Why charging patients in the UK for going to hospital is wrong and bad for child health

Bryony Hopkinshaw ¹, Catarina Alves Soares ¹, Jonathan Broad,¹
Olivia Lam,² Sarah Boutros,¹ Alison Steele³

To cite: Hopkinshaw B, Alves Soares C, Broad J, *et al.* Why charging patients in the UK for going to hospital is wrong and bad for child health. *BMJ Paediatrics Open* 2022;**6**:e001294. doi:10.1136/bmjpo-2021-001294

Received 23 November 2021
Accepted 28 December 2021

Charging families for healthcare because of their immigration status is causing harm to the health of children in the UK, and has wide-reaching impacts on entire families, including fear of accessing healthcare, exacerbation of poverty and deepening of health and social inequalities.^{1 2} Growing evidence of this has prompted the UK's Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (RCPCH) to publish a position statement officially opposing charging for healthcare in the UK's National Health Service (NHS).¹ In this article, we describe who is affected by NHS charging, the widespread harm that it causes to child health, and why we have chosen to speak out as a professional body on this issue.

WHO IS CHARGED FOR HEALTHCARE IN THE UK?

In the UK, access to primary care and to emergency departments is free of charge to all. Anyone who lacks formal immigration status, however—in other words, is ‘undocumented’—can be made to pay for NHS secondary care, such as inpatient treatment.^{3–6} In England, hospitals are mandated to withhold treatment until bills are paid, for all but urgent and immediate care.⁶ There are exemptions for certain vulnerable groups including recognised victims of trafficking and refugees with right to remain. However, in contrast to many European countries, being under 18 is not in itself an exemption to being charged in the UK. There are an estimated 200 000 children in the UK who are ‘undocumented’,⁷ for reasons which include being born to undocumented parents, losing legal residency, having had their asylum application rejected, or being unrecognised victims of human trafficking. Unless they meet a specific exemption, all of these children are deemed ‘chargeable’ for NHS secondary care.⁸

The number of families subject to health-care charging in the UK is likely to be increasing rapidly.⁹ Children and young people who are nationals of other European countries may have unwittingly lost legal residency in the UK as a result of Britain's exit from the European Union.⁹ This particularly affects young people in state care and recent care-leavers, who are reliant on Local Authorities to apply for the EU Settlement Scheme on their behalf in order to maintain legal residency once leaving care. In early 2021, less than half of the potentially eligible children in the care system had had such applications for settled status made for them.⁹ Proposed UK legislation (The Nationality and Borders Bill) is likely to exclude additional people from regular immigration status by tightening conditions on refugees who travelled by ‘irregular’ routes and increasing requirements for stateless children born in the UK to obtain citizenship.¹⁰ The crisis in Afghanistan, compounded by the scarcity of approved routes to refuge in the UK, will likely drive the numbers of children and families in this vulnerable position up even further.¹¹

WHAT ARE THE HARMS CAUSED BY HEALTHCARE CHARGING?

From the RCPCH perspective, we find denying children and young people full access to healthcare deeply worrying; the UK is a signatory to the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, which states that signatories ‘shall strive to ensure that no child is deprived of his or her right of access to healthcare services’. We are a professional body for paediatricians; one of our four core missions is: ‘To inform, influence and shape policy and practice so that UK health services provide high quality, safe and sustainable healthcare services for all children in all

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Working group on Migrant Healthcare, reporting to Child Protection Standing Committee, Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, London, UK

²Health Policy Lead, Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, London, UK

³Officer for Child Protection, Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, London, UK

Correspondence to

Dr Bryony Hopkinshaw; b.hopkinshaw@nhs.net

settings'.¹² Healthcare charging conflicts with this vision because of overwhelming evidence that the policy harms children's health, because it exacerbates societal inequalities, and because it negatively impacts on child health professionals, jeopardising their ability to provide the highest quality healthcare.^{1 2 13–20}

Globally, it is known that child health outcomes are better in countries which offer universal access to healthcare,²¹ and evidence from three European studies suggests that offering universal healthcare—including to refugees and migrants—is more cost-effective than restrictive policies, due to factors including the increased costs of delayed treatment.^{22–24} In the UK, evidence of harm from healthcare charging is growing rapidly.^{13–15} Harm can result directly from hospitals refusing or delaying healthcare, for example, delaying a child's cancer treatment due to uncertainty regarding immigration status.² Harm can also arise from a family avoiding healthcare, due to fear of unaffordable bills or of immigration enforcement authorities.^{15 16}

Deterrence from healthcare affects a wider group of patients than those actually targeted for charging, and limits opportunities for advocacy for exemptions. A devastating example of someone being too scared to access care despite being eligible for free treatment, was seen in April 2020 when an adult died at home of COVID-19 due to fears of charging and immigration control, despite the fact that treatment of COVID-19 is exempt from charging.¹⁷ Similarly, a mother and her baby had potentially avoidable health consequences after avoiding antenatal care due to fear of charging, despite being a victim of trafficking and therefore exempt.² The dangers of spreading fear and distrust are one of the key reasons why we oppose healthcare charging for all patients, not just for children and young people. When parents and carers have distrust in the healthcare system, how can we expect them to bring their children into contact with it?

Charging for access to healthcare also risks worsening poverty and health inequalities, and detriments other child public health priorities. We know that child poverty is a contributor to poor physical and mental health, reduced employment opportunities, and social deprivation, and that families in these circumstances experience significant stigma. Many families who are subject to NHS charging are also subject to significant restrictions on accessing employment, housing and state benefits.¹⁸ Debt from healthcare costs can, therefore, exacerbate existing poverty, and can push previously coping families into destitution. Deterring families from healthcare also means opportunities are missed to identify and safeguard vulnerable children, including victims of trafficking and modern slavery. Charging policy is also exacerbating racial inequalities: patients from black and ethnic minority backgrounds are more likely to have their eligibility for free NHS care questioned, and more likely to be inappropriately charged.¹⁹

Not only are children affected by charging when they themselves are subjected to fees, but also indirectly

through the impact these policies have on their families. If a child's loved ones come to harm due to lack of access to healthcare, if their family becomes destitute due to charges or if their carers are deported—then children's health and well-being may be affected, despite the charging itself not applying to the child.

Policies that introduce such NHS charges do not affect our patients alone—professionals in child health are also affected by the moral injury of denying care and the added pressures this dynamic introduces into working relationships with patients.²⁰ Twelve per cent of the UK's healthcare workforce are themselves migrants,²⁵ and while they are unlikely to be charged directly, many face disproportionate costs to their families from immigration charges related to healthcare, and may have extended family and friends charged for care from the very service they work for.²⁶

WHY IS IT IMPORTANT THAT WE SPEAK UP AS PAEDIATRICIANS ON HEALTHCARE CHARGING?

The RCPCH makes it a core mission to advocate for child health,¹² particularly recognising that many of our patients do not yet have the ability to speak up for themselves. Paediatricians are trained to keep the best interests of the child at the centre of their practice, and to consider it a safeguarding concern if someone is obstructing the health and well-being of a child: we have a duty to speak up even if it is the state itself obstructing access to healthcare.

We know that our professional voice can add weight to political arguments: for example, the RCPCH was recently part of a successful campaign to maintain free school meal provision in England in school holidays during the COVID-19 pandemic.²⁷ Professional bodies globally have played important roles in a number of campaigns, for example, medical professionals have helped shift public opinion on off-shore migrant detention in Australia,²⁸ American doctors have lobbied government to reduce harm from firearm injuries²⁹; and UK Royal Colleges played a role in widening access to abortion in Northern Ireland.³⁰

WHAT IS THE RCPCH DOING, AND WHAT CHANGE DO WE WANT?

At the RCPCH, we have written guidance for health professionals to provide them with the knowledge and tools to advocate for families impacted by charging,⁸ and host a tool where professionals can report on the impacts of charging on child health.³¹ We continue to support our members in advocating for the right of all children to access healthcare, and encourage other professional bodies to join us in our position against this legislation.

The UK healthcare charging regulations are incompatible with good paediatric care and with optimum public child health. The RCPCH is calling on the UK government to:

- ▶ Abolish the legislation that provides for NHS charging in all four nations of the UK.

- ▶ Ensure that patient data remain confidential within the NHS, is not shared with the Home Office, and cannot be used for immigration enforcement purposes.
- ▶ Stop any expansion of NHS charging and protect universal access to primary care and public health services.

Twitter Bryony Hopkinshaw @DrBryonyH

Contributors All authors contributed to the conception and structure of the editorial. Background research was carried out by BH, CAS, JB and SB, and the initial draft written by BH and CAS. All authors contributed to editing and revision, and all have approved the final version.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests BH, CAS, JB, SB and AS are voluntary members of the RCPCH working group which contributed to the RCPCH position statement 'Access to healthcare for migrant and undocumented children'. OL is a paid employee of the RCPCH. SB is a paid trainer for VITA Training on safeguarding victims of modern slavery. This work received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Bryony Hopkinshaw <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7662-0060>

Catarina Alves Soares <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9512-4641>

REFERENCES

- 1 Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health. Access to healthcare for migrant and undocumented children - position statement. RCPCH, 2021. Available: <https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/resources/access-healthcare-migrant-undocumented-children-position-statement> [Accessed 6 Oct 2021].
- 2 Murphy L, Broad J, Hopkinshaw B, *et al*. Healthcare access for children and families on the move and migrants. *BMJ Paediatr Open* 2020;4:e000588.
- 3 National Health Service, Scotland. The National health service (charges to overseas visitors) (Scotland) regulations 1989, 1989. Available: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukSI/1989/364/introduction/made> [Accessed 12 Feb 2021].
- 4 Welsh Assembly Government, NHS Wales. Implementing the overseas visitors Hospital charging regulations, 2009. Available: <https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2019-05/implementing-the-overseas-visitors-hospital-charging-regulations.pdf> [Accessed 12 Feb 2021].
- 5 The Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety. Provision of health services to persons not ordinarily resident regulations (Northern Ireland) 2015, 2015. Available: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/nisr/2015/27> [Accessed 12 Feb 2021].
- 6 Acute Care & Workforce/Provider Efficiency & Productivity/Cost Recovery Programme/13790. *Guidance on implementing the overseas visitor charging regulations*, 2018.
- 7 Jolly A, Thomas S, Stanyer J. *London's children and young people who are not British citizens: a profile*. Greater London Authority, 2020.
- 8 Boutros S, Hopkinshaw B, Broad J. Guidance: Rights to access healthcare. Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health, 2020. Available: <https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/resources/right-access-healthcare> [Accessed 15 Dec 2021].
- 9 The Children's Society. *Looked after children and the EU settlement scheme: an update for local authorities*, 2020.
- 10 Gowers M. *Nationality and borders bill*. House of commons library, 2021.
- 11 United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). Afghanistan emergency, 2021. Available: <https://www.unhcr.org/afghanistan-emergency.html?query=afghanistan> [Accessed 16 Dec 2021].
- 12 Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health. RCPCH Royal charter, 2016. Available: <https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/about-us/governance> [Accessed 15 Dec 2021].
- 13 Nellums DLB, Rustage K, Hargreaves DS. *The lived experiences of access to healthcare for people seeking and refused asylum. Equality and human rights Commission*, 2018.
- 14 Nellums DLB, Rustage K, Hargreaves DS. *Access to healthcare for people seeking and refused asylum in Great Britain. Equality and human rights Commission*, 2018.
- 15 Potter JL, Burman M, Tweed CD, *et al*. The NHS visitor and migrant cost recovery programme - a threat to health? *BMC Public Health* 2020;20:407.
- 16 Feldman R. *What price safe motherhood?* Maternity Action, 2018.
- 17 Bulman M. Charities and MPs warn undocumented migrants are dying of coronavirus because they're too afraid to seek help. The Independent., 2020. Available: <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/coronavirus-undocumented-migrants-deaths-cases-nhs-matt-hancock-a9470581.html> [Accessed 6 Oct 2021].
- 18 Dexter Z, Capron L, Gregg L. Making Life Impossible - How the needs of destitute migrant children are going unmet., 2016. Available: https://www.basw.co.uk/system/files/resources/basw_92901-1_0.pdf [Accessed 15 Dec 2021].
- 19 Quereshi A, Morris M, Mort L. *Access denied: the human impact of the hostile environment. Institute for public policy research*, 2020.
- 20 Maternity Action. Duty of care? the impact on midwives of NHS charging for maternity care. September 2019. London., 2019. Available: <https://maternityaction.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/DUTY-OF-CARE-with-cover-for-upload.pdf> [Accessed 15 Dec 2021].
- 21 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Universal health coverage and health outcomes, 2016. Available: <https://www.oecd.org/els/health-systems/Universal-Health-Coverage-and-Health-Outcomes-OECD-G7-Health-Ministerial-2016.pdf> [Accessed 9 Nov 2021].
- 22 Trummer U, Novak-Zezula S, Renner A, *et al*. 2.10-P10Cost savings through timely treatment for irregular migrants and European Union citizens without insurance. *Eur J Public Health* 2018;28:cky048.061.
- 23 European Agency for Fundamental Rights. The cost of exclusion from healthcare to migrants in an irregular situation in the EU. European agency for fundamental rights. Vienna, 2015. Available: https://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_uploads/fra-2015-cost-healthcare-summary_en.pdf [Accessed 17 Dec 2021].
- 24 Bozorgmehr K, Razum O. Effect of restricting access to health care on health expenditures among asylum-seekers and refugees: a quasi-experimental study in Germany, 1994-2013. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0131483.
- 25 Office for National Statistics.. International migration and the healthcare workforce., 2019. Available: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/internationalmigration/articles/internationalmigrationandthehealthcareworkforce/2019-08-15> [Accessed 11 Oct 2021].
- 26 UNISON. The health surcharge | the hostile environment and the NHS. UNISON Natl, 2020. Available: <https://www.unison.org.uk/at-work/health-care/big-issues/more-campaigns/hostile-environment-nhs-the-health-surcharge/> [Accessed 11 Oct 2021].
- 27 Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health. 2200 paediatricians sign open letter to prime minister urging government to extend free school meals to cover holidays. RCPCH., 2020. Available: <https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/news-events/news/2200-paediatricians-sign-open-letter-prime-minister-urging-government-extend-free> [Accessed 6 Oct 2021].
- 28 Owler B. Speech to AMA forum on health of asylum seekers. *Aust Med Assoc* 2016 <https://www.ama.com.au/media/ama-speech-prof-owler-ama-asylum-seeker-health-forum>
- 29 American Medical Association. "DOJ Should Close Regulatory Loophole For Unserialized "Ghost Guns"". *Am Med Assoc* 2021 <https://www.ama-assn.org/health-care-advocacy/advocacy-update/aug-27-2021-national-advocacy-update>
- 30 Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists. RCOG/FSRH respond to new regulations on abortion care in Northern Ireland. *R Coll Obstet Amp Gynaecol* 2020 <https://www.rcog.org.uk/en/news/rcogfsrh-respond-to-new-regulations-on-abortion-care-in-northern-ireland/>
- 31 NHS charging regulations - case submission. RCPCH. Available: <https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/form/nhs-charging-regulations> [Accessed 6 Oct 2021].