following the UK’s first national lockdown has been observed, which may be a result of parental preferences or requirements to self-isolate. With this in mind and seeing an apparent increase in presentation of children with foreign body ingestion, we sought to review the incidence, management and outcomes of referrals to our institution during the early months of the national lockdown compared with our experience before the pandemic.

METHODOLOGY

An observational, cross-sectional study design was employed. A prospective electronic data record was reviewed retrospectively to collect all patients referred with foreign body ingestion during a 6-month period of the COVID-19 pandemic and the same time period a year prior. The study was conducted in a single institution: Department of Specialist Neonatal and Paediatric Surgery, Great Ormond Street Hospital (GOSH) and registered within the trust as an audit (project ID: 2956).

Patients were identified if coded as ‘foreign body ingestion’. The inclusion/exclusion criteria for this study are as follows in table 1. No patients were actively recruited to the study; therefore, no patients required assignment for intervention and there was no requirement to individually disseminate findings.

Risk of confirmation bias was minimised by collecting all patients with foreign body ingestion in the first instance and subsequently allocating into pandemic and control populations.

Patients were assigned to the ‘pandemic’ group if referred between March 2020 and September 2020, which encompasses the dates of school closure from 20 March 2020 to September 2020. The control group was defined as cases referred between the period of March 2019 and September 2019, 1 year prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The data collected included basic epidemiology, foreign body type, time to referral, location of foreign body and management type. Both need for admission and conservative or surgical management were recorded, as well as associated complications. Fisher’s exact test was used for statistical analysis with p<0.05 considered to be significant.

RESULTS

During the pandemic 6-month period, 25 patients were referred with foreign body ingestion compared with only 10 patients in the pre-pandemic control group. This represented a 2.5 times rise in cases.

In the pandemic group, four patients were referred from outside the usual referral area but when accounting for this, a significant increase in referrals with foreign body ingestion was still noted (2.1 times greater).

The demographics and average time to presentation are summarised in table 2. All patients were previously fit and well excluding one patient in the pandemic group with mild developmental delay and one in the control group with congenital heart disease. The most common foreign bodies encountered during the pandemic were button batteries (10), magnets (6) and coins (3). Notably, a significant increase in the number of button batteries (p=0.04) and magnets (p=0.04) was observed in the pandemic group compared with controls (figure 1). The majority of these foreign bodies during the pandemic were found within the stomach (9) followed by the oesophagus (9) (figure 2).

Management varied as per the type of foreign body, location and clinical picture. Surgical management included endoscopic retrieval, laparoscopy and/or laparotomy. Conservative management was based on active observation of the patient either clinically and/or with serial X-ray imaging. During the pandemic, 73% of patients required admission to GOSH compared with 40% in the control period and two-thirds of patients required surgical retrieval compared with only one-third of patients during the control period (p=0.12; figure 3). During the COVID-19 pandemic, a greater range in time to referral from time of foreign body ingestion, when known, was observed (0–56 days) compared with controls (0–3 days), but this did not reach statistical significance (table 2). We assumed that referral was made the same day as presentation to the local hospital in both groups as would be in keeping with standard practice. Three patients during the pandemic developed significant complications following their foreign body ingestion. One patient had a complex tracheobronchial injury with two subsequent reconstructive operations and a prolonged paediatric intensive care unit admission following ingestion of a button battery and delay to presentation. Two other patients had bowel perforations following ingestion of magnets and required prolonged surgical admissions and intravenous antibiotic therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paediatric population: 0–16 years</td>
<td>Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted or referred under GOSH</td>
<td>Admissions under ENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General surgical and/or shared with the ENT department</td>
<td>Trichobezoar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical or conservative management</td>
<td>PICA (compulsive ingestion of nonfood articles)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ENT, Ear, Nose and Throat; GOSH, Great Ormond Street Hospital.
DISCUSSION

We observed an increase in the number of paediatric referrals to our institution for foreign body ingestion during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Hospitalisation in the paediatric population has faced different challenges in comparison to the adult population during the COVID-19 pandemic. There has been a significant reduction in paediatric admissions across European countries during the coronavirus pandemic.9 10 As part of North Central London’s regional response to COVID-19, paediatric patients were redirected to our institution directly from local emergency departments to provide capacity for local adult hospitalisation. The catchment area for referral to GOSH, however, remained the same and we would not expect foreign body ingestions to have been affected by this change, as they would ordinarily be referred to our centre at time of presentation for management even prior to COVID-19 provision restructuring. During the COVID-19 period, a notable increase of more than double the number of foreign body ingestions was observed even considering the small number of additional out of area referrals during this time.

During the COVID-19 pandemic period, we also saw a significant increase in the proportion of button batteries and magnets ingested. Both button batteries and magnet ingestion are recognised to be associated with increased mortality and poorer outcomes leading to prolonged paediatric intensive care admissions in previously fit and healthy children.11 12 These findings raise concerns regarding increased frequency and potential severity in outcomes of foreign body ingestion during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The demographic features of this study are in line with previous studies of foreign body ingestion in paediatrics. The median age of referral with foreign body ingestion in this study was between 3 and 4 years, in keeping with the literature showing that children aged 2–4 years are most at risk of ingesting foreign bodies.13–15 In our study, the stomach and oesophagus were the most frequently referred location of foreign body, which is also in line with previous studies.16

The association between the COVID-19 pandemic and an increased trend in paediatric foreign body ingestion has been witnessed nationally and internationally. Recent evidence, in keeping with our data, shows that ingestion of magnets has increased in the UK.17 In addition, a study in Italy reviewed attendances to emergency department due to foreign body ingestion from February 2020 to April 2020 compared with 4 years prior. A statistically significant increase in button battery ingestions was noted during the pandemic.18 Furthermore, a study investigating ear, nose and throat (ENT) emergency admissions during the COVID-19 pandemic found that while attendances for ENT symptoms showed a statistically significant reduction, attendances for foreign body ingestions continued to remain high.19 The findings from our study echo this trend and thus highlight the importance of raising awareness to increasing rates of foreign body ingestion.

Table 2 Demographic data of foreign body ingestion during coronavirus pandemic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pandemic (n=25)</th>
<th>Control (n=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14 (56%)</td>
<td>5 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (median, range)</td>
<td>3 (10 months–14 years)</td>
<td>3 (1–14 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median days prior to presentation (range)</td>
<td>0* (0–56)</td>
<td>0* (0–3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Days refers to immediate presentation to medical professional following foreign body ingestion.

Figure 1 Type of foreign body ingested.

Figure 2 Location of identified foreign body.

Figure 3 Management of foreign body ingestion.
During the COVID-19 pandemic, a greater range in time to referral from ingestion of foreign body was observed in our study compared with the control period. This difference, however, did not reach statistical significance, although our patient with the most significant morbidity had the longest delay in referral time.

Households during the COVID-19 pandemic have experienced significant disruption. UNESCO states that during the COVID-19 pandemic, 1.37 billion students globally have been unable to attend school resulting in an abrupt change in family lifestyle. Pizzolo et al reviewed 8648 cases of battery ingestions in the paediatric population and found 61.8% of battery ingestions were obtained from household products. Therefore, the disruption of the home environment during the COVID-19 pandemic should be considered a potential factor contributing to the increase of foreign body ingestion.

This study cannot account for foreign body ingestions in North London, which were not referred to our institution during the pandemic. Subsequently, the true number may be even higher than we observed; however, this number is likely to be small both before and during COVID-19 these patients would usually be referred to our tertiary centre. It is also important to note the relatively small sample size of patients in this study. Future research should consider a national data collection on foreign body ingestion during the COVID-19 pandemic to improve generalisability of results.

Our study highlights a concerning overall increase in foreign body ingestion with an increased proportion of button battery and magnets seen. Public health campaigning has previously resulted in successful campaigns to advocate for increased awareness of risks and medical emergencies associated with foreign body ingestion especially in light of the ongoing disruption due to the global COVID-19 pandemic.
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