Background The concept of joining up services and managing young people’s mental health difficulties has never been more paramount. Covid has thrown us all into a world of uncertainty and this is exacerbated for young people with anxiety, underlying medical or psychiatric needs. At a time when linking people up to work and think together is the most needed, of course it is also the most challenging. Mental health presentations are an increasing part of the paediatric caseload and there is growing evidence that paediatric trainees feel ill-equipped to manage these patients. Equally CAMHS trainees reported they required greater access to seeing children under 5, assessing development and physical health. Only 33% of trainees we surveyed felt that their current training programme enabled them to achieve their curriculum requirements in paediatrics or mental health respectively.

Objectives We developed the concept of Thinking Together, to tackle this training gap. The scheme involves pairing paediatric and CAMHS trainees to share clinical encounters to foster a joint way of learning and working together, coming together to look at how we can link training to optimise patient care. CAMHS services are increasingly stretched, raising referral thresholds and making the need for closer working together across disciplines paramount.

Methods A pilot was launched in March 2016 where 30 trainees from CAMHS and Paediatrics were paired for a period of 6 months, attending at least two clinical encounters in each setting. Trainees were encouraged to explore a variety of learning possibilities, including clinics, referral meetings and signposting their partner to other relevant clinical opportunities.

In 2018 we extended the scheme in a second phase pilot to four centres across the UK. Feedback from participants involved was equally positive but there were logistical challenges. We reflected on feedback from the initial and second phase pilot and adapted the programme in 2019/2020. We aimed to consolidate the scheme in one centre and include workshops, which allowed focus on a topic relevant to both specialties, in addition to a forum for debrief discussions on challenging cases.

Results Prior to participating in Thinking Together, 70% stated they had no experience of working in a jointly delivered paediatric/mental health clinic. 93% of participants felt that their patients benefited from access to jointly delivered clinics. Feedback was very positive and identified that the scheme met training gaps for both specialties. A adapted version of Thinking Together that we rolled out in 2019–2020 was also met with positive feedback and proved to be particularly beneficial during the pandemic, when trainee pairs could still participate in Thinking Together via virtual workshops.

Conclusions Covid has clearly brought challenges to every aspect of our lives but this is a training model that has been able to adapt in this unprecedented time, by means of virtual workshops and the enthusiasm of the participants to continue to pursue learning opportunities, including joining referral meetings remotely. Thinking Together has evolved into a successful model that we would like to embed in everyday practice.
to infection. Similarly isolation at home and perhaps reduced visits from friends and relatives may have improved feeding success and explain the reduced admissions due to hypernatremic dehydration. Using TC services reduced the admission load on NICU, whilst also allowing mums and babies to stay together on the postnatal ward. In doing so, we will now review the readmission from home policy and capacity of TC to allow more babies to be kept together with their mums on re-admission, as we have demonstrated this can be done in a clinically safe manner. It is also a useful strategy for further waves of Covid-19, should there be more direct Covid-related admissions. With a reduction in community services we need to offer more support to parents with monitoring jaundice.

Results Anonymous feedback was collected from a cross-section of department team members using an online survey 4 months after the intervention was started. The feedback received was that length and frequency of the newsletters was adequate, the quiz was positively received, and that team members felt that the newsletter brought a sense of comrades, despite social distancing.

Constructive feedback was given for future newsletters regarding its format to make it easier to read on mobile devices and suggestions of including notification of team-members’ birthdays and junior staff to be involved in the newsletter’s production, thus resulting in an even more inclusive construction of the newsletter.

Conclusions During the COVID-19 pandemic many areas of the department changed including teaching, however departmental learning and sense of team involvement was not diminished but rather thrived with the addition of the weekly paediatric emergency department newsletter.
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**Background** With the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic, the paediatric emergency department (PED) teaching was disrupted with the monthly half day education teaching mornings not taking place due to social distancing and reduced numbers of staff being allowed to be physically present on each shift. This lowered staff morale and reduced learning opportunities through the community of learners in the PED. This is particularly significant in a setting which manages a diverse range of pathologies, which has a high turnover of junior staff and where junior staff often face a steep learning curve at the start of their post, potentially posing increased clinical risk. A new teaching strategy was therefore implemented and delivered electronically in the form of a weekly 1-page newsletter.

**Objectives** To continue educating the staff within the PED

To maintain a positive morale within the PED team

**Methods** A weekly newsletter was sent out electronically to all staff within PED, it contained bite-size information on the PED topic of the week, signposting to further resources and an update on departmental news which included any new COVID-19 guidelines, local and regional training opportunities and celebrations of team-member's achievements and contributions to the department during that week. There was also a summary of learning outcomes from the departmental weekly simulation sessions and a section on learning from a real case that had recently been seen in the department. Additionally, there was a link to a weekly quiz which was predominantly based on the topic of the week, for staff members to assess their knowledge on the topic.

The topic of the week was based on common PED presentations. The quiz was used for participant's own self-assessment and this has been shown to be an effective learning strategy within education. Sharing real-life examples of cases and learning points from simulation allowed participants to connect with their learning by highlighting its relevance to the real-world. In addition, it provided the opportunity to safely reflect on their own practice (E.g what might they have done differently) and helped learners build across their 'zone of proximal development', thus giving the opportunity to develop cognitive skills and own world view.
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**Background** No published data exists regarding junior paediatric trainee's confidence in performing paediatric emergency department (PED) procedures but anecdotally this has been low in novices. In our hospital up to 60% of the 41,000/year cases in PED are musculoskeletal ailments. There can be significant delays in treatment when junior team members are not able to independently perform PED procedures such as gluing and sizing crutches. Using multiple teaching methods appeals to different types of learners (e.g visual, auditory, kinesthetic learners) and using competition has been shown to encourage learning.

**Objectives** To improve junior paediatric staff members' confidence in performing specific PED skills before and after a multimodal teaching intervention is implemented.

**Methods** A curriculum was established and multimodal teaching of basic skills was started. This entailed procedural videos being created and circulated amongst new PED staff members with opportunities created to mentor them in practicing these skills. A star chart was used for participants to gauge their performance with their peers. A competition was held at the end of the teaching intervention and trainees were asked to demonstrate these skills using objective criteria.

**Results** 26 participants took part. Participant confidence increased in 100% of cases in performing all the ED skills. Objective assessment of procedures post-intervention showed that all the participants were able to demonstrate performing the skills independently to a competent level. 100% of participants would recommend this intervention to others.

**Conclusions** The innovative multi-modal teaching intervention used was accepted by all learners, it was useful in improving junior paediatric staff member’s confidence in performing certain PED procedures and to an objectively high level of competence.