

BMJ Paediatrics Open

BMJ Paediatrics Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Paediatrics Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjpaedsopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Paediatrics Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjpo@bmj.com

BMJ Paediatrics Open

risk factors and outcomes for Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in babies born at the threshold of viability: A case-control study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2022-001583
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-Jun-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Vallant, Natalie; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Haffenden, Verity; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Paediatric Surgery Peatman, Oliver; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Khan, Hammad; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Lee, Geraint; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Thakkar, Hemanshoo; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Yardley, Iain; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery
Keywords:	Neonatology, Resuscitation, Therapeutics, Mortality

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

RISK FACTORS AND OUTCOMES FOR NECROTISING ENTEROCOLITIS (NEC) IN BABIES BORN AT THE THRESHOLD OF VIABILITY: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

Natalie Vallant, MD, PhD¹, Verity Haffenden¹, Oliver Peatman², Hammad Khan,
FRCP², Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS², Hemanshoo Thakkar, MBBS, BSc,
FRCS(Eng), FRCS(Paed)¹, Iain Yardley, BM, MPH, FRCS¹

20
21
22

¹Department of Paediatric Surgery, Evelina London Children's Hospital

23
24
25
26
27

**²Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine, Evelina London Children's
Hospital**

28
29
30
31
32

Correspondence:

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Geraint Lee, Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS, Consultant Neonatologist, Department of
Neonatology, Evelina London Children's Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, London,
SE1 7EH. Tel: +44 7967 665008

Contributorship Statement:

NV: Manuscript preparation and revision, data collection; VH: Statistical analysis, data collection; OP: Data collection; HK: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; GL: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; HT: Project supervision, preparation of manuscript, statistical analysis, data collection; IY: Project supervision, statistical analysis, manuscript revision.

Keywords:

Necrotising Enterocolitis, Prematurity, Neonatology, Extreme Prematurity, Outcomes

Word Count: 2465 Words

ABSTRACT

Background: The threshold for active management of babies born prematurely in the UK is considered to be 22 weeks. The optimal management strategy for necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in babies born at or near this threshold remains unclear.

Aim: To review our institutional experience of babies born <24 weeks, identify risk factors for NEC and compare surgical outcomes with cases requiring medical treatment only, or not developing NEC.

Methods: All infants born <24 weeks gestation between January 2015-December 2021 were identified. Those undergoing surgery for NEC were designated as “cases”, those with medically managed NEC “comparators” and those with no diagnosis of NEC “controls”. Patient demographics, clinical features at the time of diagnosis, complications and outcomes were extracted from the electronic medical record and compared between groups.

Results: 31/56 (55.3%) babies were diagnosed with NEC. Multivariate analysis did not reveal any independent risk factors for NEC. 17 babies underwent surgery for NEC. Their presentation was atypical with a CRP rise in only 11/17 (64.7%) and a fall in platelet count in 11/17 (64.7%). Pneumatosis intestinalis (3/17 (17.7%)) or pneumoperitoneum (3/17 (17.7%)) were only present in a minority of cases. Abdominal ultrasound demonstrated intestinal perforation in 8/8 cases. The surgical complication rate was 5/17 (29.4%). Survival to discharge in all three groups was 50%.

Conclusions:

1
2
3 The diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation is challenging with
4 inconsistent clinical and radiological features. Ultrasound scanning may be a useful
5 modality. Low gestational age is not a contraindication to surgical intervention in NEC.
6
7
8
9

10 11 **1. INTRODUCTION**

12
13
14
15
16 Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) is a potentially devastating condition, especially when
17 surgical treatment becomes necessary. The incidence of surgical NEC has not
18 changed significantly over the past decade, still affecting 1 to 3 per 1000 live births
19 and 1% to 5% of infants admitted to NICUs (1). The true incidence of NEC is difficult
20 to discern due to variabilities in current literature, with inconsistencies in diagnosis and
21 data collection posing the biggest challenges. In particular the difficulties in confirming
22 a diagnosis of NEC in mild cases (equivalent to Bells' Stage I) and differentiating from
23 a diagnosis of spontaneous intestinal perforation (SIP) contribute to a lack of robust
24 epidemiologic data (2,3).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The most consistent finding in published reports of NEC is that the incidence has been relatively stable over time in very low birth weight (VLBW) infants (<1500g at birth). Stoll et al.(1) reported an incidence of 10% for NEC within this cohort in 1994, which is still commonly cited (4–7). This incidence of 10% has also been reported in the PiPS trial in 2016; a multicenter UK trial investigating the effect of probiotics on the development of NEC and late onset sepsis (8).

The primary risk factor for the development of NEC is prematurity (9). Well accepted secondary risk factors are intrauterine growth retardation, the presence of pathogenic organisms in the gastrointestinal tract, bowel ischaemia, enteral feeding and variations

1
2
3 in clinical practice regarding feeding and antibiotic treatment protocols (10). The
4
5 administration of antenatal maternal magnesium sulphate ($MgSO_4$), intended as a
6
7 neuroprotective measure, has been suggested to be an additional risk factor for the
8
9 development of NEC (11,12). In established NEC, clinical deterioration despite
10
11 maximal medical therapy, or the presence of pneumoperitoneum on abdominal x-ray
12
13 represent the most common indications for surgical treatment, however, robust studies
14
15 linking outcomes with specific indications for surgical interventions are lacking (13).
16
17
18
19
20

21 The mortality rate of VLBW infants with surgical NEC is between 50% and 72%, and
22
23 neurodevelopmental impairment is highly likely to occur in survivors (14,15).
24
25 Nevertheless, boundaries continue to be reset in regard to outcomes of babies with
26
27 low gestational age. Contemporary reports from European centers report survival
28
29 rates of live-born extremely premature neonates to have improved significantly over
30
31 the last two decades (16). In live-born infants of 22 or 23 weeks gestational age,
32
33 survival has been reported to reach almost 50% where active care is offered (17).
34
35 Similar outcomes were observed in a single center study in Iowa, with a reported
36
37 survival to hospital discharge of 78% amongst NICU admissions at 22 or 23 weeks
38
39 gestational age (18).
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 In the UK the improved survival of babies born at 22 weeks of gestation led the British
47
48 Association of Perinatal Medicine to update their guidelines regarding perinatal
49
50 management of such babies. They recommend that decisions for such babies should
51
52 not be based on gestational age alone but should reflect 'assessment of the baby's
53
54 prognosis taking into account multiple factors' and follow multi-professional
55
56 discussions with parents (19). Although intensive care is now increasingly offered to
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 live born infants born between 22 and 24 weeks gestation, the role of surgical
4
5 intervention in this cohort remains unclear.
6
7
8
9

10 We reviewed our institutional experience of babies born under 24 weeks completed
11 gestational age in order to identify any potential risk factors for the development of
12 NEC specifically in babies born under 24 completed weeks of gestation. Furthermore,
13
14 we set out to compare the outcomes between babies requiring surgery for NEC, those
15
16 requiring only medical treatment and a control group of babies with equal gestational
17
18 age but without a diagnosis of NEC to help define the role of surgery.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 2. METHODS

27
28
29

30 All infants admitted to our institution born <24 weeks gestation between January 2015
31 - December 2021 were identified through the electronic medical record (BadgerNet,
32
33 Clevermed Ltd.). Any patient born outside our unit but transferred to us at a corrected
34
35 gestation <24 weeks was also included. Subjects were divided into two groups: cases,
36
37 where a diagnosis of NEC was made and controls, where no diagnosis of NEC was
38
39 made to examine potential risk factors for NEC. A further sub-group analysis was then
40
41 also performed to compare outcomes between medically treated, surgically treated
42
43 and a control group of patients.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

- 51 • **Cases:** babies diagnosed with NEC (proven on histology), undergoing surgery
- 52
- 53 • **Comparators:** babies diagnosed with NEC but managed conservatively
- 54
- 55
- 56 • **Controls:** babies that did not develop NEC during their hospital stay
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

2.1. *Exclusion criteria*

Babies who died within 24 hours of birth. Babies who underwent a laparotomy for suspected NEC but were found to have an alternative diagnosis.

2.2. *Data collection*

Patient demographics, clinical features at the time of diagnosis of NEC, biochemical and radiological findings, surgical details, complications and survival data were extracted from the electronic medical record (BadgerNet). Commonly recognised potential risk factors for NEC were recorded included the use of ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) closure, the use of blood products, maternal chorioamnionitis, prolonged rupture of membranes, use of umbilical catheters and the type of enteral feeds given. Data on maternal age, smoking status, use of antenatal MgSO₄ as well as steroids were obtained from maternal medical records. Outcome measures included the grade of intra-ventricular haemorrhage identified on routine cranial ultrasound screening, development of periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) and survival to discharge.

The study was registered locally as clinical service evaluation project (Number: 13449) and did not need approval by the ethics committee as it was a retrospective data collection only.

2.3. *Statistical analysis*

For normally distributed data an independent samples T-test was used to compare means between the groups. For non-normally distributed data a Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare medians between the groups. Fisher's Exact test with Bonferroni

correction was used to compare categorical variables between groups. A binomial linear regression model was used to analyze potential risk factors for NEC. IBM SPSS Statistics v.17 was used for all statistical analysis. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

2.4. Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in conducting this research.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Patient Demographics

Fifty-six babies <24 weeks were admitted during the 6-year study period. 31 out of 56 (55.3%) developed NEC during their admission, the other 25 cases served as controls. The median gestational age was 23 weeks in both groups and there was no difference in weight and gender distribution. The ratio of male to female in the NEC group was higher, however this was not statistically significant.

Table 1 below summarizes the key demographics across the 3 groups.

Table 1 – Demographic data of all babies <24 weeks included in the study

	Cases (NEC)	Controls	
	<i>n=31</i>	<i>n=25</i>	
Median gestational age (weeks)	23	23	0.365

Mean \pm SD	579 \pm 73	592 \pm 59	0.582
birthweight (g)			
Gender (M:F)	18:13	9:16	0.116

3.2. Antenatal post-natal risk factors for NEC, univariate analysis

On univariate analysis, no statistically significant differences between groups were found for risk factors. There was a trend towards the first feed type (maternal breast milk) being protective, but this was not statistically significant. **Table 2** below summarizes these findings between the groups.

Table 2 – Antenatal data and potential post-natal risk factors for NEC

	Cases <i>n</i> =31	Controls <i>n</i> =25	
Median maternal age (years)	33	31	p=0.666
Maternal smoker	3 (9.6%)	2 (8%)	p=0.827
MgSO ₄ received (%)	19 (61.2%)	20 (80%)	p=0.130
Antenatal steroids received (%)	29 (93.5%)	24 (96%)	p=0.685
Ibuprofen use for PDA closure (%)	6 (19.3%)	4(16%)	p=0.745
Blood products (%)	31 (100%)	25 (100%)	-
Maternal chorioamnionitis (%)	11 (35.5%)	14 (56%)	p=0.125

Prolonged rupture of membranes (%)	1 (3.2%)	3 (12%)	p=0.205
Umbilical catheter use (%)	30 (96.8%)	24 (96%)	p=0.877
Maternal Breastmilk as first enteral feed (%)	25 (80.6%)	24 (96%)	p=0.084

3.3. Binomial logistic regression analysis

In order to further investigate the potential role of mentioned risk factors on development of NEC in our cohort a multivariate analysis was carried out. Antenatal and postnatal variables as described above with a p-value of <0.2 were entered into a binomial logistic regression analysis. None of the analysed risk factors were found to have a significant independent influence on the development of NEC.

Table 3 summarizes the results of the logistic regression analysis.

Table 3 – Binomial logistic regression analysis

Factor	OR	Lower CI	95%	Upper CI	95%	P-value
Antenatal MgSO ₄	3.263	0.836		12.735		0.102
Chorioamnionitis	1.700	0.500		5.773		0.383
Maternal EBM first feed	0.211	0.020		2.202		0.138
Gender	0.398	0.119		1.336		0.103

3.4. Outcomes

Neuropathological and survival outcomes for all cases were obtained through electronic medical records. There was no difference in survival to discharge between the groups. There was a trend towards higher rates of intraventricular haemorrhage

(IVH) of any grade in babies undergoing surgical treatment for NEC (88.2%), when compared to babies being treated conservatively (71.4%) and controls (68.0%). Similarly, the diagnosis of periventricular leukomalacia (PVL), was observed more frequently in cases (23.5%) than in comparators (14.3%) or controls (8.0%). Neither reached statistical significance. **Table 4** summarizes these results.

Table 4 – Neurodevelopmental and survival data for all babies <24 weeks

	Cases n=17	Comparators n=14	Controls n=25	
Presence of any grade of IVH (%)	15 (88.2%)	10 (71.4%)	17 (68.0%)	p=0.311
IVH grade (%)				p=0.604
Nil	2 (11.8%)	4 (28.6%)	8 (32.0%)	
I	3 (17.6%)	2 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	
II	4 (23.5%)	2 (14.3%)	6 (24.0%)	
III	3 (17.6%)	2 (14.3%)	4 (16.0%)	
IV	5 (29.4%)	4 (28.6%)	7 (28.0%)	
PVL diagnosis (%)	4 (23.5%)	2 (14.3%)	2 (8.0%)	p=0.369
Survival to discharge (%)	8 (47.1%)	7 (50.0%)	13 (52.0%)	p=0.952

3.5. Clinical features of babies undergoing surgical treatment for NEC (Cases, n=17)

The median age at the onset of suspected NEC was 14 days (4-36 days). Median CRP at the time of first clinical suspicion of NEC was 23mg/L (<1-250mg/L). Eleven of

1
2
3 17 babies (64.7%) had a rise in their CRP from the previous day when first suspected
4 to have NEC. Median platelet count was $108 \times 10^9/L$ ($13-289 \times 10^9/L$) with 11/18 (64.7%)
5
6 patients experiencing a drop in the platelet count at the time of initial diagnosis.
7
8
9

10
11
12 On plain radiography, pneumatosis intestinalis was evident in 3/17 (17.7%),
13 pneumoperitoneum in 3/17 (17.7%) and a paucity of intra-luminal gas in 4/17 (23.5%)
14 cases. An abdominal USS was performed at the clinician's discretion in 8/17 (47.1%)
15 cases where the diagnosis was unclear. In all 8 cases, thickened, aperistalsic bowel
16 with an extra-luminal fluid collection, highly suspicious of an intestinal perforation, was
17 identified.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26
27
28 These 17 patients underwent laparotomy with a median time to surgery of 2 days (1-
29 5) from the date of first suspicion of NEC. Findings at surgery were: Distal ileal
30 perforation in 9 (52.9%), multi-focal NEC in 5 (29.4%), right iliac fossa inflammatory
31 mass in 2 (11.8%) and extensive bowel necrosis in 2 (11.8%). A diverting ileostomy
32 with a mucus fistula was fashioned in 13 (76.5%) and a high jejunostomy with a mucus
33 fistula in 4 (23.5%).
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44
45 5/17 (29.4%) patients developed a post-operative wound dehiscence of which one
46 developed an enterocutaneous fistulae. One patient, after their initial laparotomy and
47 ileostomy formation, developed a mass within the right iliac fossa and another
48 perforation requiring a relaparotomy and bowel resection. 4 weeks later, the patient
49 developed further ileal perforations requiring a 3rd laparotomy, with formation of
50 another ileostomy and mucus fistula. 4 months after the initial operation, the patient
51 had restoration of enteral continuity.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

4. DISCUSSION

We report our experiences and outcomes of babies born <24 weeks of gestational age over a period of 6 years, with a special regard to the occurrence of NEC. To date, this is the largest reported series of neonates <24 weeks within the UK. Out of 56 included babies, NEC was diagnosed in 31 babies (55.3%) of which 17 (54.5%) underwent surgery for NEC. We found no evidence of an increased risk of NEC associated with any of the risk factors examined. Survival in babies diagnosed with NEC was the same as that in babies with no NEC, regardless of the need for surgery, at 50%. There was no statistically significant evidence of worse neurological injury in babies with NEC. but there was a trend towards increased risk of PVL with NEC, especially in those undergoing surgery for NEC.

The incidence of NEC in our study is higher than commonly described in the literature (1,4–8). It is likely that is due to previously published studies not looking at babies exclusively under 24 weeks gestation but have tended to also include extreme premature babies up to a gestation of 28 weeks.

The 2006 EPICURE2 Study reported survival of live born babies to be only 2% (n=3) for those born at 22 weeks' gestation, and 19% (n=66) at 23 weeks' gestation but when antenatal corticosteroids are given and babies are actively treated after birth, outcomes are much better (20). Around the same time, survival rates of 30% for babies born at 22 weeks were reported from around the world, with similar neurodevelopmental outcomes as for babies born at 23 or 24 weeks (16–18,21). This

1
2
3 progress was backed up by the National Institute of Child Health and Human
4 Development (NICHD)-funded Neonatal Research Network (NRN), reporting survival
5 rates of 25% for babies born at 22 weeks (22). Survival in our study was better than
6 any of these reports, even for those infants undergoing surgery, at 50%. This will have
7 been skewed somewhat due our interest in NEC leading us to exclude infants not
8 surviving at least 24 hours, but nevertheless, a 50% survival is very encouraging
9 especially considering mortality of 50-72% previously reported for extremely low birth
10 weight (ELBW) infants suffering from NEC (14,15).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 A protective effect of maternal breast milk on development of NEC has been described
25 before (23,24). In our unit, we have a high exposure rate of premature babies to breast
26 milk as their first enteral feed. We found a higher rate of maternal breast milk
27 administration in the control group than in the NEC cases (96.0% vs 80.6%) although
28 this was not statistically significant on multivariate analysis. There is some controversy
29 about the risk of NEC following antenatal $MgSO_4$ with some reports of an association,
30 especially in infants of very low gestational age (11,12) whilst others report a protective
31 effect [25]. We found $MgSO_4$ administration to be higher in the control group (80.0%
32 vs. 61.2%), which whilst not definitive supports the possibility of a protective effect
33 rather than an increased risk.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 We found that the clinical presentation of the extremely premature babies with NEC
50 was not typical. Of the 17 babies who underwent surgery for NEC only around two
51 thirds showed a rise in CRP or a drop in platelets. Similarly, only a minority showed
52 typical appearances on plain radiography with pneumatosis in 17.7% and
53 pneumoperitoneum in 17.7%. Interestingly, where ultrasound scanning was deployed
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in unclear cases, features highly suspicious for intestinal perforation were identified in
4
5 all cases. Conversely, at laparotomy a very typical pattern of disease was found, with
6
7 the majority located in the distal ileum and the remainder multi-focal. The rate of
8
9 surgical complications was surprisingly low, with just over a quarter developing wound
10
11 dehiscence and no reported stomal complications other than one enterocutaneous
12
13 fistula. The patient who underwent multiple laparotomies appeared to have recurrent
14
15 disease rather than complications of their initial surgery. This compares very
16
17 favourably to reported complication rate of up to 70% in extremely low birth weight
18
19 neonates (25–28). Regarding neurological complications, we observed a trend
20
21 towards higher proportions of PVL in the surgical cases. Our length of follow up was
22
23 only to hospital discharge so neurodevelopmental outcomes are not yet available but
24
25 will be of great interest.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 The key strength of our study is the completeness of data collection and follow up,
34
35 with a full data set for all infants included. Moreover, it is the largest UK-based study
36
37 to date of infants born at this gestation. However, statistically, the size is the key
38
39 limitation of our study. With only 56 infants included, we recognise the study may not
40
41 be powered to assess the impact of several univariate risk factors. It is also a
42
43 retrospective study and so there may be unmeasured, confounding factors at play,
44
45 including temporal trends. Given the rarity and heterogeneity of NEC, its presentation
46
47 and its management, large scale prospective studies are needed to better define risk
48
49 factors, diagnostic tools and optimal treatment strategies. These need to include long
50
51 term follow up in order to determine the neurological outcomes for these infants.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In conclusion, the diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation can be
4 challenging. Ultrasound scanning may be a more useful modality than more
5 conventionally used radiological methods. Although mortality remains high, survival in
6 surgically managed patients can be the same as in those developing NEC not
7 requiring surgery or even in those not developing NEC at all. Therefore, surgery should
8 be considered an integral part of intensive care even for babies born at the threshold
9 of viability.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Acknowledgements**

22 We would like to acknowledge Dr. Salma Ayis, senior lecturer at King's College
23 London, and thank her very much for her help with the statistical analyses for this
24 study.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Funding Statement:**

34 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
35 commercial or not-for-profit sectors.
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **What is already known on this topic:**

43 NEC is a potentially devastating condition, affecting approximately 10% of prematurely
44 born neonates. The main risk factor for development of NEC is prematurity. The
45 threshold of viability for preterm neonates is currently considered to be 22 weeks
46 gestational age and surgery and there is currently not much data on surgical outcomes
47 for this cohort.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **What this study adds:**

1
2
3 This study adds valuable information on surgical outcomes in babies born under 24
4 weeks of gestational age suffering from NEC. It describes the largest cohort of such
5 babies within the UK and gives extremely important insight into diagnostic- and
6 treatment options.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 **How this study might affect clinical practice:**

16
17 So far, not much was known on outcomes after surgery in this very vulnerable cohort
18 of patients. Our study shows that babies under 24 weeks of gestational age
19 undergoing surgery for NEC have similar outcomes to babies not suffering from NEC.
20
21 Therefore this study might encourage clinicians around the world to offer active
22 treatment, including surgery for NEC to these babies who are at the threshold of
23 viability.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Stoll BJ. Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 21, Clinics in Perinatology. W.B. Saunders; 1994 [cited 2021 May 11]. p. 205–18. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8070222/>
2. Yee WH, Soraisham AS, Shah VS, Aziz K, Yoon W, Lee SK, et al. Incidence and timing of presentation of necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm infants. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2012 Feb [cited 2021 May 11];129(2). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22271701/>
3. Pierro A, Zani A. Necrotizing enterocolitis: Controversies and challenges [Internet]. Vol. 4, F1000Research. Faculty of 1000 Ltd; 2015 [cited 2021 May 16]. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26918125/>
4. Horbar JD, Badger GJ, Carpenter JH, Fanaroff AA, Kilpatrick S, Lacorte M, et al. Trends in mortality and morbidity for very low birth weight infants, 1991-1999. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2021 May 16];110(1 I):143–51. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12093960/>
5. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Walsh MC, et al. Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2021 May 16];126(3):443–56. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20732945/>
6. Wilson-Costello D, Friedman H, Minich N, Siner B, Taylor G, Schluchter M, et al. Improved neurodevelopmental outcomes for extremely low birth weight infants in 2000-2002. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2007 Jan [cited 2021 May 16];119(1):37–45. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17200269/>
7. Guthrie SO, Gordon P V., Thomas V, Thorp JA, Peabody J, Clark RH. Necrotizing enterocolitis among neonates in the United States [Internet]. Vol.

- 1
2
3 23, Journal of Perinatology. J Perinatol; 2003 [cited 2021 May 16]. p. 278–85.
4 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12774133/>
5
6
7
8 8. Costeloe K, Hardy P, Juszczak E, Wilks M, Millar MR. Bifidobacterium breve
9 BBG-001 in very preterm infants: a randomised controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet
10 [Internet]. 2016 Feb 13 [cited 2021 Sep 1];387(10019):649–60. Available from:
11 <http://www.thelancet.com/article/S0140673615010272/fulltext>
12
13
14
15
16
17 9. Behrman RE, Stoll BJ, Kanto WP, Glass RI, Nahmias AJ, Brann AW.
18 Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis: A case control study. J Pediatr
19 [Internet]. 1980 [cited 2021 May 11];96(3 PART 1):447–51. Available from:
20 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6767010/>
21
22
23
24
25
26 10. Patole SK, De Klerk N. Impact of standardised feeding regimens on incidence
27 of neonatal necrotising enterocolitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of
28 observational studies [Internet]. Vol. 90, Archives of Disease in Childhood:
29 Fetal and Neonatal Edition. BMJ Publishing Group; 2005 [cited 2021 May 11].
30 p. F147–51. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 11. Rattray BN, Kraus DM, Drinker LR, Goldberg RN, Tanaka DT, Cotten CM.
38 Antenatal magnesium sulfate and spontaneous intestinal perforation in infants
39 less than 25 weeks gestation. J Perinatol [Internet]. 2014 Nov 5 [cited 2022
40 Mar 15];34(11):819–22. Available from:
41 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24901451/>
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 12. Kamyar M, Clark E, Yoder B, Varner M, Manuck T. Antenatal Magnesium
50 Sulfate, Necrotizing Enterocolitis, and Death among Neonates. AJP Rep
51 [Internet]. 2016 Mar 31 [cited 2022 Mar 15];6(1):e148–54. Available from:
52 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27054046/>
53
54
55
56
57
58 13. Robinson JR, Rellinger EJ, Hatch LD, Weitkamp JH, Speck KE, Danko M, et
59
60

- 1
2
3 al. Surgical necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 41, Seminars in
4 Perinatology. W.B. Saunders; 2017 [cited 2021 Mar 2]. p. 70–9. Available
5 from: [/pmc/articles/PMC5777619/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35777619/)
6
7
8
9
- 10 14. Blakely ML, Tyson JE, Lally KP, McDonald S, Stoll BJ, Stevenson DK, et al.
11 Laparotomy versus peritoneal drainage for necrotizing enterocolitis or isolated
12 intestinal perforation in extremely low birth weight infants: Outcomes through
13 18 months adjusted age. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2021 Mar 2];117(4).
14 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16549503/>
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 15. Hintz SR, Kendrick DE, Stoll BJ, Vohr BR, Fanaroff AA, Donovan EF, et al.
22 Neurodevelopmental and growth outcomes of extremely low birth weight
23 infants after necrotizing enterocolitis. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2005 Mar [cited
24 2021 Mar 2];115(3):696–703. Available from:
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15741374/>
33
34 16. Norman M, Hallberg B, Abrahamsson T, Björklund LJ, Domellöf M, Farooqi A,
35 et al. Association between Year of Birth and 1-Year Survival among Extremely
36 Preterm Infants in Sweden during 2004-2007 and 2014-2016. JAMA - J Am
37 Med Assoc [Internet]. 2019 Mar 26 [cited 2021 May 26];321(12):1188–99.
38 Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
39
40
41
42
43
44 17. Mehler K, Oberthuer A, Keller T, Becker I, Valter M, Roth B, et al. Survival
45 among infants born at 22 or 23 weeks' gestation following active prenatal and
46 postnatal care. JAMA Pediatr [Internet]. 2016 Jul 1 [cited 2021 May
47 26];170(7):671–7. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
48
49
50
51
52
53
54 18. Watkins PL, Dagle JM, Bell EF, Colaizy TT. Outcomes at 18 to 22 Months of
55 Corrected Age for Infants Born at 22 to 25 Weeks of Gestation in a Center
56 Practicing Active Management. J Pediatr [Internet]. 2020 Feb 1 [cited 2021
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 May 26];217:52-58.e1. Available from:
4
5 <http://www.jpeds.com/article/S0022347619310868/fulltext>
6
7
8 19. MacTier H, Bates SE, Johnston T, Lee-Davey C, Marlow N, Mulley K, et al.
9
10 Perinatal management of extreme preterm birth before 27 weeks of gestation:
11
12 A framework for practice [Internet]. Vol. 105, Archives of Disease in Childhood:
13
14 Fetal and Neonatal Edition. BMJ Publishing Group; 2020 [cited 2021 May 16].
15
16 p. F232–9. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
17
18
19 20. Costeloe KL, Hennessy EM, Haider S, Stacey F, Marlow N, Draper ES. Short
20
21 term outcomes after extreme preterm birth in England: Comparison of two birth
22
23 cohorts in 1995 and 2006 (the EPICure studies). BMJ [Internet]. 2012 Dec 8
24
25 [cited 2021 May 26];345(7886). Available from:
26
27 <http://www.bmj.com/content/345/bmj.e7976?tab=related#webextra>
28
29
30 21. Kusuda S, Fujimura M, Sakuma I, Aotani H, Kabe K, Itani Y, et al. Morbidity
31
32 and mortality of infants with very low birth weight in Japan: Center variation.
33
34 Pediatrics [Internet]. 2006 Oct 1 [cited 2021 May 26];118(4):e1130–8.
35
36 Available from: <https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/118/4/e1130>
37
38
39 22. Rysavy MA, Li L, Bell EF, Das A, Hintz SR, Stoll BJ, et al. Between-Hospital
40
41 Variation in Treatment and Outcomes in Extremely Preterm Infants. N Engl J
42
43 Med [Internet]. 2015 May 7 [cited 2021 May 26];372(19):1801–11. Available
44
45 from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1410689>
46
47
48 23. Cortez J, Makker K, Kraemer DF, Neu J, Sharma R, Hudak ML. Maternal milk
49
50 feedings reduce sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis and improve outcomes of
51
52 premature infants. J Perinatol [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Apr
53
54 20];38(1):71–4. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29048409/>
55
56
57 24. Sisk PM, Lambeth TM, Rojas MA, Lightbourne T, Barahona M, Anthony E, et
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 al. Necrotizing Enterocolitis and Growth in Preterm Infants Fed Predominantly
4 Maternal Milk, Pasteurized Donor Milk, or Preterm Formula: A Retrospective
5 Study. *Am J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2017 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Apr 20];34(7):676–83.
6 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27936476/>
7
8
9
10
11
12 25. Aguayo P, Fraser JD, Sharp S, St. Peter SD, Ostlie DJ. Stomal complications
13 in the newborn with necrotizing enterocolitis. *J Surg Res* [Internet]. 2009 Dec
14 [cited 2022 Apr 21];157(2):275–8. Available from:
15
16
17 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19815238/>
18
19
20
21 26. O'Connor A, Sawin RS. High morbidity of enterostomy and its closure in
22 premature infants with necrotizing enterocolitis. *Arch Surg* [Internet]. 1998 Aug
23 [cited 2022 Apr 21];133(8):875–80. Available from:
24
25
26
27 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9711962/>
28
29
30
31 27. Eicher C, Seitz G, Bevot A, Moll M, Goelz R, Arand J, et al. Surgical
32 Management of Extremely Low Birth Weight Infants with Neonatal Bowel
33 Perforation: A Single-Center Experience and a Review of the Literature.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
27. Neonatology [Internet]. 2012 Jun [cited 2022 Apr 21];101(4):285–92. Available
from: <https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/335325>
28. Kargl S, Wagner O, Pumberger W. Ileostomy Complications in Infants less
than 1500 grams – Frequent but Manageable. *J Neonatal Surg* [Internet]. 2017
Dec 31 [cited 2022 Apr 21];6(1):4. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC5224761/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31111111/)

BMJ Paediatrics Open

risk factors and outcomes for Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in babies born at the threshold of viability: A case-control study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2022-001583.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Jul-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Vallant, Natalie; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Haffenden, Verity; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Paediatric Surgery Peatman, Oliver; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Khan, Hammad; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Lee, Geraint; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Thakkar, Hemanshoo; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Yardley, Iain; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery
Keywords:	Neonatology, Resuscitation, Therapeutics, Mortality

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RISK FACTORS AND OUTCOMES FOR NECROTISING ENTEROCOLITIS (NEC) IN BABIES BORN AT THE THRESHOLD OF VIABILITY: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

Natalie Vallant, MD, PhD¹, Verity Haffenden, MBBS, MSC, MRCS¹, Oliver Peatman², Hammad Khan, FRCP², Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS², Hemanshoo Thakkar, MBBS, BSc, FRCS(Eng), FRCS(Paed)¹, Iain Yardley, BM, MPH, FRCS¹

¹Department of Paediatric Surgery, Evelina London Children's Hospital

²Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine, Evelina London Children's Hospital

Correspondence:

Geraint Lee, Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS, Consultant Neonatologist, Department of Neonatology, Evelina London Children's Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, London, SE1 7EH. Tel: +44 7967 665008

Contributorship Statement:

NV: Manuscript preparation and revision, data collection; VH: Statistical analysis, data collection; OP: Data collection; HK: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; GL: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; HT: Project supervision, preparation of manuscript, statistical analysis, data collection; IY: Conception of the study, project supervision, statistical analysis, manuscript revision.

Keywords:

Necrotising Enterocolitis, Prematurity, Neonatology, Extreme Prematurity, Outcomes

Word Count: 2418 Words

ABSTRACT

Background: The threshold for active management of babies born prematurely in the UK is considered to be 22 weeks. The optimal management strategy for necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in babies born at or near this threshold remains unclear.

Aim: To review our institutional experience of babies born <24 weeks with a clinical diagnosis of NEC, identify risk factors for NEC, and compare outcomes with a control cohort.

Methods: All infants born <24 weeks gestation between January 2015-December 2021 were identified. Babies diagnosed with NEC were defined as cases and babies with no diagnosis of NEC as controls. Patient demographics, clinical features at the time of diagnosis, complications and outcomes were extracted from the electronic medical record and compared between groups.

Results: 31 out of 56 (55.3%) babies were treated for NEC, of those, 17 (54.9%) babies underwent surgery. There was no difference in NEC specific risk factors between the two groups. Babies who underwent surgery presented with a CRP rise in 11/17 (64.7%) and a fall in platelet count in 11/17 (64.7%). Pneumatosis intestinalis (3/17 (17.7%)) or pneumoperitoneum (3/17 (17.7%)) were present in only a minority of cases. Abdominal ultrasound demonstrated intestinal perforation in 8/8 cases. The surgical complication rate was 5/17 (29.4%). There was no difference in incidence of IVH, PVL and survival to discharge between the groups.

Conclusions:

The diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation is challenging with inconsistent clinical and radiological features. Ultrasound scanning may be a useful modality. Low gestational age is not a contraindication to surgical intervention in NEC.

1. INTRODUCTION

Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) is a potentially devastating condition, especially when surgical treatment becomes necessary. The incidence of surgical NEC has not changed significantly over the past decade, still affecting 1 to 3 per 1000 live births and 1% to 5% of infants admitted to NICUs (1). The true incidence of NEC is difficult to discern due to variabilities in current literature, with inconsistencies in diagnosis and data collection posing the biggest challenges. In particular the difficulties in confirming a diagnosis of NEC in mild cases (equivalent to Bells' Stage I) and differentiating from a diagnosis of spontaneous intestinal perforation (SIP) contribute to a lack of robust epidemiologic data (2,3).

The most consistent finding in published reports of NEC is that the incidence has been relatively stable over time in very low birth weight (VLBW) infants (<1500g at birth). Stoll et al.(1) reported an incidence of 10% for NEC within this cohort in 1994, which is still commonly cited (4–7). This incidence of 10% has also been reported in the PiPS trial in 2016; a multicenter UK trial investigating the effect of probiotics on the development of NEC and late onset sepsis (8).

The primary risk factor for the development of NEC is prematurity (9). Well accepted secondary risk factors are intrauterine growth retardation, the presence of pathogenic organisms in the gastrointestinal tract, bowel ischaemia, enteral feeding and variations in clinical practice regarding feeding and antibiotic treatment protocols (10). The administration of antenatal maternal magnesium sulphate (MgSO₄), intended as a neuroprotective measure, has been suggested to be an additional risk factor for the

1
2
3 development of NEC (11,12). In established NEC, clinical deterioration despite
4 maximal medical therapy, or the presence of pneumoperitoneum on abdominal x-ray
5 represent the most common indications for surgical treatment, however, robust studies
6
7 linking outcomes with specific indications for surgical interventions are lacking (13).
8
9

10
11
12
13
14 The mortality rate of VLBW infants with surgical NEC is between 50% and 72%, and
15 neurodevelopmental impairment is highly likely to occur in survivors (14,15).
16
17 Nevertheless, boundaries continue to be reset in regard to outcomes of babies with
18 low gestational age. Contemporary reports from European centers report survival
19 rates of live-born extremely premature neonates to have improved significantly over
20 the last two decades (16). In live-born infants of 22 or 23 weeks gestational age,
21 survival has been reported to reach almost 50% where active care is offered (17).
22
23 Similar outcomes were observed in a single center study in Iowa, with a reported
24 survival to hospital discharge of 78% amongst NICU admissions at 22 or 23 weeks
25 gestational age (18).
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 In the UK the improved survival of babies born at 22 weeks of gestation led the British
41 Association of Perinatal Medicine to update their guidelines regarding perinatal
42 management of such babies. They recommend that decisions for such babies should
43 not be based on gestational age alone but should reflect 'assessment of the baby's
44 prognosis taking into account multiple factors' and follow multi-professional
45 discussions with parents (19). Although intensive care is now increasingly offered to
46 live born infants born between 22 and 24 weeks gestation, the role of surgical
47 intervention in this cohort remains unclear.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 We reviewed our institutional experience of babies born under 24 weeks completed
4 gestational age in order to identify any potential risk factors for the development of
5 NEC specifically in babies born under 24 completed weeks of gestation. Furthermore,
6
7
8 we set out to compare the outcomes between babies requiring surgery for NEC, those
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

We reviewed our institutional experience of babies born under 24 weeks completed gestational age in order to identify any potential risk factors for the development of NEC specifically in babies born under 24 completed weeks of gestation. Furthermore, we set out to compare the outcomes between babies requiring surgery for NEC, those requiring only medical treatment and a control group of babies with equal gestational age but without a diagnosis of NEC to help define the role of surgery.

2. METHODS

All infants admitted to our institution's neonatal unit born <24 weeks gestation between January 2015 - December 2021 were identified through the electronic medical record (BadgerNet, Clevermed Ltd.). Babies born both in our institution and in a referring unit and subsequently transferred to our institution were included. Subjects were divided into two groups: cases, where a diagnosis of NEC was made and controls, where no diagnosis of NEC was made, to examine potential risk factors for NEC. Cases of NEC were defined as those with a documented diagnosis of NEC on their clinical record and treated as such with either a minimum of 5 days of intravenous antibiotics in combination with gut rest or surgical intervention. During the period of our study, pneumoperitoneum was considered an absolute indication for surgical intervention and failure to improve clinically despite maximal medical treatment a relative indication. Those who underwent surgery were only included as cases where NEC was confirmed histologically in a resection specimen. Controls were babies born <24 weeks gestational age, who throughout their admission did not receive a diagnosis of NEC. Matching of cases and controls was not undertaken due to the likely small numbers of babies included and the risk of matching for a potentially significant factor.

2.1. Exclusion criteria

Babies who died within 24 hours of birth and babies who underwent a laparotomy for suspected NEC but were found to have an alternative diagnosis were excluded from the study.

2.2. Data collection

Patient demographics, clinical features at the time of diagnosis of NEC, biochemical and radiological findings, surgical details, complications and survival data were extracted from the electronic medical record (BadgerNet). Commonly recognised potential risk factors for NEC were recorded included the use of ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) closure, the use of blood products, maternal chorioamnionitis, prolonged rupture of membranes, use of umbilical catheters and the type of enteral feeds given. Data on maternal age, smoking status, use of antenatal MgSO₄ as well as steroids were obtained from maternal medical records. Outcome measures included the grade of intra-ventricular haemorrhage identified on routine cranial ultrasound screening, development of periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) and survival to discharge.

The study was registered locally as clinical service evaluation project (Number: 13449) and was not deemed to require further approval from an ethics committee as it involved anonymized, retrospective data collection only.

2.3. Statistical analysis

For normally distributed data an independent samples T-test was used to compare means between the groups. For non-normally distributed data a Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare medians between the groups. Fisher's Exact test with Bonferroni correction was used to compare categorical variables between groups. IBM SPSS Statistics v.17 was used for all statistical analysis. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

2.4. Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in conducting this research.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Patient Demographics

Fifty-six babies born <24 weeks during the 6-year study period were included. 31 out of 56 (55.3%) developed NEC during their admission (cases), the other 25 served as controls. The median gestational age was 23 weeks in both groups and there was no statistically significant difference in weight or gender distribution although a preponderance of male infants was found in the cases. **Table 1** below summarizes the key demographics across the 3 groups.

Table 1 – Demographic data of all babies <24 weeks included in the study

	Cases (NEC)	Controls	
	<i>n=31</i>	<i>n=25</i>	
Median gestational age (weeks)	23	23	0.365

Mean \pm SD	579 \pm 73	592 \pm 59	0.582
birthweight (g)			
Gender (M:F)	18:13	9:16	0.116

3.2. Antenatal- and post-natal risk factors for NEC

Table 2 summarizes the potential ante-natal and post-natal risk factors for NEC in cases and controls. Considering the small numbers of babies included and the large number of variables, no statistical analysis was attempted due to the high chance of a type 1 error. However, a higher incidence of antenatal MgSO₄ administration and use of maternal breast milk are observed in the control group.

Table 2 – Antenatal data and potential post-natal risk factors for NEC

	Cases	Controls
Median maternal age (years)	33	31
Maternal smoker (n (%))	3 (9.6%)	2 (8%)
MgSO ₄ received (n (%))	19 (61.2%)	20 (80%)
Antenatal steroids received (n (%))	29 (93.5%)	24 (96%)
Ibuprofen use for PDA closure (n (%))	6 (19.3%)	4(16%)
Blood products (n (%))	31 (100%)	25 (100%)
Maternal chorioamnionitis (n (%))	11 (35.5%)	14 (56%)
Prolonged rupture of membranes (n (%))	1 (3.2%)	3 (12%)
Umbilical catheter use (n (%))	30 (96.8%)	24 (96%)
Maternal Breastmilk as first enteral feed (n (%))	25 (80.6%)	24 (96%)

3.3. Outcomes

Neuropathological and survival outcomes are summarized in **Table 3**. Although not statistically significant, a trend towards more severe neurological injury was observed in the cases compared to controls. Survival was equal in the two groups.

Table 3 – Neurodevelopmental and survival data for all babies <24 weeks

	Cases <i>n=31</i>	Controls <i>n=25</i>	
Presence of any grade of IVH (%)	25 (80.6%)	17 (68.0%)	p=0.357
IVH grade (%)			p=0.282
Nil	6 (19.4%)	8 (32%)	
I	5 (16.1%)	0 (0%)	
II	6 (19.4%)	6 (24%)	
III	5 (16.1%)	4 (16%)	
IV	9 (29.0%)	7 (28%)	
PVL diagnosis (%)	6 (19.4%)	2 (8.0%)	p=0.277
Survival to discharge (%)	15 (48.4%)	13 (52.0%)	p=1.000

3.4. Clinical features of babies undergoing surgical treatment for NEC (n=17)

1
2
3 The median age at the onset of suspected NEC was 14 days (4-36 days). Median
4
5 CRP at the time of first clinical suspicion of NEC was 23mg/L (<1-250mg/L). Eleven of
6
7 17 babies (64.7%) had a rise in their CRP from the previous day when first suspected
8
9 to have NEC. Median platelet count was $108 \times 10^9/L$ ($13-289 \times 10^9/L$) with 11/18 (64.7%)
10
11 patients experiencing a drop in the platelet count at the time of initial diagnosis.
12
13
14
15

16
17 On plain radiography, pneumatosis intestinalis was evident in 3/17 (17.7%),
18
19 pneumoperitoneum in 3/17 (17.7%) and a paucity of intra-luminal gas in 4/17 (23.5%)
20
21 cases. An abdominal USS was performed at the clinician's discretion in 8/17 (47.1%)
22
23 cases where the diagnosis was unclear. In all 8 cases, thickened, aperistalsic bowel
24
25 with an extra-luminal fluid collection, highly suspicious of an intestinal perforation, was
26
27 identified.
28
29
30
31
32

33 These 17 patients underwent laparotomy with a median time to surgery of 2 days (1-
34
35 5) from the date of first suspicion of NEC. Findings at surgery were: Localised distal
36
37 ileal disease with perforation in 9 (52.9%), multi-focal NEC in 5 (29.4%), right iliac
38
39 fossa inflammatory mass in 2 (11.8%) and extensive bowel necrosis in 2 (11.8%). A
40
41 diverting ileostomy with a mucus fistula was fashioned in 13 (76.5%) and a high
42
43 jejunostomy with a mucus fistula in 4 (23.5%).
44
45
46
47
48

49 5/17 (29.4%) patients developed a post-operative wound dehiscence of which one
50
51 developed an enterocutaneous fistulae. One patient, after their initial laparotomy and
52
53 ileostomy formation, developed a mass within the right iliac fossa and another
54
55 perforation requiring a relaparotomy and bowel resection. 4 weeks later, the patient
56
57 developed further ileal perforations requiring a 3rd laparotomy, with formation of
58
59
60

1
2
3 another ileostomy and mucus fistula. 4 months after the initial operation, the patient
4
5 had restoration of enteral continuity.
6

7
8 In this group of babies with surgically managed NEC, survival to discharge was 8/17
9
10 (47.1%). The proportion of babies with PVL was 4/17 (23.5%), and the proportion of
11
12 babies with IVH was 15/17 (88.2%).
13

14 15 16 17 **4. DISCUSSION** 18

19
20
21 We report our experiences and outcomes of babies born <24 weeks of gestational age
22
23 over a period of 6 years, with a special regard to the occurrence of NEC. Out of 56
24
25 included babies, NEC was diagnosed in 31 (55.3%) of which 17 (30.4%) underwent
26
27 surgery for NEC. We found no evidence of an increased risk of NEC associated with
28
29 any of the risk factors examined. Survival in babies diagnosed with NEC was the same
30
31 as that in babies with no NEC, regardless of the need for surgery, at around 50%.
32
33 There was no statistically significant evidence of worse neurological injury but there
34
35 was a trend towards a higher grade of IVH and the development of PVL in babies
36
37 diagnosed with NEC.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 The incidence of NEC in our study is higher than commonly described in studies
46
47 reporting outcomes in extremely premature infants (1,4–8). It is likely that is due to
48
49 previously published studies not looking at babies exclusively under 24 weeks
50
51 gestation but have tended to also include babies up to a gestation of 28 weeks. The
52
53 2006 EPICURE2 Study reported survival of live born babies to be only 2% (n=3) for
54
55 those born at 22 weeks' gestation, and 19% (n=66) at 23 weeks' gestation but when
56
57 antenatal corticosteroids are given and babies are actively treated after birth,
58
59
60

1
2
3 outcomes are much better (20). Around the same time, survival rates of 30% for babies
4 born at 22 weeks were reported from around the world, with similar
5 neurodevelopmental outcomes as for babies born at 23 or 24 weeks (16–18,21). This
6 progress was backed up by the National Institute of Child Health and Human
7 Development (NICHD)-funded Neonatal Research Network (NRN), reporting survival
8 rates of 25% for babies born at 22 weeks (22). Survival in our study was better than
9 any of these reports, even for those infants undergoing surgery, at 50%. This will have
10 been skewed somewhat due our interest in NEC leading us to exclude infants not
11 surviving at least 24 hours, but nevertheless, a 50% survival is very encouraging
12 especially considering mortality of 50-72% previously reported for extremely low birth
13 weight (ELBW) infants suffering from NEC (14,15).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 A protective effect of maternal breast milk on development of NEC has been described
32 before (23,24). In our unit, we have a high exposure rate of premature babies to breast
33 milk as their first enteral feed. We found a higher rate of maternal breast milk
34 administration in the control group than in the NEC cases (96.0% vs 80.6%). There is
35 some uncertainty about the impact of antenatal $MgSO_4$ on the risk of developing NEC.
36 Some studies have reported a positive association, especially in infants of very low
37 gestational age (11,12) whilst others report a protective effect (25). We found $MgSO_4$
38 administration to be higher in the control group (80.0% vs. 61.2%).
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 We found that the clinical presentation of the extremely premature babies with NEC
52 was not typical. Of the 17 babies who underwent surgery for NEC only around two
53 thirds showed a rise in CRP or a drop in platelets. Similarly, only a minority showed
54 typical appearances on plain radiography with pneumatosis in 17.7% and
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 pneumoperitoneum in 17.7%. Interestingly, where ultrasound scanning was deployed
4
5 in unclear cases, features highly suspicious for intestinal perforation were identified in
6
7 all cases. Conversely, at laparotomy a very typical pattern of disease was found, with
8
9 the majority located in the distal ileum and the remainder multi-focal. The rate of
10
11 surgical complications was surprisingly low, with just over a quarter developing wound
12
13 dehiscence and no reported stomal complications other than one enterocutaneous
14
15 fistula. The patient who underwent multiple laparotomies appeared to have recurrent
16
17 disease rather than complications of their initial surgery. This compares very favorably
18
19 to reported complication rate of up to 70% in extremely low birth weight neonates (26–
20
21 29). Regarding neurological complications, we have only been able to analyze
22
23 structural findings on imaging as our length of follow up was only to hospital discharge.
24
25 More definitive neurodevelopmental outcomes as these babies grow into childhood
26
27 will be of great interest.
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 The key strength of our study is the completeness of data collection and follow up,
36
37 with a full data set for all infants included. However, the small sample size is the key
38
39 limitation of our study. With only 56 infants included, we recognise the study may not
40
41 be powered to assess the impact of several potentially interrelated risk factors. It is
42
43 also a retrospective study and so there may be unmeasured, confounding factors at
44
45 play, including temporal trends. Given the rarity and heterogeneity of NEC, its
46
47 presentation and its management, large scale prospective studies are needed to better
48
49 define risk factors, diagnostic tools and optimal treatment strategies. These need to
50
51 include long term follow up in order to determine the neurological outcomes for these
52
53 infants.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In conclusion, the diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation can be
4 challenging. Ultrasound scanning may be a more useful modality than more
5 conventionally used radiological methods. Although mortality remains high, survival in
6 surgically managed patients can be the same as in those developing NEC not
7 requiring surgery or even in those not developing NEC at all. Therefore, surgery should
8 be considered an integral part of intensive care for babies born at the threshold of
9 viability.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Acknowledgements**

22 We would like to acknowledge Dr. Salma Ayis, senior lecturer at King's College
23 London, and thank her very much for her help with the statistical analyses for this
24 study.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Funding Statement:**

34 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
35 commercial or not-for-profit sectors.
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **What is already known on this topic:**

43 NEC is a potentially devastating condition, affecting approximately 10% of prematurely
44 born neonates. The main risk factor for development of NEC is prematurity. The
45 threshold of viability for preterm neonates is currently considered to be 22 weeks
46 gestational age and surgery and there is currently not much data on surgical outcomes
47 for this cohort.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **What this study adds:**

1
2
3 This study adds valuable information on surgical outcomes in babies born under 24
4 weeks of gestational age suffering from NEC. It describes the largest cohort of such
5 babies within the UK and gives extremely important insight into diagnostic- and
6 treatment options.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 **How this study might affect clinical practice:**

16
17 So far, not much was known on outcomes after surgery in this very vulnerable cohort
18 of patients. Our study shows that babies under 24 weeks of gestational age
19 undergoing surgery for NEC have similar outcomes to babies not suffering from NEC.
20
21 Therefore this study might encourage clinicians around the world to offer active
22 treatment, including surgery for NEC to these babies who are at the threshold of
23 viability.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Stoll BJ. Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 21, Clinics in Perinatology. W.B. Saunders; 1994 [cited 2021 May 11]. p. 205–18. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8070222/>
2. Yee WH, Soraisham AS, Shah VS, Aziz K, Yoon W, Lee SK, et al. Incidence and timing of presentation of necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm infants. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2012 Feb [cited 2021 May 11];129(2). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22271701/>
3. Pierro A, Zani A. Necrotizing enterocolitis: Controversies and challenges [Internet]. Vol. 4, F1000Research. Faculty of 1000 Ltd; 2015 [cited 2021 May 16]. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26918125/>
4. Horbar JD, Badger GJ, Carpenter JH, Fanaroff AA, Kilpatrick S, Lacorte M, et al. Trends in mortality and morbidity for very low birth weight infants, 1991-1999. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2021 May 16];110(1 I):143–51. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12093960/>
5. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Walsh MC, et al. Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2021 May 16];126(3):443–56. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20732945/>
6. Wilson-Costello D, Friedman H, Minich N, Siner B, Taylor G, Schluchter M, et al. Improved neurodevelopmental outcomes for extremely low birth weight infants in 2000-2002. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2007 Jan [cited 2021 May 16];119(1):37–45. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17200269/>
7. Guthrie SO, Gordon P V., Thomas V, Thorp JA, Peabody J, Clark RH. Necrotizing enterocolitis among neonates in the United States [Internet]. Vol.

- 1
2
3 23, *Journal of Perinatology*. *J Perinatol*; 2003 [cited 2021 May 16]. p. 278–85.
4 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12774133/>
5
6
7
8 8. Costeloe K, Hardy P, Juszczak E, Wilks M, Millar MR. Bifidobacterium breve
9 BBG-001 in very preterm infants: a randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*
10 [Internet]. 2016 Feb 13 [cited 2021 Sep 1];387(10019):649–60. Available from:
11 <http://www.thelancet.com/article/S0140673615010272/fulltext>
12
13
14
15
16
17 9. Behrman RE, Stoll BJ, Kanto WP, Glass RI, Nahmias AJ, Brann AW.
18 Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis: A case control study. *J Pediatr*
19 [Internet]. 1980 [cited 2021 May 11];96(3 PART 1):447–51. Available from:
20 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6767010/>
21
22
23
24
25
26 10. Patole SK, De Klerk N. Impact of standardised feeding regimens on incidence
27 of neonatal necrotising enterocolitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of
28 observational studies [Internet]. Vol. 90, *Archives of Disease in Childhood:*
29 *Fetal and Neonatal Edition*. BMJ Publishing Group; 2005 [cited 2021 May 11].
30 p. F147–51. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 11. Rattray BN, Kraus DM, Drinker LR, Goldberg RN, Tanaka DT, Cotten CM.
38 Antenatal magnesium sulfate and spontaneous intestinal perforation in infants
39 less than 25 weeks gestation. *J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2014 Nov 5 [cited 2022
40 Mar 15];34(11):819–22. Available from:
41 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24901451/>
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 12. Kamyar M, Clark E, Yoder B, Varner M, Manuck T. Antenatal Magnesium
50 Sulfate, Necrotizing Enterocolitis, and Death among Neonates. *AJP Rep*
51 [Internet]. 2016 Mar 31 [cited 2022 Mar 15];6(1):e148–54. Available from:
52 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27054046/>
53
54
55
56
57
58 13. Robinson JR, Rellinger EJ, Hatch LD, Weitkamp JH, Speck KE, Danko M, et
59
60

- 1
2
3 al. Surgical necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 41, Seminars in
4 Perinatology. W.B. Saunders; 2017 [cited 2021 Mar 2]. p. 70–9. Available
5 from: [/pmc/articles/PMC5777619/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35777619/)
6
7
8
9
- 10 14. Blakely ML, Tyson JE, Lally KP, McDonald S, Stoll BJ, Stevenson DK, et al.
11 Laparotomy versus peritoneal drainage for necrotizing enterocolitis or isolated
12 intestinal perforation in extremely low birth weight infants: Outcomes through
13 18 months adjusted age. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2021 Mar 2];117(4).
14 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16549503/>
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 15. Hintz SR, Kendrick DE, Stoll BJ, Vohr BR, Fanaroff AA, Donovan EF, et al.
22 Neurodevelopmental and growth outcomes of extremely low birth weight
23 infants after necrotizing enterocolitis. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2005 Mar [cited
24 2021 Mar 2];115(3):696–703. Available from:
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15741374/>
33
- 34 16. Norman M, Hallberg B, Abrahamsson T, Björklund LJ, Domellöf M, Farooqi A,
35 et al. Association between Year of Birth and 1-Year Survival among Extremely
36 Preterm Infants in Sweden during 2004-2007 and 2014-2016. JAMA - J Am
37 Med Assoc [Internet]. 2019 Mar 26 [cited 2021 May 26];321(12):1188–99.
38 Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
39
40
41
42
43
- 44 17. Mehler K, Oberthuer A, Keller T, Becker I, Valter M, Roth B, et al. Survival
45 among infants born at 22 or 23 weeks' gestation following active prenatal and
46 postnatal care. JAMA Pediatr [Internet]. 2016 Jul 1 [cited 2021 May
47 26];170(7):671–7. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
48
49
50
51
52
53
- 54 18. Watkins PL, Dagle JM, Bell EF, Colaizy TT. Outcomes at 18 to 22 Months of
55 Corrected Age for Infants Born at 22 to 25 Weeks of Gestation in a Center
56 Practicing Active Management. J Pediatr [Internet]. 2020 Feb 1 [cited 2021
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 May 26];217:52-58.e1. Available from:
4
5 <http://www.jpeds.com/article/S0022347619310868/fulltext>
6
7
- 8 19. MacTier H, Bates SE, Johnston T, Lee-Davey C, Marlow N, Mulley K, et al.
9
10 Perinatal management of extreme preterm birth before 27 weeks of gestation:
11
12 A framework for practice [Internet]. Vol. 105, Archives of Disease in Childhood:
13
14 Fetal and Neonatal Edition. BMJ Publishing Group; 2020 [cited 2021 May 16].
15
16 p. F232–9. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
17
18
- 19 20. Costeloe KL, Hennessy EM, Haider S, Stacey F, Marlow N, Draper ES. Short
20
21 term outcomes after extreme preterm birth in England: Comparison of two birth
22
23 cohorts in 1995 and 2006 (the EPICure studies). BMJ [Internet]. 2012 Dec 8
24
25 [cited 2021 May 26];345(7886). Available from:
26
27 <http://www.bmj.com/content/345/bmj.e7976?tab=related#webextra>
28
29
- 30 21. Kusuda S, Fujimura M, Sakuma I, Aotani H, Kabe K, Itani Y, et al. Morbidity
31
32 and mortality of infants with very low birth weight in Japan: Center variation.
33
34 Pediatrics [Internet]. 2006 Oct 1 [cited 2021 May 26];118(4):e1130–8.
35
36 Available from: <https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/118/4/e1130>
37
38
- 39 22. Rysavy MA, Li L, Bell EF, Das A, Hintz SR, Stoll BJ, et al. Between-Hospital
40
41 Variation in Treatment and Outcomes in Extremely Preterm Infants. N Engl J
42
43 Med [Internet]. 2015 May 7 [cited 2021 May 26];372(19):1801–11. Available
44
45 from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1410689>
46
47
- 48 23. Cortez J, Makker K, Kraemer DF, Neu J, Sharma R, Hudak ML. Maternal milk
49
50 feedings reduce sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis and improve outcomes of
51
52 premature infants. J Perinatol [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Apr
53
54 20];38(1):71–4. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29048409/>
55
56
- 57 24. Sisk PM, Lambeth TM, Rojas MA, Lightbourne T, Barahona M, Anthony E, et
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 al. Necrotizing Enterocolitis and Growth in Preterm Infants Fed Predominantly
4 Maternal Milk, Pasteurized Donor Milk, or Preterm Formula: A Retrospective
5 Study. *Am J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2017 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Apr 20];34(7):676–83.
6 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27936476/>
7
8
9
10
11
12 25. Mikhael M, Bronson C, Zhang L, Curran M, Rodriguez H, Bhakta KY. Lack of
13 Evidence for Time or Dose Relationship between Antenatal Magnesium
14 Sulfate and Intestinal Injury in Extremely Preterm Neonates. *Neonatology*
15 [Internet]. 2019 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Mar 15];115(4):371–8. Available from:
16 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30965340/>
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 26. Aguayo P, Fraser JD, Sharp S, St. Peter SD, Ostlie DJ. Stomal complications
24 in the newborn with necrotizing enterocolitis. *J Surg Res* [Internet]. 2009 Dec
25 [cited 2022 Apr 21];157(2):275–8. Available from:
26 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19815238/>
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 27. O'Connor A, Sawin RS. High morbidity of enterostomy and its closure in
34 premature infants with necrotizing enterocolitis. *Arch Surg* [Internet]. 1998 Aug
35 [cited 2022 Apr 21];133(8):875–80. Available from:
36 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9711962/>
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 28. Eicher C, Seitz G, Bevot A, Moll M, Goelz R, Arand J, et al. Surgical
44 Management of Extremely Low Birth Weight Infants with Neonatal Bowel
45 Perforation: A Single-Center Experience and a Review of the Literature.
46 *Neonatology* [Internet]. 2012 Jun [cited 2022 Apr 21];101(4):285–92. Available
47 from: <https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/335325>
48
49
50
51
52
53
54 29. Kargl S, Wagner O, Pumberger W. Ileostomy Complications in Infants less
55 than 1500 grams – Frequent but Manageable. *J Neonatal Surg* [Internet]. 2017
56 Dec 31 [cited 2022 Apr 21];6(1):4. Available from: [/pmc/articles/PMC5224761/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30965340/)
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only

BMJ Paediatrics Open

risk factors and outcomes for Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in babies born at the threshold of viability: A case-control study

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2022-001583.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Sep-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Vallant, Natalie; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Haffenden, Verity; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Paediatric Surgery Peatman, Oliver; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Khan, Hammad; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Lee, Geraint; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Thakkar, Hemanshoo; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Yardley, Iain; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery
Keywords:	Neonatology, Resuscitation, Therapeutics, Mortality

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

OUTCOMES FOR NECROTISING ENTEROCOLITIS (NEC) IN BABIES BORN AT THE THRESHOLD OF VIABILITY: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

Natalie Vallant, MD, PhD¹, Verity Haffenden, MBBS, MSC, MRCS¹, Oliver Peatman²,
Hammad Khan, FRCP², Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS², Hemanshoo Thakkar, MBBS,
BSc, FRCS(PaedSurg)¹, Iain Yardley, BM, MPH, FRCS (PaedSurg)¹

21
22

¹Department of Paediatric Surgery, Evelina London Children's Hospital

23
24
25
26
27

²Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine, Evelina London Children's
Hospital

28
29
30
31

Correspondence:

32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Geraint Lee, Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS, Consultant Neonatologist, Department of
Neonatology, Evelina London Children's Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, London,
SE1 7EH. Tel: +44 7967 665008

Contributorship Statement:

NV: Manuscript preparation and revision, data collection; VH: Statistical analysis, data collection; OP: Data collection; HK: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; GL: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; HT: Project supervision, preparation of manuscript, statistical analysis, data collection; IY: Conception of the study, project supervision, statistical analysis, manuscript revision.

Keywords:

Necrotising Enterocolitis, Prematurity, Neonatology, Extreme Prematurity, Outcomes

Word Count: 2418 Words

ABSTRACT

Background: The threshold for active management of babies born prematurely in the UK is considered to be 22 weeks. The optimal management strategy for necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in babies born at or near this threshold remains unclear.

Aim: To review our institutional experience of babies born <24 weeks with a clinical diagnosis of NEC, identify risk factors for NEC, and compare outcomes with a control cohort.

Methods: All infants born <24 weeks gestation between January 2015-December 2021 were identified. Babies diagnosed with NEC were defined as cases and babies with no diagnosis of NEC as controls. Patient demographics, clinical features at the time of diagnosis, complications and outcomes were extracted from the electronic medical record and compared between groups.

Results: Of 56 babies, 31 (55.3%) were treated for NEC and 17/56 (30.4%) underwent surgery. There was no difference in NEC specific risk factors between the two groups. Babies who underwent surgery presented with a CRP rise in 11/17 (64.7%) and a fall in platelet count in 11/17 (64.7%). Pneumatosis intestinalis (3/17 (17.7%)) or pneumoperitoneum (3/17 (17.7%)) were present in only a minority of cases. Abdominal ultrasound demonstrated intestinal perforation in 8/8 cases. The surgical complication rate was 5/17 (29.4%). There was no difference in incidence of IVH, PVL and survival to discharge between the groups.

Conclusions:

The diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation is challenging with inconsistent clinical and radiological features. Ultrasound scanning may be a useful modality. Low gestational age is not a contraindication to surgical intervention in NEC.

1. INTRODUCTION

Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) is a potentially devastating condition, especially when surgical treatment becomes necessary. The incidence of surgical NEC has not changed significantly over the past decade, still affecting 1 to 3 per 1000 live births and 1% to 5% of infants admitted to Neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) (1). The true incidence of NEC is difficult to discern. Variabilities in diagnostic criteria and data collection pose significant challenges.

In the UK, the improved survival of babies born at 22 weeks of gestation led the British Association of Perinatal Medicine (BAPM) to update their guidelines regarding perinatal management of such babies. They recommend that decisions for such babies should not be based on gestational age alone but should reflect 'assessment of the baby's prognosis taking into account multiple factors' and follow multi-professional discussions with parents (2).

The most consistent finding in published reports of NEC is that the incidence has been relatively stable over time in very low birth weight (VLBW) infants (<1500g at birth). Stoll et al.(1) reported an incidence of 10% for NEC within this cohort in 1994, which is still commonly cited (3–6). This incidence of 10% has also been reported in the PiPS trial in 2016; a multicenter UK trial investigating the effect of probiotics on the development of NEC and late onset sepsis (7).

The primary risk factor for the development of NEC is prematurity (8). Well accepted secondary risk factors are intrauterine growth retardation, the presence of pathogenic organisms in the gastrointestinal tract, bowel ischaemia, enteral feeding and variations

1
2
3 in clinical practice regarding feeding (e.g. breast milk versus donor breast milk or
4 formula), and antibiotic treatment protocols (9). The administration of antenatal
5 maternal magnesium sulphate ($MgSO_4$), intended as a neuroprotective measure, has
6
7 been suggested to be an additional risk factor for the development of NEC (10,11). In
8 established NEC, clinical deterioration despite maximal medical therapy, or the
9 presence of pneumoperitoneum on abdominal x-ray represent the most common
10 indications for surgical treatment, however, robust studies linking outcomes with
11 specific indications for surgical interventions are lacking (12).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 The mortality rate of VLBW infants with surgical NEC is between 50% and 72%, and
25 neurodevelopmental impairment is highly likely to occur in survivors (13,14).
26 Nevertheless, boundaries continue to be reset in regards to the minimal gestational
27 age of babies at which active treatment should be considered, and with this, survival
28 outcomes remain a focus of interest. Contemporary reports from European centers
29 report survival rates of live-born extremely premature neonates to have improved
30 significantly over the last two decades (15). In live-born infants of 22 or 23 weeks
31 gestational age, survival has been reported to reach almost 50% where active care is
32 offered (16). Similar outcomes were observed in a single center study in Iowa, with a
33 reported survival to hospital discharge of 78% amongst NICU admissions at 22 or 23
34 weeks gestational age (17). The British Association of Perinatal Medicine report that,
35 despite being born alive and receiving active stabilization, a 3 out of 10 babies (51-
36 79%) within the 22 week cohort, and 6 out of 10 babies (56-68%) within the 23 week
37 cohort die (2). The role of surgical intervention in this cohort remains unclear.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 We reviewed our institutional experience of babies born under 24 weeks completed
56 gestational age in order to identify any potential risk factors for the development of
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 NEC specifically in babies born under 24 completed weeks of gestation. Furthermore,
4 we set out to compare the outcomes between babies requiring surgery for NEC, those
5 requiring only medical treatment and a control group of babies with equal gestational
6 age but without a diagnosis of NEC to help define the role of surgery.
7
8
9
10
11
12

13 14 15 **2. METHODS** 16 17 18

19 All infants admitted to our institution's neonatal unit born <24 weeks gestation (22+0-
20 23+6) between January 2015 and December 2021 were identified through the
21 electronic medical record (BadgerNet, Clevermed Ltd.). Gestational age had been
22 documented as per the mother's first dating scan. Babies born both in our institution
23 or in referring units, and subsequently transferred to our institution, were included.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Subjects were divided into two groups: cases, where a diagnosis of NEC was made
and controls, where no diagnosis of NEC was made, to examine potential risk factors
for NEC. Cases of NEC were defined as those with a documented diagnosis of NEC
on their clinical record and treated as such with either a minimum of 5 days of
intravenous antibiotics in combination with gut rest or surgical intervention. During the
period of our study, pneumoperitoneum was considered an absolute indication for
surgical intervention and failure to improve clinically despite maximal medical
treatment a relative indication. Those who underwent surgery were only included as
cases where NEC was confirmed histologically in a resection specimen. Controls were
babies born <24 weeks gestational age, who throughout their admission did not
receive a diagnosis of NEC. Matching of cases and controls was not undertaken due
to the likely small numbers of babies included and the risk of matching for a potentially
significant factor.

2.1. *Exclusion criteria*

Babies who died within 24 hours of birth and babies who underwent a laparotomy for suspected NEC but were found to have an alternative diagnosis (n=4, out of which 3 babies were found to have intussusception and one baby had suffered from TPN extravasation) excluded from the study.

2.2. *Data collection*

Patient demographics, clinical features at the time of diagnosis of NEC, biochemical and radiological findings, surgical details, complications and survival data were extracted from the electronic medical record (BadgerNet). Commonly recognised potential risk factors for NEC were recorded included the use of ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) closure, the use of blood products, maternal chorioamnionitis, prolonged rupture of membranes, use of umbilical catheters and the type of enteral feeds given. Data on maternal age, smoking status, use of antenatal MgSO₄ as well as steroids were obtained from maternal medical records. Outcome measures included the grade of intra-ventricular haemorrhage identified on routine cranial ultrasound screening, development of periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) and survival to discharge.

The study was registered locally as clinical service evaluation project (Number: 13449) and was not deemed to require further approval from an ethics committee as it involved anonymised, retrospective data collection only.

2.3. *Statistical analysis*

For normally distributed data an independent samples T-test was used to compare means between the groups. For non-normally distributed data a Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare medians between the groups. Fisher's Exact test with Bonferroni correction was used to compare categorical variables between groups. IBM SPSS Statistics v.17 was used for all statistical analysis. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

2.4. Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in conducting this research.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Patient Demographics

Fifty-six babies born <24 weeks during the 6-year study period were included. Out of 56 (55.3%) babies, 31 developed NEC during their admission (cases), the other 25 served as controls. The median gestational age was 23 weeks in both groups and there was no statistically significant difference in weight or gender distribution although a preponderance of male infants was found in the cases. **Table 1** below summarises the key demographics across the 3 groups.

Table 1 – Demographic data of all babies <24 weeks included in the study

	Cases (NEC)	Controls	
	<i>n=31</i>	<i>n=25</i>	
Median gestational age (weeks)	23	23	0.365

Mean \pm SD	579 \pm 73	592 \pm 59	0.582
birthweight (g)			
Gender (M:F)	18:13	9:16	0.116

3.2. Antenatal- and post-natal risk factors for NEC

Table 2 summarises the potential ante-natal and post-natal risk factors for NEC in cases and controls. Considering the small numbers of babies included and the large number of variables, no statistical analysis was attempted due to the high chance of a type 1 error. At our unit, trophic feeds up to a volume of 30ml/kg/day expressed maternal breast milk (EBM), or alternatively donor expressed breast milk (DEBM) would have been given to every baby from the day of birth, given the abdomen is soft, gastric aspirates are small volume, and there is no other contraindication.

Table 2 – Antenatal data and potential post-natal risk factors for NEC

	Cases	Controls
Median maternal age (years)	33	31
MgSO ₄ received (n (%))	19 (61.2%)	20 (80%)
Antenatal steroids received (n (%))	29 (93.5%)	24 (96%)
Maternal chorioamnionitis (n (%))	11 (35.5%)	14 (56%)
Prolonged rupture of membranes (n (%))	1 (3.2%)	3 (12%)
Maternal smoker (n (%))	3 (9.6%)	2 (8%)
Ibuprofen use for PDA closure (n (%))	6 (19.3%)	4(16%)
Blood products given to baby (n (%))	31 (100%)	25 (100%)
Umbilical catheter use (n (%))	30 (96.8%)	24 (96%)

Maternal Breastmilk as first enteral feed (n (%))	25 (80.6%)	24 (96%)
---	------------	----------

3.3. Outcomes

Neuropathological findings and survival outcomes are summarised in **Table 3**.

Intra - ventricular hemorrhage (IVH) and Peri – ventricular leukomalacia (PVL) may be correlated with poor neurological outcomes but neurological or developmental testing was not performed as part of this study. Survival to discharge was similar in the two groups.

Table 3 – Neuropathological and survival data for all babies <24 weeks

	Cases <i>n=31</i>	Controls <i>n=25</i>	
Presence of any grade of IVH (%)	25 (80.6%)	17 (68.0%)	p=0.357
IVH grade (%)			p=0.282
Nil	6 (19.4%)	8 (32%)	
I	5 (16.1%)	0 (0%)	
II	6 (19.4%)	6 (24%)	
III	5 (16.1%)	4 (16%)	
IV	9 (29.0%)	7 (28%)	
PVL diagnosis (%)	6 (19.4%)	2 (8.0%)	p=0.277
Survival to discharge (%)	15 (48.4%)	13 (52.0%)	p=1.000

1
2
3 **3.4. Clinical features of babies undergoing surgical treatment for NEC**
4
5 **(n=17)**
6
7
8
9

10 The median age at the onset of suspected NEC was 14 days (4-36 days). Median
11 CRP at the time of first clinical suspicion of NEC was 23mg/L (<1-250mg/L). Eleven of
12 17 babies (64.7%) had a rise in their CRP from the previous day when first suspected
13 to have NEC. Median platelet count was $108 \times 10^9/L$ ($13-289 \times 10^9/L$) with 11/18 (64.7%)
14 patients experiencing a drop in the platelet count at the time of initial diagnosis.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 On plain radiography, pneumatosis intestinalis was evident in 3/17 (17.7%),
24 pneumoperitoneum in 3/17 (17.7%) and a paucity of intra-luminal gas in 4/17 (23.5%)
25 cases. An abdominal USS was performed at the clinician's discretion in 8/17 (47.1%)
26 cases where the diagnosis was unclear. In all 8 cases, thickened, aperistalsic bowel
27 with an extra-luminal fluid collection, highly suspicious of an intestinal perforation, was
28 identified.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 These 17 patients underwent laparotomy with a median time to surgery of 2 days (1-
41 5) from the date of first suspicion of NEC. Findings at surgery were: Localised distal
42 ileal disease with perforation in 9 (52.9%), multi-focal NEC in 5 (29.4%), right iliac
43 fossa inflammatory mass in 2 (11.8%) and extensive bowel necrosis in 2 (11.8%). A
44 diverting ileostomy with a mucus fistula was fashioned in 13 (76.5%) and a high
45 jejunostomy with a mucus fistula in 4 (23.5%).
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 A number of 5 out of 17 (29.4%) patients developed a post-operative wound
57 dehiscence of which one developed an enterocutaneous fistulae. One patient, after
58
59
60

1
2
3 their initial laparotomy and ileostomy formation, developed a mass within the right iliac
4 fossa and another perforation requiring a relaparotomy and bowel resection. 4 weeks
5
6
7 later, the patient developed further ileal perforations requiring a 3rd laparotomy, with
8
9
10 formation of another ileostomy and mucus fistula. 4 months after the initial operation,
11
12 the patient had restoration of enteral continuity.

13
14 In this group of babies with surgically managed NEC, survival to discharge was 8/17
15
16 (47.1%). The proportion of babies with periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) was 4/17
17
18 (23.5%), and the proportion of babies with intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) was
19
20 15/17 (88.2%).
21
22
23
24
25

26 **4. DISCUSSION**

27
28
29
30 We report our experiences and outcomes of babies born <24 weeks of gestational age
31
32 over a period of 6 years, with a special regard to the occurrence of NEC. Out of 56
33
34 included babies, NEC was diagnosed in 31 (55.3%) of which 17 (30.4%) underwent
35
36 surgery for NEC. We found no evidence of an increased risk of NEC associated with
37
38 any of the risk factors examined. Survival to discharge in babies diagnosed with NEC
39
40 was similar as for babies with no NEC, at 48.4% compared to 52.0%, respectively,
41
42 regardless of the need for surgery. Development of NEC reduced survival by less than
43
44 10%, and surgery did not have an additional impact.
45
46
47
48

49
50 There was no statistically significant evidence of worse neurological injury in babies
51
52 diagnosed with NEC. The overall numbers of babies with any degree of IVH was higher
53
54 within the NEC cohort, however, the numbers of IVH III and IV, were similar to the
55
56 numbers in the control cohort. There was a trend towards a higher rate of PVL in
57
58 babies diagnosed with NEC. However, for this study, neuropathological findings were
59
60

1
2
3 not correlated with neurodevelopmental outcomes. This will be subject for a longer
4
5 term follow up study.
6
7
8
9

10 The incidence of NEC in our study is higher than commonly described in studies
11 reporting outcomes in extremely premature infants (1,3–7). It is likely that is due to
12 previously published studies not looking at babies exclusively under 24 weeks
13 gestation but have tended to also include babies up to a gestation of 28 weeks. The
14 2006 EPICURE2 Study reported survival of live born babies to be only 2% (n=3) for
15 those born at 22 weeks' gestation, and 19% (n=66) at 23 weeks' gestation but when
16 antenatal corticosteroids are given and babies are actively treated after birth,
17 outcomes are much better (18). Around the same time, survival rates of 30% for babies
18 born at 22 weeks were reported from around the world, with similar
19 neurodevelopmental outcomes as for babies born at 23 or 24 weeks (15–17,19). This
20 progress was backed up by the National Institute of Child Health and Human
21 Development (NICHD)-funded Neonatal Research Network (NRN), reporting survival
22 rates of 25% for babies born at 22 weeks (20). Survival in our study was 52% in our
23 control group of infants that did not develop NEC. This is higher than reported in the
24 literature. However, we acknowledge is skewed by the exclusion of patients that did
25 not survive beyond 24 hours as part of this study. Developing NEC and requiring
26 surgery in this cohort did not have an additional impact in terms of outcome when
27 comparing it to our control cohort.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 A protective effect of maternal breast milk on development of NEC has been described
55 before (21,22). In our unit, we have a high exposure rate of premature babies to breast
56 milk as their first enteral feed. There is some uncertainty about the impact of antenatal
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 MgSO₄ on the risk of developing NEC. Some studies have reported a positive
4 association, especially in infants of very low gestational age (10,11) whilst others
5 report a protective effect (23). Whilst in our cohort, no differences were seen in regards
6 to MgSO₄ administration, or exposure to breast milk as first enteral feed in premature
7 babies, we would continue to recommend maternal breast milk as a first enteral feed,
8 where possible.
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 We found that the clinical presentation of the extremely premature babies with NEC
20 was atypical, but very much in concordance to the findings published by Battersby et.
21 al, that the clinical presentation of NEC can vary, dependent on gestational age (24).
22
23 With this, we acknowledge that without a reliable biomarker for NEC, identification of
24 patients, especially in retrospect is challenging, and for our study, poses a limitation.
25
26 Of the 17 babies who underwent surgery for NEC, only around two thirds showed a
27 rise in CRP or a drop in platelets. Similarly, only a minority showed typical
28 appearances on plain radiography with pneumatosis in 17.7% and pneumoperitoneum
29 in 17.7%. We do not have a hypothesis for this lack in typical biochemical and radio-
30 morphological features, however, the lack in CRP rise could be explained due to
31 possible poor immunity and an inability to mount a significant CRP response.
32
33 Interestingly, where ultrasound scanning was deployed in unclear cases, features
34 highly suspicious for intestinal perforation were identified in all cases. As a department,
35 we have used ultrasound imaging as an adjunct to plain radiography and clinical
36 assessment, when the diagnosis has been in doubt. Our radiologists have been able
37 to perform this at the bedside and have developed a wealth of experience in assessing
38 the nature of the bowel, and looking specifically for collections and aperistaltic bowel,
39 which would be suggestive of a perforation. Conversely, at laparotomy a very typical
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 pattern of disease was found, with the majority located in the distal ileum and the
4
5 remainder multi-focal.
6

7
8 The rate of surgical complications was surprisingly low, with only 5 out of 17 babies
9
10 (29.4%) developing wound dehiscences, and no reported stomal complications other
11
12 than one enterocutaneous fistula. The patient who underwent multiple laparotomies
13
14 appeared to have recurrent disease rather than complications of their initial surgery.
15
16 Regarding neurological complications, we have only been able to analyse structural
17
18 findings on imaging as our length of follow up was only to hospital discharge. More
19
20 definitive neurodevelopmental outcomes as these babies grow into childhood will be
21
22 of great interest.
23
24
25

26
27
28 The key strength of our study is the completeness of data collection and follow up to
29
30 the time of discharge, with a full data set for all infants included. However, the small
31
32 sample size is the key limitation of our study. According to figures from the Office of
33
34 National Statistics (www.ons.gov.uk) for England and Wales, in 2018, the reported
35
36 birth rates were 166 per annum for 22 weekers, and 313 per annum for 23 weekers,
37
38 which in total is 479 babies. Given a population of roughly 10 million people in London,
39
40 and our centre being one of 10 tertiary referral centres this equals a number of 47
41
42 babies <24 weeks we would expect to see. With 56 infants included, we acknowledge
43
44 that for this cohort, it is a higher number than what we would expect to be included
45
46 over 6 years, but that it is still a small cohort, and therefore, the study may not be
47
48 powered to assess the impact of several potentially interrelated risk factors. It is also
49
50 a retrospective study and so there may be unmeasured, confounding factors at play,
51
52 including temporal trends. Given the rarity and heterogeneity of NEC, its presentation
53
54 and its management, a large scale, prospective study, in collaboration with the British
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Association for Perinatal Medicine (BAPM) looking at this cohort, would be of great
4 interest, to better define risk factors, diagnostic tools and optimal treatment strategies.
5
6 These need to include long term follow up in order to determine the neurological
7 outcomes for these infants. Perspectives of ethicists, neonatologists and health
8 economists would add further interest.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 In conclusion, the diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation can be
18 challenging. Ultrasound scanning may be a more useful modality than more
19 conventionally used radiological methods. Although mortality remains high, survival in
20 surgically managed patients can be similar as in those developing NEC not requiring
21 surgery or even in those not developing NEC at all. Therefore, surgery should be
22 considered an integral part of intensive care for babies born at the threshold of viability.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **Acknowledgements**

34
35 We would like to acknowledge Dr. Salma Ayis, senior lecturer at King's College
36 London, and thank her very much for her help with the statistical analyses for this
37 study.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Funding Statement:**

46 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
47 commercial or not-for-profit sectors.
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **What is already known on this topic:**

55
56 NEC is a potentially devastating condition, affecting approximately 10% of prematurely
57 born neonates. The main risk factor for development of NEC is prematurity. The
58
59
60

1
2
3 threshold for active treatment of preterm neonates is currently considered to be 22
4 weeks gestational age and there is currently not much data on surgical outcomes for
5
6
7
8 this cohort.
9

11 12 **What this study adds:**

13
14 This study adds valuable information on surgical outcomes in babies born under 24
15 weeks of gestational age suffering from NEC. It highlights the importance of the use
16 of ultrasound as an additional diagnostic tool when the diagnosis is unclear and it
17 shows, that surgery, in this small cohort of patients, had no major impact on outcomes
18 in regards to survival to discharge, IVH or PVL. and should therefore be offered, when
19 indicated, also for this most vulnerable cohort.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 31 **How this study might affect clinical practice:**

32
33 Our study shows that babies in this cohort can have a good outcome with a 48.4%
34 survival to discharge in those requiring surgery. In line with the BAPM statement, we
35 are likely to see more extremely premature infants under 24 weeks gestation in the
36 future. This study will enable clinicians to discuss the prognosis with parents and the
37 wider team. We also feel that our results might affect clinical practice by giving
38 clinicians data an awareness of the impact of NEC on outcomes, and may reassure
39 them that surgery is a reasonable intervention.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Stoll BJ. Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 21, Clinics in Perinatology. W.B. Saunders; 1994 [cited 2021 May 11]. p. 205–18. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8070222/>
2. MacTier H, Bates SE, Johnston T, Lee-Davey C, Marlow N, Mulley K, et al. Perinatal management of extreme preterm birth before 27 weeks of gestation: A framework for practice [Internet]. Vol. 105, Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition. BMJ Publishing Group; 2020 [cited 2021 May 16]. p. F232–9. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
3. Horbar JD, Badger GJ, Carpenter JH, Fanaroff AA, Kilpatrick S, Lacorte M, et al. Trends in mortality and morbidity for very low birth weight infants, 1991-1999. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2021 May 16];110(1 I):143–51. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12093960/>
4. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Walsh MC, et al. Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2021 May 16];126(3):443–56. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20732945/>
5. Wilson-Costello D, Friedman H, Minich N, Siner B, Taylor G, Schluchter M, et al. Improved neurodevelopmental outcomes for extremely low birth weight infants in 2000-2002. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2007 Jan [cited 2021 May 16];119(1):37–45. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17200269/>
6. Guthrie SO, Gordon P V., Thomas V, Thorp JA, Peabody J, Clark RH. Necrotizing enterocolitis among neonates in the United States [Internet]. Vol. 23, Journal of Perinatology. J Perinatol; 2003 [cited 2021 May 16]. p. 278–85. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12774133/>

- 1
2
3 7. Costeloe K, Hardy P, Juszczak E, Wilks M, Millar MR. Bifidobacterium breve
4 BBG-001 in very preterm infants: a randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*
5 [Internet]. 2016 Feb 13 [cited 2021 Sep 1];387(10019):649–60. Available from:
6
7 <http://www.thelancet.com/article/S0140673615010272/fulltext>
8
9
- 10
11
12 8. Behrman RE, Stoll BJ, Kanto WP, Glass RI, Nahmias AJ, Brann AW.
13 Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis: A case control study. *J Pediatr*
14 [Internet]. 1980 [cited 2021 May 11];96(3 PART 1):447–51. Available from:
15
16 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6767010/>
17
18
- 19
20
21 9. Patole SK, De Klerk N. Impact of standardised feeding regimens on incidence
22 of neonatal necrotising enterocolitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of
23 observational studies [Internet]. Vol. 90, *Archives of Disease in Childhood:*
24 *Fetal and Neonatal Edition*. BMJ Publishing Group; 2005 [cited 2021 May 11].
25 p. F147–51. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
26
27
- 28
29
30
31 10. Rattray BN, Kraus DM, Drinker LR, Goldberg RN, Tanaka DT, Cotten CM.
32 Antenatal magnesium sulfate and spontaneous intestinal perforation in infants
33 less than 25 weeks gestation. *J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2014 Nov 5 [cited 2022
34
35 Mar 15];34(11):819–22. Available from:
36
37 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24901451/>
38
39
- 40
41
42
43 11. Kamyar M, Clark E, Yoder B, Varner M, Manuck T. Antenatal Magnesium
44 Sulfate, Necrotizing Enterocolitis, and Death among Neonates. *AJP Rep*
45 [Internet]. 2016 Mar 31 [cited 2022 Mar 15];6(1):e148–54. Available from:
46
47 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27054046/>
48
49
- 50
51
52
53 12. Robinson JR, Rellinger EJ, Hatch LD, Weitkamp JH, Speck KE, Danko M, et
54 al. Surgical necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 41, *Seminars in*
55 *Perinatology*. W.B. Saunders; 2017 [cited 2021 Mar 2]. p. 70–9. Available
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 from: /pmc/articles/PMC5777619/
4
5
6 13. Blakely ML, Tyson JE, Lally KP, McDonald S, Stoll BJ, Stevenson DK, et al.
7
8 Laparotomy versus peritoneal drainage for necrotizing enterocolitis or isolated
9
10 intestinal perforation in extremely low birth weight infants: Outcomes through
11
12 18 months adjusted age. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2021 Mar 2];117(4).
13
14 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16549503/>
15
16
17 14. Hintz SR, Kendrick DE, Stoll BJ, Vohr BR, Fanaroff AA, Donovan EF, et al.
18
19 Neurodevelopmental and growth outcomes of extremely low birth weight
20
21 infants after necrotizing enterocolitis. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2005 Mar [cited
22
23 2021 Mar 2];115(3):696–703. Available from:
24
25 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15741374/>
26
27
28 15. Norman M, Hallberg B, Abrahamsson T, Björklund LJ, Domellöf M, Farooqi A,
29
30 et al. Association between Year of Birth and 1-Year Survival among Extremely
31
32 Preterm Infants in Sweden during 2004-2007 and 2014-2016. *JAMA - J Am*
33
34 *Med Assoc* [Internet]. 2019 Mar 26 [cited 2021 May 26];321(12):1188–99.
35
36 Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
37
38
39 16. Mehler K, Oberthuer A, Keller T, Becker I, Valter M, Roth B, et al. Survival
40
41 among infants born at 22 or 23 weeks' gestation following active prenatal and
42
43 postnatal care. *JAMA Pediatr* [Internet]. 2016 Jul 1 [cited 2021 May
44
45 26];170(7):671–7. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
46
47
48
49 17. Watkins PL, Dagle JM, Bell EF, Colaizy TT. Outcomes at 18 to 22 Months of
50
51 Corrected Age for Infants Born at 22 to 25 Weeks of Gestation in a Center
52
53 Practicing Active Management. *J Pediatr* [Internet]. 2020 Feb 1 [cited 2021
54
55 May 26];217:52-58.e1. Available from:
56
57 <http://www.jpeds.com/article/S0022347619310868/fulltext>
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 18. Costeloe KL, Hennessy EM, Haider S, Stacey F, Marlow N, Draper ES. Short
4 term outcomes after extreme preterm birth in England: Comparison of two birth
5 cohorts in 1995 and 2006 (the EPICure studies). *BMJ* [Internet]. 2012 Dec 8
6 [cited 2021 May 26];345(7886). Available from:
7
8 <http://www.bmj.com/content/345/bmj.e7976?tab=related#webextra>
9
10
11
- 12 19. Kusuda S, Fujimura M, Sakuma I, Aotani H, Kabe K, Itani Y, et al. Morbidity
13 and mortality of infants with very low birth weight in Japan: Center variation.
14 *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2006 Oct 1 [cited 2021 May 26];118(4):e1130–8.
15 Available from: <https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/118/4/e1130>
16
17
18
- 19 20. Rysavy MA, Li L, Bell EF, Das A, Hintz SR, Stoll BJ, et al. Between-Hospital
20 Variation in Treatment and Outcomes in Extremely Preterm Infants. *N Engl J*
21 *Med* [Internet]. 2015 May 7 [cited 2021 May 26];372(19):1801–11. Available
22 from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1410689>
23
24
25
- 26 21. Cortez J, Makker K, Kraemer DF, Neu J, Sharma R, Hudak ML. Maternal milk
27 feedings reduce sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis and improve outcomes of
28 premature infants. *J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Apr
29 20];38(1):71–4. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29048409/>
30
31
32
- 33 22. Sisk PM, Lambeth TM, Rojas MA, Lightbourne T, Barahona M, Anthony E, et
34 al. Necrotizing Enterocolitis and Growth in Preterm Infants Fed Predominantly
35 Maternal Milk, Pasteurized Donor Milk, or Preterm Formula: A Retrospective
36 Study. *Am J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2017 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Apr 20];34(7):676–83.
37 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27936476/>
38
39
40
- 41 23. Mikhael M, Bronson C, Zhang L, Curran M, Rodriguez H, Bhakta KY. Lack of
42 Evidence for Time or Dose Relationship between Antenatal Magnesium
43 Sulfate and Intestinal Injury in Extremely Preterm Neonates. *Neonatology*
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [Internet]. 2019 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Mar 15];115(4):371–8. Available from:
4
5 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30965340/>
6
7

- 8 24. Battersby C, Longford N, Costeloe K, Modi N. Development of a Gestational
9
10 Age-Specific Case Definition for Neonatal Necrotizing Enterocolitis. JAMA
11
12 Pediatr [Internet]. 2017 Mar 1 [cited 2022 Aug 15];171(3):256–63. Available
13
14 from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28046187/>
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

BMJ Paediatrics Open

OUTCOMES FOR NECROTISING ENTEROCOLITIS (NEC) IN BABIES BORN AT THE THRESHOLD OF VIABILITY: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2022-001583.R3
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	14-Oct-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Vallant, Natalie; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Haffenden, Verity; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Paediatric Surgery Peatman, Oliver; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Khan, Hammad; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Lee, Geraint; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Thakkar, Hemanshoo; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Yardley, Iain; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery
Keywords:	Neonatology, Resuscitation, Therapeutics, Mortality

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

OUTCOMES FOR NECROTISING ENTEROCOLITIS (NEC) IN BABIES BORN AT THE THRESHOLD OF VIABILITY: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

Natalie Vallant, MD, PhD¹, Verity Haffenden, MBBS, MSC, MRCS¹, Oliver Peatman², Hammad Khan, FRCP², Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS², Hemanshoo Thakkar, MBBS, BSc, FRCS(Paed)¹, Iain Yardley, BM, MPH, FRCS (Paed)¹

¹Department of Paediatric Surgery, Evelina London Children's Hospital

²Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine, Evelina London Children's Hospital

Correspondence:

Geraint Lee, Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS, Consultant Neonatologist, Department of Neonatology, Evelina London Children's Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, London, SE1 7EH. Tel: +44 7967 665008, email: geraint.lee@gstt.nhs.uk

Contributorship Statement:

NV: Manuscript preparation and revision, data collection; VH: Statistical analysis, data collection; OP: Data collection; HK: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; GL: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; HT: Project supervision, preparation of manuscript, statistical analysis, data collection; IY: Conception of the study, project supervision, statistical analysis, manuscript revision.

Keywords:

Necrotising Enterocolitis, Prematurity, Neonatology, Extreme Prematurity, Outcomes

Word Count: 3032 Words

ABSTRACT

Background: The threshold for active management of babies born prematurely in the UK is currently 22 weeks. The optimal management strategy for necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in babies born at or near this threshold remains unclear.

Aim: To review our institutional experience of babies born <24 weeks with a clinical diagnosis of NEC, identify risk factors for NEC, and compare outcomes with a control cohort.

Methods: All infants born <24 weeks gestation January 2015-December 2021 were identified. Babies diagnosed with NEC were defined as cases and babies with no diagnosis of NEC as controls. Patient demographics, clinical features, complications and outcomes were extracted from the medical record and compared between groups.

Results: Of 56 babies, 31 (55.3%) were treated for NEC and 17 (30.4%) underwent surgery. There was no difference in NEC specific risk factors between the two groups. Babies who underwent surgery presented with a CRP rise in 11/17 (64.7%) and a fall in platelet count in 11/17 (64.7%). Pneumatosis intestinalis (3/17 (17.7%)) or pneumoperitoneum (3/17 (17.7%)) were present in only a minority of cases. Abdominal ultrasound demonstrated intestinal perforation in 8/8 cases. The surgical complication rate was 5/17 (29.4%). There was no difference in incidence of IVH, PVL and survival to discharge between the groups.

Conclusions:

The diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation is challenging with inconsistent clinical and radiological features. Ultrasound scanning may be a useful modality. Mortality was similar in both groups. Low gestational age is not a contraindication to surgical intervention in NEC.

1. INTRODUCTION

Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) is a potentially devastating condition, especially when surgical treatment becomes necessary. The incidence of surgical NEC has not changed significantly over the past decade, still affecting 1 to 3 per 1000 live births and 1% to 5% of infants admitted to Neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) (1). The true incidence of NEC is difficult to discern. Variabilities in diagnostic criteria and data collection pose significant challenges.

In the UK, the improved survival of babies born at 22 weeks of gestation led the British Association of Perinatal Medicine (BAPM) to update their guidelines regarding perinatal management of these babies. They recommend that decisions should not be based on gestational age alone but should reflect 'assessment of the baby's prognosis taking into account multiple factors' and follow multi-professional discussions with parents (2).

The most consistent finding in published reports of NEC is that the incidence has been relatively stable over time in very low birth weight (VLBW) infants (<1500g at birth). Stoll et al.(1) reported an incidence of 10% for NEC within this cohort in 1994, which is still commonly cited (3–6). This incidence of 10% has also been reported in the PiPS trial in 2016; a multicenter UK trial investigating the effect of probiotics on the development of NEC and late onset sepsis (7).

The primary risk factor for the development of NEC is prematurity (8). Well accepted secondary risk factors are intrauterine growth retardation, the presence of pathogenic

1
2
3 organisms in the gastrointestinal tract, bowel ischaemia, enteral feeding and variations
4 in clinical practice regarding feeding (e.g. breast milk versus donor breast milk or
5 formula), and antibiotic treatment protocols (9). The administration of antenatal
6 maternal magnesium sulphate ($MgSO_4$), intended as a neuroprotective measure, has
7 been suggested to be an additional risk factor for the development of NEC (10,11). In
8 established NEC, clinical deterioration despite maximal medical therapy, or the
9 presence of pneumoperitoneum on abdominal x-ray represent the most common
10 indications for surgical treatment, however, robust studies linking outcomes with
11 specific indications for surgical interventions are lacking (12).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 The mortality rate of VLBW infants with surgical NEC is between 50% and 72%, and
27 neurodevelopmental impairment is highly likely to occur in survivors (13,14).
28 Nevertheless, boundaries continue to be reset in regards to the minimal gestational
29 age of babies at which active treatment should be considered, and with this, survival
30 outcomes remain a focus of interest. Contemporary reports from European centers
31 report survival rates of live-born extremely premature neonates to have improved
32 significantly over the last two decades (15). In live-born infants of 22 or 23 weeks
33 gestational age, survival has been reported to reach almost 50% where active care is
34 offered (16). Similar outcomes were observed in a single center study in Iowa, with a
35 reported survival to hospital discharge of 78% amongst NICU admissions at 22 or 23
36 weeks gestational age (17). The British Association of Perinatal Medicine report that,
37 despite being born alive and receiving active stabilization, a 3 out of 10 babies (51-
38 79%) within the 22 week cohort, and 6 out of 10 babies (56-68%) within the 23 week
39 cohort die (2). The role of surgical intervention in this cohort remains unclear.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 We reviewed our institutional experience of babies born under 24 weeks completed
4 gestational age in order to identify any potential risk factors for the development of
5 NEC specifically in babies born under 24 completed weeks of gestation. Furthermore,
6
7
8 we set out to compare the outcomes between babies requiring surgery for NEC, those
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

We reviewed our institutional experience of babies born under 24 weeks completed gestational age in order to identify any potential risk factors for the development of NEC specifically in babies born under 24 completed weeks of gestation. Furthermore, we set out to compare the outcomes between babies requiring surgery for NEC, those requiring only medical treatment and a control group of babies with equal gestational age but without a diagnosis of NEC to help define the role of surgery.

2. METHODS

All infants admitted to our institution's neonatal unit born <24 weeks gestation (22+0-23+6) between January 2015 and December 2021 were identified through the electronic medical record (BadgerNet, Clevermed Ltd.). Gestational age had been documented as per the mother's first dating scan. Babies born both in our institution or in referring units, and subsequently transferred to our institution, were included. Subjects were divided into two groups: cases, where a diagnosis of NEC was made and controls, where no diagnosis of NEC was made, to examine potential risk factors for NEC. Cases of NEC were defined as those with a documented diagnosis of NEC on their clinical record and treated as such with either a minimum of 5 days of intravenous antibiotics in combination with gut rest or surgical intervention. During the period of our study, pneumoperitoneum was considered an absolute indication for surgical intervention and failure to improve clinically despite maximal medical treatment a relative indication. Those who underwent surgery were only included as cases where NEC was confirmed histologically in a resection specimen. Controls were babies born <24 weeks gestational age, who throughout their admission did not receive a diagnosis of NEC. Matching of cases and controls was not undertaken due

1
2
3 to the likely small numbers of babies included and the risk of matching for a potentially
4 significant factor.
5
6
7
8
9

10 **2.1. Exclusion criteria**

11
12 Babies who died within 24 hours of birth and babies who underwent a laparotomy for
13 suspected NEC but were found to have an alternative diagnosis were excluded.
14
15
16
17
18

19 **2.2. Data collection**

20
21 Patient demographics, clinical features at the time of diagnosis of NEC, biochemical
22 and radiological findings, surgical details, complications and survival data were
23 extracted from the electronic medical record (BadgerNet). Commonly recognised
24 potential risk factors for NEC were recorded included the use of ibuprofen for patent
25 ductus arteriosus (PDA) closure, the use of blood products, maternal chorioamnionitis,
26 prolonged rupture of membranes, use of umbilical catheters and the type of enteral
27 feeds given. Data on maternal age, smoking status, use of antenatal MgSO₄ as well
28 as steroids were obtained from maternal medical records. Outcome measures
29 included the grade of intra-ventricular haemorrhage identified on routine cranial
30 ultrasound screening, development of periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) and survival
31 to discharge.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 The study was registered locally as clinical service evaluation project (Number: 13449)
50 and was not deemed to require further approval from an ethics committee as it involved
51 anonymised, retrospective data collection only.
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 **2.3. Statistical analysis**

1
2
3 For normally distributed data an independent samples T-test was used to compare
4 means between the groups. For non-normally distributed data a Kruskal-Wallis test
5 was used to compare medians between the groups. Fisher's Exact test with Bonferroni
6 correction was used to compare categorical variables between groups. IBM SPSS
7 Statistics v.17 was used for all statistical analysis. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically
8 significant.
9

19 **2.4. Patient and public involvement**

20 Patients and the public were not involved in conducting this research.
21
22

26 **3. RESULTS**

30 **3.1. Patient Demographics**

31
32 66 babies were born <24 weeks during the 6-year study period. 6 were excluded as
33 they died within 24 hours of birth. Despite initial resuscitation for these babies, they
34 failed to respond to intensive care treatment and their care was re-directed. A further
35 4 babies who underwent a laparotomy but were not found to have NEC were excluded
36 (3 babies were found to have intussusception and one baby had suffered from TPN
37 extravasation). This left a cohort of 56 babies included in the study. Out of 56 babies,
38 31 (55.4%) developed NEC during their admission (cases) whilst 25 (44.6%) did not
39 and served as controls. The median gestational age was 23 weeks in both groups and
40 there was no statistically significant difference in weight or gender distribution although
41 a preponderance of male infants was found in the cases. There were 7 babies in total
42 born at 22 weeks gestation that were included in the study (2 controls and 5 cases).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 below summarises the key demographics across the 3 groups.

Table 1 – Demographic data of all babies <24 weeks included in the study

	Cases (NEC)	Controls	
	n=31	n=25	
Median gestational age, range (weeks)	23 (22+5 - 23+6)	23 (22+6 - 23+6)	0.365
Mean \pm SD birthweight (g)	579 \pm 73	592 \pm 59	0.582
Gender (M:F)	18:13	9:16	0.116

3.2. Antenatal- and post-natal risk factors for NEC

Table 2 summarises the potential ante-natal and post-natal risk factors for NEC in cases and controls. Considering the small numbers of babies included and the large number of variables, no statistical analysis was attempted due to the high chance of a type 1 error. At our unit, trophic feeds up to a volume of 30ml/kg/day expressed maternal breast milk (EBM), or alternatively donor expressed breast milk (DEBM) would have been given to every baby from the day of birth, assuming the abdomen remains soft and not distended, gastric aspirates are low volume, and there is no other contraindication.

Table 2 – Antenatal data and potential post-natal risk factors for NEC

	Cases <i>n=31</i>	Controls <i>n=25</i>
Median maternal age (years)	33	31
MgSO ₄ received (n (%))	19 (61.2%)	20 (80%)
Antenatal steroids received (n (%))	29 (93.5%)	24 (96%)
Maternal chorioamnionitis (n (%))	11 (35.5%)	14 (56%)
Prolonged rupture of membranes (n (%))	1 (3.2%)	3 (12%)
Maternal smoker (n (%))	3 (9.6%)	2 (8%)
Ibuprofen use for PDA closure (n (%))	6 (19.3%)	4(16%)
Blood products given to baby (n (%))	31 (100%)	25 (100%)
Umbilical catheter use (n (%))	30 (96.8%)	24 (96%)
Maternal Breastmilk as first enteral feed (n (%))	25 (80.6%)	24 (96%)

3.3. Outcomes

Neuropathological findings and survival outcomes are summarised in **Table 3**.

Intra - ventricular hemorrhage (IVH) and Peri – ventricular leukomalacia (PVL) may be correlated with poor neurological outcomes but neurological or developmental testing was not performed as part of this study. Survival to discharge was similar in the two groups.

Table 3 – Neuropathological and survival data for all babies <24 weeks

Cases <i>n=31</i>	Controls <i>n=25</i>
-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Presence of any grade of IVH (%)	25 (80.6%)	17 (68.0%)	p=0.357
IVH grade (%)			p=0.282
Nil	6 (19.4%)	8 (32%)	
I	5 (16.1%)	0 (0%)	
II	6 (19.4%)	6 (24%)	
III	5 (16.1%)	4 (16%)	
IV	9 (29.0%)	7 (28%)	
PVL diagnosis (%)	6 (19.4%)	2 (8.0%)	p=0.277
Survival to discharge (%)	15 (48.4%)	13 (52.0%)	p=1.000

3.4. Clinical features of babies undergoing surgical treatment for NEC (n=17)

The median age at the onset of suspected NEC was 14 days (4-36 days). Median CRP at the time of first clinical suspicion of NEC was 23mg/L (<1-250mg/L). Eleven of 17 babies (64.7%) had a rise in their CRP from the previous day when first suspected to have NEC. Median platelet count was $108 \times 10^9/L$ (13-289 $\times 10^9/L$) with 11/18 (64.7%) patients experiencing a drop in the platelet count at the time of initial diagnosis.

On plain radiography, pneumatosis intestinalis was evident in 3/17 (17.7%), pneumoperitoneum in 3/17 (17.7%) and a paucity of intra-luminal gas in 4/17 (23.5%) cases. An abdominal USS was performed at the clinician's discretion in 8/17 (47.1%) cases where the diagnosis was unclear. In all 8 cases, thickened, aperistalsic bowel

1
2
3 with an extra-luminal fluid collection, highly suspicious of an intestinal perforation, was
4 identified.
5
6
7
8
9

10 These 17 patients underwent laparotomy with a median time to surgery of 2 days (1-
11 5) from the date of first suspicion of NEC. Findings at surgery were: localised distal
12 ileal disease with perforation in 9 (52.9%), multi-focal NEC in 5 (29.4%), right iliac
13 fossa inflammatory mass in 2 (11.8%) and extensive bowel necrosis in 2 (11.8%). A
14 diverting ileostomy with a mucus fistula was fashioned in 13 (76.5%) and a high
15 jejunostomy with a mucus fistula in 4 (23.5%).
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Five out of 17 (29.4%) patients developed a post-operative wound dehiscence of
27 which one developed an enterocutaneous fistulae. One patient, after their initial
28 laparotomy and ileostomy formation, developed a mass within the right iliac fossa and
29 another perforation requiring a relaparotomy and bowel resection. Four weeks later,
30 the patient developed further ileal perforations requiring a third laparotomy, with
31 formation of another ileostomy and mucus fistula. Four months after the initial
32 operation, the patient had restoration of enteral continuity. There were no specific
33 stoma-related complications or intra-abdominal abscess identified in our study.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 In this group of babies with surgically managed NEC, survival to discharge was 8/17
47 (47.1%). The proportion of babies with periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) was 4/17
48 (23.5%), and the proportion of babies with intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH) was
49 15/17 (88.2%).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 **4. DISCUSSION**

59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 We report our experiences and outcomes of babies born <24 weeks of gestational age
7
8 over a period of 6 years, with a special regard to the occurrence of NEC. Out of 56
9
10 included babies, NEC was diagnosed in 31 (55.3%) of which 17 (30.4%) underwent
11
12 surgery for NEC. We found no evidence of an increased risk of NEC associated with
13
14 any of the risk factors examined. Survival to discharge in babies diagnosed with NEC
15
16 was similar to babies with no NEC, at 48.4% vs 52.0%, regardless of the need for
17
18 surgery. Development of NEC reduced survival by less than 10%, and surgery did not
19
20 have an additional impact.
21
22
23
24
25

26
27 There was no statistically significant evidence of worse neurological injury in babies
28
29 diagnosed with NEC. The overall numbers of babies with any degree of IVH was higher
30
31 within the NEC cohort, however the numbers of IVH III and IV were similar to the
32
33 numbers in the control cohort. There was a trend towards a higher rate of PVL in
34
35 babies diagnosed with NEC. However, for this study, neuropathological findings were
36
37 not correlated with neurodevelopmental outcomes. This will be the subject of a longer
38
39 term follow up study.
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 The incidence of NEC in our study is higher than commonly described in studies
47
48 reporting outcomes in extremely premature infants (1,3–7). This is likely due to the
49
50 fact that extreme prematurity and low birth weight are the two strongest risk factors for
51
52 development of NEC. This is one of the first studies exclusively analysing outcomes
53
54 for babies at the low end of gestational ages and weight, as opposed to previously
55
56 published studies which tended to include babies up to a gestational age of 28 weeks.
57
58 The 2006 EPICURE2 Study reported survival of live born babies to be only 2% (n=3)
59
60

1
2
3 for those born at 22 weeks' gestation, and 19% (n=66) at 23 weeks' gestation but
4 when antenatal corticosteroids are given and babies are actively treated after birth,
5 outcomes are much better (18). Around the same time, survival rates of 30% for babies
6 born at 22 weeks were reported from around the world, with similar
7 neurodevelopmental outcomes as for babies born at 23 or 24 weeks (15–17,19). This
8 progress was backed up by the National Institute of Child Health and Human
9 Development (NICHD)-funded Neonatal Research Network (NRN), reporting survival
10 rates of 25% for babies born at 22 weeks (20). Survival in our study was 52% in our
11 control group of infants that did not develop NEC. This is higher than reported in the
12 literature. However, the survival rate reported is skewed by the exclusion of patients
13 that did not survive beyond 24 hours as part of this study. Developing NEC and
14 requiring surgery in this cohort did not have an additional impact in terms of outcome
15 when comparing it to our control cohort.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 A protective effect of maternal breast milk on development of NEC has been described
36 before (21,22). In our unit, we have a high exposure rate of premature babies to breast
37 milk as their first enteral feed. There is some uncertainty about the impact of antenatal
38 MgSO₄ on the risk of developing NEC. Some studies have reported a positive
39 association, especially in infants of very low gestational age (10,11) whilst others
40 report a protective effect (23). Whilst in our cohort, no differences were seen in regards
41 to MgSO₄ administration, or exposure to breast milk as first enteral feed in premature
42 babies, we would continue to recommend maternal breast milk as a first enteral feed,
43 where possible.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 We found that the clinical presentation of extremely premature babies with NEC was
4 atypical, but very much in concordance with the findings published by Battersby et. al,
5 that the clinical presentation of NEC can vary, dependent on gestational age (24). With
6 this, we acknowledge that without a reliable biomarker for NEC, identification of
7 patients, especially in retrospect, is challenging, and for our study, poses a limitation.
8 Of the 17 babies who underwent surgery for NEC, only around two thirds showed a
9 rise in CRP or a drop in platelets. Similarly, only a minority showed typical
10 appearances on plain radiography with pneumatosis in 17.7% and pneumoperitoneum
11 in 17.7%. We do not have a hypothesis for this lack in typical biochemical and
12 radiological features, however, absence of a CRP rise could possibly be explained by
13 an immature immune system being unable to mount a significant CRP response.
14 Interestingly, where ultrasound scanning was deployed in unclear cases, features
15 highly suspicious for intestinal perforation were identified in all cases. As a department,
16 we have used ultrasound imaging as an adjunct to plain radiography and clinical
17 assessment, when the diagnosis has been in doubt. Our radiologists have been able
18 to perform this at the bedside and have developed a wealth of experience in assessing
19 the nature of the bowel, and looking specifically for collections and aperistalsic bowel,
20 which would be suggestive of a perforation. Conversely, at laparotomy a very typical
21 pattern of disease was found, with the majority located in the distal ileum and the
22 remainder multi-focal.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 The rate of surgical complications was surprisingly low, with only 5 out of 17 babies
52 (29.4%) developing wound dehiscences and no reported stomal complications other
53 than one enterocutaneous fistula. It is not clear why this complication rate was so low
54 given the fragility of the cohort. The patient who underwent multiple laparotomies
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 appeared to have recurrent disease rather than complications of their initial surgery.
4
5 Regarding neurological complications, we have only been able to analyse structural
6
7 findings on imaging as our length of follow up was only to hospital discharge. More
8
9 definitive neurodevelopmental outcomes as these babies grow into childhood will be
10
11 of great interest.
12
13

14
15
16
17 The key strength of our study is the completeness of data collection and follow up to
18
19 the time of discharge, with a full data set for all infants included. However, the small
20
21 sample size is the key limitation of our study. According to figures from the Office of
22
23 National Statistics (www.ons.gov.uk) for England and Wales, in 2018, the reported
24
25 birth rates were 166 per annum for infants born at 22 weeks of gestation, and 313 per
26
27 annum for infants born at 23 weeks of gestation, which in total is 479 babies each
28
29 year. Given a population of roughly 10 million people in London, and our centre being
30
31 one of 10 tertiary referral centres this equals a number of 47 babies <24 weeks we
32
33 would expect to see in the course of six years. The 56 infants included, is similar to
34
35 this estimate. Nevertheless, it remains a small cohort, and therefore, the study is
36
37 unlikely to be adequately powered to assess the impact of several potentially
38
39 interrelated risk factors. It is also a retrospective study and so there may be
40
41 unmeasured, confounding factors at play, including temporal trends. Given the rarity
42
43 and heterogeneity of NEC, its presentation and its management, a large scale,
44
45 prospective study, in collaboration with the British Association for Perinatal Medicine
46
47 (BAPM) looking at this cohort, would be of great interest, to better define risk factors,
48
49 diagnostic tools and optimal treatment strategies. These need to include long term
50
51 follow up in order to determine the neurological outcomes for these infants.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In conclusion, the diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation can be
4 challenging. Ultrasound scanning may be a useful modality in addition to more
5 conventionally used radiological methods. Although mortality remains high, survival in
6 surgically managed patients can be similar to those developing NEC not requiring
7 surgery or even in those not developing NEC at all. Therefore, surgery should be
8 considered an integral part of intensive care for babies born at the threshold of viability.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 **Acknowledgements**

20
21 We would like to acknowledge Dr. Salma Ayis, senior lecturer at King's College
22 London, and thank her very much for her help with the statistical analyses for this
23 study.
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Funding Statement:**

31
32 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
33 commercial or not-for-profit sectors.
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **What is already known on this topic:**

41
42 NEC is a potentially devastating condition, affecting approximately 10% of prematurely
43 born neonates. The main risk factor for development of NEC is prematurity. The
44 threshold for active treatment of preterm neonates is currently considered to be 22
45 weeks gestational age and there is currently not much data on surgical outcomes for
46 this cohort.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 **What this study adds:**

1
2
3 This study adds valuable information on surgical outcomes in babies born under 24
4 weeks of gestational age suffering from NEC. It highlights the importance of the use
5 of ultrasound as an additional diagnostic tool when the diagnosis is unclear and it
6 shows that surgery in this small cohort of patients had no major impact on outcomes
7 in regards to survival to discharge, IVH, or PVL. Surgery should therefore be offered,
8 when indicated, for this most vulnerable cohort.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 **How this study might affect clinical practice:**

20
21 Our study shows that babies in this cohort can have a good outcome with a 48.4%
22 survival to discharge in those requiring surgery. In line with the BAPM statement, we
23 are likely to see more extremely premature infants under 24 weeks gestation in the
24 future. This study will enable clinicians to discuss the prognosis with parents and the
25 wider team. We also feel that our results might affect clinical practice by giving
26 clinicians data an awareness of the impact of NEC on outcomes, and may reassure
27 them that surgery is a reasonable intervention.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Stoll BJ. Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 21, Clinics in Perinatology. W.B. Saunders; 1994 [cited 2021 May 11]. p. 205–18. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8070222/>
2. MacTier H, Bates SE, Johnston T, Lee-Davey C, Marlow N, Mulley K, et al. Perinatal management of extreme preterm birth before 27 weeks of gestation: A framework for practice [Internet]. Vol. 105, Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal and Neonatal Edition. BMJ Publishing Group; 2020 [cited 2021 May 16]. p. F232–9. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
3. Horbar JD, Badger GJ, Carpenter JH, Fanaroff AA, Kilpatrick S, Lacorte M, et al. Trends in mortality and morbidity for very low birth weight infants, 1991-1999. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2021 May 16];110(1 I):143–51. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12093960/>
4. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Walsh MC, et al. Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2021 May 16];126(3):443–56. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20732945/>
5. Wilson-Costello D, Friedman H, Minich N, Siner B, Taylor G, Schluchter M, et al. Improved neurodevelopmental outcomes for extremely low birth weight infants in 2000-2002. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2007 Jan [cited 2021 May 16];119(1):37–45. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17200269/>
6. Guthrie SO, Gordon P V., Thomas V, Thorp JA, Peabody J, Clark RH. Necrotizing enterocolitis among neonates in the United States [Internet]. Vol. 23, Journal of Perinatology. J Perinatol; 2003 [cited 2021 May 16]. p. 278–85. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12774133/>

- 1
2
3 7. Costeloe K, Hardy P, Juszczak E, Wilks M, Millar MR. Bifidobacterium breve
4 BBG-001 in very preterm infants: a randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*
5 [Internet]. 2016 Feb 13 [cited 2021 Sep 1];387(10019):649–60. Available from:
6
7 <http://www.thelancet.com/article/S0140673615010272/fulltext>
8
9
- 10
11
12 8. Behrman RE, Stoll BJ, Kanto WP, Glass RI, Nahmias AJ, Brann AW.
13
14 Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis: A case control study. *J Pediatr*
15 [Internet]. 1980 [cited 2021 May 11];96(3 PART 1):447–51. Available from:
16
17 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6767010/>
18
19
- 20
21 9. Patole SK, De Klerk N. Impact of standardised feeding regimens on incidence
22
23 of neonatal necrotising enterocolitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of
24
25 observational studies [Internet]. Vol. 90, *Archives of Disease in Childhood:*
26
27 *Fetal and Neonatal Edition*. BMJ Publishing Group; 2005 [cited 2021 May 11].
28
29 p. F147–51. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
30
31
- 32
33 10. Rattray BN, Kraus DM, Drinker LR, Goldberg RN, Tanaka DT, Cotten CM.
34
35 Antenatal magnesium sulfate and spontaneous intestinal perforation in infants
36
37 less than 25 weeks gestation. *J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2014 Nov 5 [cited 2022
38
39 Mar 15];34(11):819–22. Available from:
40
41 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24901451/>
42
43
- 44
45 11. Kamyar M, Clark E, Yoder B, Varner M, Manuck T. Antenatal Magnesium
46
47 Sulfate, Necrotizing Enterocolitis, and Death among Neonates. *AJP Rep*
48 [Internet]. 2016 Mar 31 [cited 2022 Mar 15];6(1):e148–54. Available from:
49
50 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27054046/>
51
52
- 53
54 12. Robinson JR, Rellinger EJ, Hatch LD, Weitkamp JH, Speck KE, Danko M, et
55
56 al. Surgical necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 41, *Seminars in*
57
58 *Perinatology*. W.B. Saunders; 2017 [cited 2021 Mar 2]. p. 70–9. Available
59
60

- 1
2
3 from: /pmc/articles/PMC5777619/
4
5
6 13. Blakely ML, Tyson JE, Lally KP, McDonald S, Stoll BJ, Stevenson DK, et al.
7
8 Laparotomy versus peritoneal drainage for necrotizing enterocolitis or isolated
9
10 intestinal perforation in extremely low birth weight infants: Outcomes through
11
12 18 months adjusted age. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2021 Mar 2];117(4).
13
14 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16549503/>
15
16
17 14. Hintz SR, Kendrick DE, Stoll BJ, Vohr BR, Fanaroff AA, Donovan EF, et al.
18
19 Neurodevelopmental and growth outcomes of extremely low birth weight
20
21 infants after necrotizing enterocolitis. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2005 Mar [cited
22
23 2021 Mar 2];115(3):696–703. Available from:
24
25 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15741374/>
26
27
28 15. Norman M, Hallberg B, Abrahamsson T, Björklund LJ, Domellöf M, Farooqi A,
29
30 et al. Association between Year of Birth and 1-Year Survival among Extremely
31
32 Preterm Infants in Sweden during 2004–2007 and 2014–2016. *JAMA - J Am*
33
34 *Med Assoc* [Internet]. 2019 Mar 26 [cited 2021 May 26];321(12):1188–99.
35
36 Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
37
38
39 16. Mehler K, Oberthuer A, Keller T, Becker I, Valter M, Roth B, et al. Survival
40
41 among infants born at 22 or 23 weeks' gestation following active prenatal and
42
43 postnatal care. *JAMA Pediatr* [Internet]. 2016 Jul 1 [cited 2021 May
44
45 26];170(7):671–7. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
46
47
48
49 17. Watkins PL, Dagle JM, Bell EF, Colaizy TT. Outcomes at 18 to 22 Months of
50
51 Corrected Age for Infants Born at 22 to 25 Weeks of Gestation in a Center
52
53 Practicing Active Management. *J Pediatr* [Internet]. 2020 Feb 1 [cited 2021
54
55 May 26];217:52–58.e1. Available from:
56
57 <http://www.jpeds.com/article/S0022347619310868/fulltext>
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 18. Costeloe KL, Hennessy EM, Haider S, Stacey F, Marlow N, Draper ES. Short
4 term outcomes after extreme preterm birth in England: Comparison of two birth
5 cohorts in 1995 and 2006 (the EPICure studies). *BMJ* [Internet]. 2012 Dec 8
6 [cited 2021 May 26];345(7886). Available from:
7
8 <http://www.bmj.com/content/345/bmj.e7976?tab=related#webextra>
9
10
11
- 12
13 19. Kusuda S, Fujimura M, Sakuma I, Aotani H, Kabe K, Itani Y, et al. Morbidity
14 and mortality of infants with very low birth weight in Japan: Center variation.
15 *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2006 Oct 1 [cited 2021 May 26];118(4):e1130–8.
16 Available from: <https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/118/4/e1130>
17
18
19
- 20
21 20. Rysavy MA, Li L, Bell EF, Das A, Hintz SR, Stoll BJ, et al. Between-Hospital
22 Variation in Treatment and Outcomes in Extremely Preterm Infants. *N Engl J*
23 *Med* [Internet]. 2015 May 7 [cited 2021 May 26];372(19):1801–11. Available
24 from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1410689>
25
26
27
- 28
29 21. Cortez J, Makker K, Kraemer DF, Neu J, Sharma R, Hudak ML. Maternal milk
30 feedings reduce sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis and improve outcomes of
31 premature infants. *J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Apr
32 20];38(1):71–4. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29048409/>
33
34
35
- 36
37 22. Sisk PM, Lambeth TM, Rojas MA, Lightbourne T, Barahona M, Anthony E, et
38 al. Necrotizing Enterocolitis and Growth in Preterm Infants Fed Predominantly
39 Maternal Milk, Pasteurized Donor Milk, or Preterm Formula: A Retrospective
40 Study. *Am J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2017 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Apr 20];34(7):676–83.
41 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27936476/>
42
43
44
- 45
46 23. Mikhael M, Bronson C, Zhang L, Curran M, Rodriguez H, Bhakta KY. Lack of
47 Evidence for Time or Dose Relationship between Antenatal Magnesium
48 Sulfate and Intestinal Injury in Extremely Preterm Neonates. *Neonatology*
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [Internet]. 2019 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Mar 15];115(4):371–8. Available from:
4
5 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30965340/>
6
7

- 8 24. Battersby C, Longford N, Costeloe K, Modi N. Development of a Gestational
9
10 Age-Specific Case Definition for Neonatal Necrotizing Enterocolitis. JAMA
11
12 Pediatr [Internet]. 2017 Mar 1 [cited 2022 Aug 15];171(3):256–63. Available
13
14 from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28046187/>
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

BMJ Paediatrics Open

OUTCOMES FOR NECROTISING ENTEROCOLITIS (NEC) IN BABIES BORN AT THE THRESHOLD OF VIABILITY: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2022-001583.R4
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Oct-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Vallant, Natalie; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Haffenden, Verity; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Paediatric Surgery Peatman, Oliver; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Khan, Hammad; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Lee, Geraint; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Thakkar, Hemanshoo; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Yardley, Iain; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery
Keywords:	Neonatology, Resuscitation, Therapeutics, Mortality

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

OUTCOMES FOR NECROTISING ENTEROCOLITIS (NEC) IN BABIES BORN AT THE THRESHOLD OF VIABILITY: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

Natalie Vallant, MD, PhD¹, Verity Haffenden, MBBS, MSc, MRCS¹, Oliver Peatman², Hammad Khan, FRCP², Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS², Hemanshoo Thakkar, MBBS, BSc, FRCS(Paed Surg)¹, Iain Yardley, BM, MPH, FRCS (Paed Surg)^{1,3}

¹Department of Paediatric Surgery, Evelina London Children's Hospital

²Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine, Evelina London Children's Hospital

³King's College London, School of Health and Life Sciences

Correspondence:

Geraint Lee, Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS, Consultant Neonatologist, Department of Neonatology, Evelina London Children's Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, London, SE1 7EH. Tel: +44 7967 665008, email: geraint.lee@gstt.nhs.uk

Contributorship Statement:

NV: Manuscript preparation and revision, data collection; VH: Statistical analysis, data collection; OP: Data collection; HK: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; GL: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; HT: Project supervision, preparation of manuscript, statistical analysis, data collection; IY: Conception of the study, project supervision, statistical analysis, manuscript revision.

Keywords:

Necrotising Enterocolitis, Prematurity, Neonatology, Extreme Prematurity, Outcomes

Word Count: 3032 Words

ABSTRACT

Background: The threshold for active management of babies born prematurely in the UK is currently 22 weeks. The optimal management strategy for necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in babies born at or near this threshold remains unclear.

Aim: To review our institutional experience of babies born <24 weeks diagnosed with NEC, identify risk factors for NEC, and compare outcomes with a control cohort.

Methods: All infants born <24 weeks gestation January 2015-December 2021 were identified. Babies diagnosed with NEC were defined as cases and babies with no NEC diagnosis as controls. Patient demographics, clinical features, complications, and outcomes were extracted from the medical record and compared between cases and controls.

Results: Of 56 babies, 31 (55.3%) were treated for NEC. There was no difference in NEC specific risk factors between cases and controls. 17 babies (30.4%) underwent surgery, of these, 11/17 (64.7%) presented with a CRP rise and 11/17 (64.7%) a fall in platelet count. Pneumatosis intestinalis (3/17 (17.7%)) or pneumoperitoneum (3/17 (17.7%)) were present in only a minority of cases. Abdominal ultrasound demonstrated intestinal perforation in 8/8 cases. The surgical complication rate was 5/17 (29.4%). There was no difference in incidence of IVH, PVL and survival to discharge between the groups.

Conclusions:

The diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation is challenging with inconsistent clinical and radiological features. Ultrasound scanning is a useful imaging modality. Mortality was comparable regardless of a diagnosis of NEC. Low gestational age is not a contraindication to surgical intervention in NEC.

1. INTRODUCTION

Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) is a potentially devastating condition, especially when surgical treatment becomes necessary. The true incidence of NEC is difficult to discern with variabilities in diagnostic criteria and data collection posing significant challenges. The most consistent finding in published reports of NEC is that the incidence has been relatively stable over time in very low birth weight (VLBW) infants (<1500g at birth). Stoll et al.(1) reported an incidence of 10% for NEC within this cohort in 1994, which is still commonly cited (2–5). This incidence of 10% has also been reported in the PiPS trial in 2016; a multicenter UK trial investigating the effect of probiotics on the development of NEC and late onset sepsis (6). The incidence of “surgical” NEC is easier to determine however, and has not changed significantly over the past decade, still affecting 1 to 3 per 1000 live births and 1% to 5% of infants admitted to Neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) (1).

In the UK, the improved survival of babies born at 22 weeks of gestation led the British Association of Perinatal Medicine (BAPM) to update their guidelines regarding perinatal management of these babies. They recommend that decisions should not be based on gestational age alone but should reflect ‘assessment of the baby’s prognosis taking into account multiple factors’ and follow multi-professional discussions with parents (7).

The primary risk factor for the development of NEC is prematurity (8). Well accepted secondary risk factors are intrauterine growth retardation, the presence of pathogenic organisms in the gastrointestinal tract, bowel ischaemia, enteral feeding and variations

1
2
3 in clinical practice regarding feeding (e.g. breast milk versus donor breast milk or
4 formula), and antibiotic treatment protocols (9). The administration of antenatal
5 maternal magnesium sulphate ($MgSO_4$), intended as a neuroprotective measure, has
6 been suggested to be an additional risk factor for the development of NEC (10,11). In
7 established NEC, clinical deterioration despite maximal medical therapy, or the
8 presence of pneumoperitoneum on abdominal x-ray represent the most common
9 indications for surgical treatment, however, robust studies linking outcomes with
10 specific indications for surgical interventions are lacking (12).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 The mortality rate of VLBW infants with surgical NEC is between 50% and 72%, and
25 neurodevelopmental impairment is highly likely to occur in survivors (13,14).
26 Nevertheless, boundaries continue to be reset in regards to the minimal gestational
27 age of babies at which active treatment should be considered, and with this, survival
28 outcomes remain a focus of interest. Contemporary reports from European centers
29 report survival rates of live-born extremely premature neonates to have improved
30 significantly over the last two decades (15). In live-born infants of 22 or 23 weeks
31 gestational age, survival has been reported to reach almost 50% where active care is
32 offered (16). Similar outcomes were observed in a single center study in Iowa, with a
33 reported survival to hospital discharge of 78% amongst NICU admissions at 22 or 23
34 weeks gestational age (17). The British Association of Perinatal Medicine report that,
35 despite being born alive and receiving active stabilization, around 7 out of 10 babies
36 (51-79%) within the 22 week cohort die, and 6 out of 10 babies (56-68%) within the 23
37 week cohort die (7). Given the fragility and high mortality of babies born under 24
38 weeks gestation, the appropriateness of undertaking surgical intervention in this cohort
39 for NEC or other abdominal emergency remains unclear. Anecdotally, many neonatal
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 surgeons would not offer surgery for a baby under 24 weeks gestation on the grounds
4 of futility. Others, however, would be prepared to attempt a laparotomy in these babies.
5
6
7
8
9

10 We aimed to further clarify the impact of NEC and the role of surgery in its
11 management in babies born extremely prematurely by reviewing our institutional
12 experience of babies born under 24 weeks completed gestational age. We set out to
13 identify any potential risk factors for the development of NEC specifically in these
14 babies. We compared the outcomes of babies diagnosed with NEC to a control group
15 of equal gestational age but without a diagnosis of NEC. Furthermore, we compared
16 the outcomes of babies undergoing surgery for NEC with those receiving only medical
17 management for NEC and the control group without NEC to help define the role of
18 surgery.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 2. METHODS

34
35
36
37

38 All infants admitted to our institution's neonatal unit born <24 weeks gestation (22+0-
39 23+6) between January 2015 and December 2021 were identified through the
40 electronic medical record (BadgerNet, Clevermed Ltd.). Gestational age was
41 calculated from the mother's first dating scan. Babies born both in our institution or in
42 referring units, and subsequently transferred to our institution, were included. Subjects
43 were divided into two groups: cases, where a diagnosis of NEC was made and
44 controls, where no diagnosis of NEC was made, to examine potential risk factors for
45 NEC. Cases of NEC were defined as those with a documented diagnosis of NEC on
46 their clinical record and treated as such with either a minimum of 5 days of intravenous
47 antibiotics in combination with gut rest or surgical intervention. During the period of
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

our study, pneumoperitoneum was considered an absolute indication for surgical intervention and failure to improve clinically despite maximal medical treatment a relative indication. Those who underwent surgery were only included as cases where NEC was confirmed histologically in a resection specimen. Controls were babies born <24 weeks gestational age, who throughout their admission did not receive a diagnosis of NEC. Matching of cases and controls was not undertaken due to the likely small numbers of babies included and the risk of matching for a potentially significant factor.

2.1. Exclusion criteria

Babies who died within 24 hours of birth and babies who underwent a laparotomy for suspected NEC but were found to have an alternative diagnosis were excluded.

2.2. Data collection

Patient demographics, clinical features at the time of diagnosis of NEC, biochemical and radiological findings, surgical details, complications and survival data were extracted from the electronic medical record (BadgerNet). Commonly recognised potential risk factors for NEC were recorded including: the use of ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) closure, the use of blood products, maternal chorioamnionitis, prolonged rupture of membranes, use of umbilical catheters and type of enteral feed given. Data on maternal age, smoking status, use of antenatal MgSO₄ as well as steroids were obtained from maternal medical records. Outcome measures included the grade of intra-ventricular haemorrhage identified on routine cranial ultrasound screening, development of periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) and survival to discharge.

1
2
3 The study was registered locally as a clinical service evaluation project (Number:
4 13449) and was not deemed to require further approval from an ethics committee as
5
6 it involved anonymised, retrospective data collection only.
7
8
9

10 11 12 **2.3. Statistical analysis**

13
14 For normally distributed data an independent samples T-test was used to compare
15 means. For non-normally distributed data a Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare
16 medians. Fisher's Exact test with Bonferroni correction was used to compare
17 categorical variables. IBM SPSS Statistics v.17 was used for all statistical analysis.
18
19 P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 29 **2.4. Patient and public involvement**

30 Patients and the public were not involved in conducting this research.
31
32
33
34

35 36 **3. RESULTS**

37 38 39 **3.1. Patient Demographics**

40
41 66 babies were born <24 weeks during the 6-year study period. 6 were excluded as
42 they died within 24 hours of birth. Despite initial resuscitation for these babies, they
43 failed to respond to intensive care treatment and their care was re-directed. A further
44 4 babies who underwent a laparotomy but were not found to have NEC were excluded
45 (3 babies were found to have intussusception and one baby had peritoneal
46 extravasation of parenteral nutrition). This left a cohort of 56 babies included in the
47 study. Of 56 babies, 31 (55.4%) developed NEC during their admission (cases) whilst
48 25 (44.6%) did not and served as controls. The median gestational age was 23 weeks
49 in both groups with 7 babies (2 controls and 5 cases) born at 22 weeks gestation.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

There was no statistically significant difference in weight or gender distribution although a notable preponderance of male infants was found in the cases as opposed to the reverse in the controls. Table 1 summarises the key demographics of cases and controls.

Table 1 – Demographic data

	Cases (NEC)	Controls	P
	n=31	n=25	value
Median gestational age, range (weeks)	23 (22+5 - 23+6)	23 (22+6 - 23+6)	0.365
Mean \pm SD birthweight (g)	579 \pm 73	592 \pm 59	0.582
Gender (M:F)	18:13	9:16	0.116

3.2. Antenatal- and post-natal risk factors for NEC

Table 2 summarises the potential ante-natal and post-natal risk factors for NEC in cases and controls. Considering the small numbers of babies included and the large number of variables, no statistical analysis was attempted due to the high chance of a type 1 error. At our unit, trophic feeds up to a volume of 30ml/kg/day expressed maternal breast milk (EBM), or alternatively donor expressed breast milk (DEBM) would have been given to every baby from the day of birth, assuming the abdomen remained soft and not distended, gastric aspirates were low volume, and there was no other contraindication.

Table 2 – Antenatal data and potential post-natal risk factors for NEC

	Cases <i>n=31</i>	Controls <i>n=25</i>
Median maternal age (years)	33	31
MgSO ₄ received (n (%))	19 (61.2%)	20 (80%)
Antenatal steroids received (n (%))	29 (93.5%)	24 (96%)
Maternal chorioamnionitis (n (%))	11 (35.5%)	14 (56%)
Prolonged rupture of membranes (n (%))	1 (3.2%)	3 (12%)
Maternal smoker (n (%))	3 (9.6%)	2 (8%)
Ibuprofen use for PDA closure (n (%))	6 (19.3%)	4(16%)
Blood products given to baby (n (%))	31 (100%)	25 (100%)
Umbilical catheter use (n (%))	30 (96.8%)	24 (96%)
Maternal Breastmilk as first enteral feed (n (%))	25 (80.6%)	24 (96%)

3.3. Outcomes

Cranial ultrasound findings and survival outcomes are summarised in Table 3.

Intra-ventricular hemorrhage (IVH) and Peri-ventricular leukomalacia (PVL) may be correlated with poor neurological outcomes but neurological or developmental testing was not performed as part of this study. Survival to discharge was similar in the two groups.

Table 3 – Cranial ultrasound findings and survival data

Cases <i>n=31</i>	Controls <i>n=25</i>
-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Presence of any grade of IVH (%)	25 (80.6%)	17 (68.0%)	p=0.357
IVH grade (%)			p=0.282
Nil	6 (19.4%)	8 (32%)	
I	5 (16.1%)	0 (0%)	
II	6 (19.4%)	6 (24%)	
III	5 (16.1%)	4 (16%)	
IV	9 (29.0%)	7 (28%)	
PVL diagnosis (%)	6 (19.4%)	2 (8.0%)	p=0.277
Survival to discharge (%)	15 (48.4%)	13 (52.0%)	p=1.000

3.4. *Clinical features and outcomes of babies receiving only medical treatment for NEC (n=14)*

The median age at the onset of suspected NEC was 12 days (3-83 days). Median CRP at the time of first clinical suspicion of NEC was 6.5mg/L (<1-93mg/L). 5 of 14 babies (35.7%) had a rise in their CRP from the previous day when first suspected to have NEC. Median platelet count was $124 \times 10^9/L$ ($33-517 \times 10^9/L$) with 5/14 (35.7%) patients experiencing a drop in the platelet count at the time of initial diagnosis. Survival to discharge was 7/14 (50%), the proportion of babies with PVL was 2/14 (14.2%), and the proportion with IVH 10/14 (71.4%).

3.5. *Clinical features and outcomes of babies undergoing surgical treatment for NEC (n=17)*

1
2
3 The median age at the onset of suspected NEC was 14 days (4-36 days). Median
4
5 CRP at the time of first clinical suspicion of NEC was 23mg/L (<1-250mg/L). Eleven of
6
7 17 babies (64.7%) had a rise in their CRP from the previous day when first suspected
8
9 to have NEC. Median platelet count was $108 \times 10^9/L$ ($13-289 \times 10^9/L$) with 11/18 (64.7%)
10
11 patients experiencing a drop in the platelet count at the time of initial diagnosis.
12
13
14
15

16
17 On plain radiography, pneumatosis intestinalis was evident in 3/17 cases (17.7%),
18
19 pneumoperitoneum in 3/17 (17.7%) and a paucity of intra-luminal gas in 4/17 (23.5%).
20
21 An abdominal USS was performed at the clinician's discretion in 8/17 (47.1%) cases
22
23 where the diagnosis was unclear. In all 8 cases, thickened, aperistalsic bowel with an
24
25 extra-luminal fluid collection, highly suspicious of an intestinal perforation, was
26
27 identified.
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 Seventeen patients underwent laparotomy with a median time to surgery of 2 days (1-
35
36 5) from the date of first suspicion of NEC. Findings at surgery were: localised distal
37
38 ileal disease with perforation in 9 (52.9%), multi-focal NEC in 5 (29.4%), right iliac
39
40 fossa inflammatory mass in 2 (11.8%) and extensive bowel necrosis in 2 (11.8%). A
41
42 diverting ileostomy with a mucus fistula was fashioned in 13 (76.5%) and a high
43
44 jejunostomy with a mucus fistula in 4 (23.5%).
45
46
47
48

49
50 Five out of 17 (29.4%) patients developed a post-operative wound dehiscence of
51
52 which one went on to form an enterocutaneous fistula. One patient, after their initial
53
54 laparotomy and ileostomy formation, developed a mass in the right iliac fossa and
55
56 another perforation requiring a repeat laparotomy and bowel resection. Four weeks
57
58 later, the patient developed further ileal perforations requiring a third laparotomy, with
59
60

1
2
3 formation of another ileostomy and mucus fistula. Four months after the initial
4 operation, the patient had restoration of enteral continuity. There were no stoma-
5 related complications or intra-abdominal abscess identified in our study cohort.
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 In this group of babies with surgically managed NEC, survival to discharge was 8/17
13 (47.1%). The proportion of babies with PVL was 4/17 (23.5%), and the proportion of
14 babies with IVH 15/17 (88.2%).
15
16
17
18

21 4. DISCUSSION

22
23
24
25
26 We report our experiences and outcomes of babies born <24 weeks of gestational age
27 over a period of 6 years, with a special regard to the occurrence of NEC. Of 56 included
28 babies, NEC was diagnosed in 31 (55.3%) of which 17 (30.4%) underwent surgery for
29 NEC. We found no evidence of an increased risk of NEC associated with any of the
30 risk factors examined. Survival to discharge in babies diagnosed with NEC was similar
31 to babies with no NEC, at 48.4% vs 52.0%, regardless of the need for surgery.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Development of NEC reduced survival by less than 10%, and surgery did not have an
additional impact.

There was no statistically significant evidence of worse neurological injury in babies
diagnosed with NEC. The overall numbers of babies with any degree of IVH was higher
within the NEC cohort, however the numbers of IVH grades III and IV were similar to
the numbers in the control cohort. There was a trend towards a higher rate of PVL in
babies diagnosed with NEC. However, in this study, it was not possible to correlate

1
2
3 cranial ultrasound findings with neurodevelopmental outcomes. This will be the subject
4
5 of a longer term follow-up study.
6
7
8
9

10 The incidence of NEC in our study is higher than commonly described in studies
11 reporting outcomes in extremely premature infants (1–6). This is likely due to the fact
12 that extreme prematurity and low birth weight are the two strongest risk factors for
13 development of NEC. Our study includes only babies at the lowest end of gestational
14 ages and weight, as opposed to previously published studies which generally include
15 babies up to a gestational age of 28 weeks. The 2006 EPICURE2 Study reported
16 survival of live born babies to be only 2% (n=3) for those born at 22 weeks' gestation,
17 and 19% (n=66) at 23 weeks' gestation but when antenatal corticosteroids are given
18 and babies are actively treated after birth, outcomes are much better (18). Around the
19 same time, survival rates of 30% for babies born at 22 weeks were reported from
20 around the world, with similar neurodevelopmental outcomes as for babies born at 23
21 or 24 weeks (15–17,19). This progress was backed up by the National Institute of Child
22 Health and Human Development (NICHD)-funded Neonatal Research Network
23 (NRN), reporting survival rates of 25% for babies born at 22 weeks (20). Survival in
24 our study was 52% in our control group of infants that did not develop NEC. This is
25 higher than reported in the literature. However, the survival rate we report is skewed
26 by the exclusion of patients that did not survive beyond 24 hours. Developing NEC
27 and undergoing surgery did not appear to have a detrimental impact in terms of
28 outcome when compared to our control cohort.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 A protective effect of maternal breast milk on development of NEC has been described
57 previously (21,22). In our unit, we have a high exposure rate of premature babies to
58
59
60

1
2
3 breast milk as their first enteral feed. There is uncertainty about the impact of antenatal
4
5 MgSO₄ on the risk of developing NEC. Some studies have reported a positive
6
7 association, especially in infants of very low gestational age (10,11) whilst others
8
9 report a protective effect (23). The findings in our cohort were of a higher proportion
10
11 of controls (no diagnosis of NEC) receiving both MgSO₄ and maternal breast milk
12
13 compared to cases. However, the numbers are insufficient to definitively claim a
14
15 protective effect of either on the development of NEC and further, larger scale, studies
16
17 are still needed. In the meantime, we would continue to support the antenatal use of
18
19 MgSO₄ and maternal breast milk as a first enteral feed.
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 We found that the clinical presentation of NEC in these extremely premature babies
27
28 was atypical, but very much in concordance with the findings published by Battersby
29
30 et. al, that the clinical presentation of NEC can vary, dependent on gestational age
31
32 (24). With this, we acknowledge that without a reliable biomarker for NEC,
33
34 identification of patients, especially in retrospect, is challenging, and for our study,
35
36 poses a limitation. Of the 17 babies who underwent surgery for NEC, only around two
37
38 thirds showed a rise in CRP or a drop in platelets. Similarly, only a minority showed
39
40 typical appearances on plain radiography with pneumatosis in 17.7% and
41
42 pneumoperitoneum in 17.7%. We do not have a hypothesis for this lack in typical
43
44 biochemical and radiological features, however, absence of a CRP rise could possibly
45
46 be explained by an immature immune system being unable to mount a significant CRP
47
48 response. Interestingly, where ultrasound scanning was deployed in unclear cases,
49
50 features highly suspicious for intestinal perforation were identified in all cases. As a
51
52 department, we have used ultrasound imaging as an adjunct to plain radiography and
53
54 clinical assessment, when the diagnosis has been in doubt. Our radiologists are able
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to perform this at the cotside and have developed a wealth of experience in assessing
4 the nature of the bowel, and looking specifically for collections and aperistalsic bowel,
5 suggestive of a perforation. Conversely, at laparotomy a very typical pattern of disease
6 was found, with the majority located in the distal ileum and the remainder multi-focal.
7
8
9

10
11
12
13
14 The rate of surgical complications was surprisingly low, with only 5 out of 17 babies
15 (29.4%) developing wound dehiscences and no reported stomal complications other
16 than one enterocutaneous fistula. It is not clear why this complication rate was so low
17 given the fragility of the cohort. The patient who underwent multiple laparotomies
18 appeared to have recurrent disease rather than complications of their initial surgery.
19
20
21 Regarding neurological complications, we have only been able to assess findings on
22 cranial ultrasound imaging as we only followed patients to hospital discharge. More
23 definitive neurodevelopmental outcomes as these babies grow into childhood will be
24 of great interest.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 The key strength of our study is the completeness of data collection and follow up to
39 the time of discharge, with a full data set for all infants included. However, the small
40 sample size is the key limitation of our study. According to figures from the Office of
41 National Statistics (www.ons.gov.uk) for England and Wales, in 2018 the reported birth
42 rates were 166 per annum for infants born at 22 weeks of gestation, and 313 per
43 annum for infants born at 23 weeks of gestation, which in total is 479 babies each
44 year. Given a population of roughly 10 million people in London, and our centre being
45 one of 10 tertiary referral centres this equals a number of 47 babies <24 weeks we
46 would expect to see in the course of six years. The 56 infants included, is similar to
47 this estimate. Nevertheless, it remains a small cohort, and therefore, the study is
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 unlikely to be adequately powered to assess the impact of several potentially
4 interrelated risk factors. It is also a retrospective study and so there may be
5 unmeasured, confounding factors at play, including temporal trends. Given the rarity
6 and heterogeneity of NEC in both its presentation and management, a large scale,
7 prospective study looking at this cohort, in collaboration with the British Association
8 for Perinatal Medicine (BAPM), would be of great interest. It would enable us to better
9 define risk factors, diagnostic tools and optimal treatment strategies for NEC. Any such
10 study must include long term follow up to determine the neurological outcomes for
11 these infants.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 In conclusion, the diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation is more
27 challenging than in babies born at a later gestational age. The clinical picture can be
28 unclear with atypical radiological and highly variable haematological and biochemical
29 findings. Early diagnosis of NEC in these infants will require a high index of suspicion
30 and a heightened awareness of the differences in presentation seen in this population.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Acknowledgements

1
2
3 We would like to acknowledge Dr. Salma Ayis, senior lecturer at King's College
4 London, and thank her very much for her help with the statistical analyses for this
5
6
7
8 study.
9

10 11 12 **Funding Statement:**

13
14 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
15
16 commercial or not-for-profit sectors.
17
18

19 20 21 **What is already known on this topic:**

22
23 NEC is a potentially devastating condition, affecting approximately 10% of prematurely
24 born neonates. The main risk factor for development of NEC is prematurity. The
25
26 threshold for active treatment of preterm neonates is currently considered to be 22
27
28 weeks gestational age and there is currently not much data on surgical outcomes for
29
30
31 this cohort.
32
33
34

35 36 37 **What this study adds:**

38
39 This study adds valuable information on surgical outcomes in babies born under 24
40
41 weeks of gestational age suffering from NEC. It highlights the importance of the use
42
43 of ultrasound as an additional diagnostic tool when the diagnosis is unclear and it
44
45 shows that surgery in this small cohort of patients had no major impact on outcomes
46
47 in regards to survival to discharge, IVH, or PVL. Surgery should therefore be offered,
48
49 when indicated, for this most vulnerable cohort.
50
51
52
53

54 55 56 **How this study might affect clinical practice:**

1
2
3 Our study shows that babies in this cohort can have a good outcome with a 48.4%
4 survival to discharge in those requiring surgery. In line with the BAPM statement, we
5
6 are likely to see more extremely premature infants under 24 weeks gestation in the
7
8 future. This study will enable clinicians to discuss the prognosis with parents and the
9
10 wider team. We also feel that our results might affect clinical practice by giving
11
12 clinicians data an awareness of the impact of NEC on outcomes, and may reassure
13
14 them that surgery is a reasonable intervention.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Stoll BJ. Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 21, Clinics in Perinatology. W.B. Saunders; 1994 [cited 2021 May 11]. p. 205–18. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8070222/>
2. Horbar JD, Badger GJ, Carpenter JH, Fanaroff AA, Kilpatrick S, Lacorte M, et al. Trends in mortality and morbidity for very low birth weight infants, 1991-1999. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2021 May 16];110(1 1):143–51. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12093960/>
3. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Walsh MC, et al. Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2021 May 16];126(3):443–56. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20732945/>
4. Wilson-Costello D, Friedman H, Minich N, Siner B, Taylor G, Schluchter M, et al. Improved neurodevelopmental outcomes for extremely low birth weight infants in 2000-2002. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2007 Jan [cited 2021 May 16];119(1):37–45. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17200269/>
5. Guthrie SO, Gordon P V., Thomas V, Thorp JA, Peabody J, Clark RH. Necrotizing enterocolitis among neonates in the United States [Internet]. Vol. 23, Journal of Perinatology. J Perinatol; 2003 [cited 2021 May 16]. p. 278–85. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12774133/>
6. Costeloe K, Hardy P, Juszczak E, Wilks M, Millar MR. Bifidobacterium breve BBG-001 in very preterm infants: a randomised controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet [Internet]. 2016 Feb 13 [cited 2021 Sep 1];387(10019):649–60. Available from: <http://www.thelancet.com/article/S0140673615010272/fulltext>
7. MacTier H, Bates SE, Johnston T, Lee-Davey C, Marlow N, Mulley K, et al.

- 1
2
3 Perinatal management of extreme preterm birth before 27 weeks of gestation:
4 A framework for practice [Internet]. Vol. 105, Archives of Disease in Childhood:
5 Fetal and Neonatal Edition. BMJ Publishing Group; 2020 [cited 2021 May 16].
6 p. F232–9. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
7
8
9
10
11
12 8. Behrman RE, Stoll BJ, Kanto WP, Glass RI, Nahmias AJ, Brann AW.
13 Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis: A case control study. J Pediatr
14 [Internet]. 1980 [cited 2021 May 11];96(3 PART 1):447–51. Available from:
15 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6767010/>
16
17
18
19
20
21 9. Patole SK, De Klerk N. Impact of standardised feeding regimens on incidence
22 of neonatal necrotising enterocolitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of
23 observational studies [Internet]. Vol. 90, Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal
24 and Neonatal Edition. BMJ Publishing Group; 2005 [cited 2021 May 11]. p.
25 F147–51. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 10. Rattray BN, Kraus DM, Drinker LR, Goldberg RN, Tanaka DT, Cotten CM.
34 Antenatal magnesium sulfate and spontaneous intestinal perforation in infants
35 less than 25 weeks gestation. J Perinatol [Internet]. 2014 Nov 5 [cited 2022 Mar
36 15];34(11):819–22. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24901451/>
37
38
39
40
41
42 11. Kamyar M, Clark E, Yoder B, Varner M, Manuck T. Antenatal Magnesium
43 Sulfate, Necrotizing Enterocolitis, and Death among Neonates. AJP Rep
44 [Internet]. 2016 Mar 31 [cited 2022 Mar 15];6(1):e148–54. Available from:
45 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27054046/>
46
47
48
49
50
51 12. Robinson JR, Rellinger EJ, Hatch LD, Weitkamp JH, Speck KE, Danko M, et al.
52 Surgical necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 41, Seminars in Perinatology.
53 W.B. Saunders; 2017 [cited 2021 Mar 2]. p. 70–9. Available from:
54 [/pmc/articles/PMC5777619/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31111111/)
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 13. Blakely ML, Tyson JE, Lally KP, McDonald S, Stoll BJ, Stevenson DK, et al.
4
5 Laparotomy versus peritoneal drainage for necrotizing enterocolitis or isolated
6
7 intestinal perforation in extremely low birth weight infants: Outcomes through 18
8
9 months adjusted age. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2021 Mar 2];117(4).
10
11 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16549503/>
12
- 13 14. Hintz SR, Kendrick DE, Stoll BJ, Vohr BR, Fanaroff AA, Donovan EF, et al.
14
15 Neurodevelopmental and growth outcomes of extremely low birth weight infants
16
17 after necrotizing enterocolitis. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2005 Mar [cited 2021 Mar
18
19 2];115(3):696–703. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15741374/>
20
21
- 22 15. Norman M, Hallberg B, Abrahamsson T, Björklund LJ, Domellöf M, Farooqi A,
23
24 et al. Association between Year of Birth and 1-Year Survival among Extremely
25
26 Preterm Infants in Sweden during 2004-2007 and 2014-2016. *JAMA - J Am Med*
27
28 *Assoc* [Internet]. 2019 Mar 26 [cited 2021 May 26];321(12):1188–99. Available
29
30 from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
31
32
- 33 16. Mehler K, Oberthuer A, Keller T, Becker I, Valter M, Roth B, et al. Survival
34
35 among infants born at 22 or 23 weeks' gestation following active prenatal and
36
37 postnatal care. *JAMA Pediatr* [Internet]. 2016 Jul 1 [cited 2021 May
38
39 26];170(7):671–7. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
40
41
- 42 17. Watkins PL, Dagle JM, Bell EF, Colaizy TT. Outcomes at 18 to 22 Months of
43
44 Corrected Age for Infants Born at 22 to 25 Weeks of Gestation in a Center
45
46 Practicing Active Management. *J Pediatr* [Internet]. 2020 Feb 1 [cited 2021 May
47
48 26];217:52-58.e1. Available from:
49
50 <http://www.jpeds.com/article/S0022347619310868/fulltext>
51
52
- 53 18. Costeloe KL, Hennessy EM, Haider S, Stacey F, Marlow N, Draper ES. Short
54
55 term outcomes after extreme preterm birth in England: Comparison of two birth
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 cohorts in 1995 and 2006 (the EPICure studies). *BMJ* [Internet]. 2012 Dec 8
4 [cited 2021 May 26];345(7886). Available from:
5 <http://www.bmj.com/content/345/bmj.e7976?tab=related#webextra>
6
7
8
9
10
11 19. Kusuda S, Fujimura M, Sakuma I, Aotani H, Kabe K, Itani Y, et al. Morbidity and
12 mortality of infants with very low birth weight in Japan: Center variation.
13 *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2006 Oct 1 [cited 2021 May 26];118(4):e1130–8. Available
14 from: <https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/118/4/e1130>
15
16
17
18
19 20. Rysavy MA, Li L, Bell EF, Das A, Hintz SR, Stoll BJ, et al. Between-Hospital
20 Variation in Treatment and Outcomes in Extremely Preterm Infants. *N Engl J*
21 *Med* [Internet]. 2015 May 7 [cited 2021 May 26];372(19):1801–11. Available
22 from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1410689>
23
24
25
26
27
28 21. Cortez J, Makker K, Kraemer DF, Neu J, Sharma R, Hudak ML. Maternal milk
29 feedings reduce sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis and improve outcomes of
30 premature infants. *J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Apr
31 20];38(1):71–4. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29048409/>
32
33
34
35
36
37 22. Sisk PM, Lambeth TM, Rojas MA, Lightbourne T, Barahona M, Anthony E, et al.
38 Necrotizing Enterocolitis and Growth in Preterm Infants Fed Predominantly
39 Maternal Milk, Pasteurized Donor Milk, or Preterm Formula: A Retrospective
40 Study. *Am J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2017 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Apr 20];34(7):676–83.
41 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27936476/>
42
43
44
45
46
47 23. Mikhael M, Bronson C, Zhang L, Curran M, Rodriguez H, Bhakta KY. Lack of
48 Evidence for Time or Dose Relationship between Antenatal Magnesium Sulfate
49 and Intestinal Injury in Extremely Preterm Neonates. *Neonatology* [Internet].
50 2019 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Mar 15];115(4):371–8. Available from:
51 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30965340/>
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 24. Battersby C, Longford N, Costeloe K, Modi N. Development of a Gestational
4 Age-Specific Case Definition for Neonatal Necrotizing Enterocolitis. JAMA
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28046187/>

Confidential: For Review Only

BMJ Paediatrics Open

OUTCOMES FOR NECROTISING ENTEROCOLITIS (NEC) IN BABIES BORN AT THE THRESHOLD OF VIABILITY: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

Journal:	<i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjpo-2022-001583.R5
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	02-Nov-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Vallant, Natalie; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Haffenden, Verity; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Paediatric Surgery Peatman, Oliver; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Khan, Hammad; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Lee, Geraint; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine Thakkar, Hemanshoo; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery Yardley, Iain; Evelina London Children's Healthcare, Paediatric Surgery
Keywords:	Neonatology, Resuscitation, Therapeutics, Mortality

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

OUTCOMES FOR NECROTISING ENTEROCOLITIS (NEC) IN BABIES BORN AT THE THRESHOLD OF VIABILITY: A CASE-CONTROL STUDY

Natalie Vallant, MD, PhD¹, Verity Haffenden, MBBS, MSc, MRCS¹, Oliver Peatman², Hammad Khan, FRCP², Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS², Hemanshoo Thakkar, MBBS, BSc, FRCS(Paed Surg)¹, Iain Yardley, BM, MPH, FRCS (Paed Surg)^{1,3}

¹Department of Paediatric Surgery, Evelina London Children's Hospital

²Department of Neonatal and Perinatal Medicine, Evelina London Children's Hospital

³King's College London, School of Health and Life Sciences

Correspondence:

Geraint Lee, Geraint Lee, FRCPCH, MBBS, Consultant Neonatologist, Department of Neonatology, Evelina London Children's Hospital, Westminster Bridge Road, London, SE1 7EH. Tel: +44 7967 665008, email: geraint.lee@gstt.nhs.uk

Contributorship Statement:

NV: Manuscript preparation and revision, data collection; VH: Statistical analysis, data collection; OP: Data collection; HK: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; GL: clinical supervision of project, revision of manuscript; HT: Project supervision, preparation of manuscript, statistical analysis, data collection; IY: Conception of the study, project supervision, statistical analysis, manuscript revision.

Keywords:

Necrotising Enterocolitis, Prematurity, Neonatology, Extreme Prematurity, Outcomes

Word Count: 3032 Words

ABSTRACT

Background: The threshold for active management of babies born prematurely in the UK is currently 22 weeks. The optimal management strategy for necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in babies born at or near this threshold remains unclear.

Aim: To review our institutional experience of babies born <24 weeks diagnosed with NEC, identify risk factors for NEC, and compare outcomes with a control cohort.

Methods: All infants born <24 weeks gestation January 2015-December 2021 were identified. Babies diagnosed with NEC were defined as cases and babies with no NEC diagnosis as controls. Patient demographics, clinical features, complications, and outcomes were extracted from the medical record and compared between cases and controls.

Results: Of 56 babies, 31 (55.3%) were treated for NEC. There was no difference in NEC specific risk factors between cases and controls. 17 babies (30.4%) underwent surgery, of these, 11/17 (64.7%) presented with a CRP rise and 11/17 (64.7%) a fall in platelet count. Pneumatosis intestinalis (3/17 (17.7%)) or pneumoperitoneum (3/17 (17.7%)) were present in only a minority of cases. Abdominal ultrasound demonstrated intestinal perforation in 8/8 cases. The surgical complication rate was 5/17 (29.4%). There was no difference in incidence of IVH, PVL and survival to discharge between the groups.

Conclusions:

The diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation is challenging with inconsistent clinical and radiological features. Ultrasound scanning is a useful imaging modality. Mortality was comparable regardless of a diagnosis of NEC. Low gestational age is not a contraindication to surgical intervention in NEC.

1. INTRODUCTION

Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) is a potentially devastating condition, especially when surgical treatment becomes necessary. The true incidence of NEC is difficult to discern with variabilities in diagnostic criteria and data collection posing significant challenges. The most consistent finding in published reports of NEC is that the incidence has been relatively stable over time in very low birth weight (VLBW) infants (<1500g at birth). Stoll et al.(1) reported an incidence of 10% for NEC within this cohort in 1994, which is still commonly cited (2–5). This incidence of 10% has also been reported in the PiPS trial in 2016; a multicenter UK trial investigating the effect of probiotics on the development of NEC and late onset sepsis (6). The incidence of “surgical” NEC is easier to determine however, and has not changed significantly over the past decade, still affecting 1 to 3 per 1000 live births and 1% to 5% of infants admitted to Neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) (1).

In the UK, the improved survival of babies born at 22 weeks of gestation led the British Association of Perinatal Medicine (BAPM) to update their guidelines regarding perinatal management of these babies. They recommend that decisions should not be based on gestational age alone but should reflect ‘assessment of the baby’s prognosis taking into account multiple factors’ and follow multi-professional discussions with parents (7).

The primary risk factor for the development of NEC is prematurity (8). Well accepted secondary risk factors are intrauterine growth retardation, the presence of pathogenic organisms in the gastrointestinal tract, bowel ischaemia, enteral feeding and variations

1
2
3 in clinical practice regarding feeding (e.g. breast milk versus donor breast milk or
4 formula), and antibiotic treatment protocols (9). The administration of antenatal
5 maternal magnesium sulphate ($MgSO_4$), intended as a neuroprotective measure, has
6 been suggested to be an additional risk factor for the development of NEC (10,11). In
7 established NEC, clinical deterioration despite maximal medical therapy, or the
8 presence of pneumoperitoneum on abdominal x-ray represent the most common
9 indications for surgical treatment, however, robust studies linking outcomes with
10 specific indications for surgical interventions are lacking (12).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 The mortality rate of VLBW infants with surgical NEC is between 50% and 72%, and
25 neurodevelopmental impairment is highly likely to occur in survivors (13,14).
26 Nevertheless, boundaries continue to be reset in regards to the minimal gestational
27 age of babies at which active treatment should be considered, and with this, survival
28 outcomes remain a focus of interest. Contemporary reports from European centers
29 report survival rates of live-born extremely premature neonates to have improved
30 significantly over the last two decades (15). In live-born infants of 22 or 23 weeks
31 gestational age, survival has been reported to reach almost 50% where active care is
32 offered (16). Similar outcomes were observed in a single center study in Iowa, with a
33 reported survival to hospital discharge of 78% amongst NICU admissions at 22 or 23
34 weeks gestational age (17). The British Association of Perinatal Medicine report that,
35 despite being born alive and receiving active stabilization, around 7 out of 10 babies
36 (51-79%) within the 22 week cohort die, and 6 out of 10 babies (56-68%) within the 23
37 week cohort die (7). Given the fragility and high mortality of babies born under 24
38 weeks gestation, the appropriateness of undertaking surgical intervention in this cohort
39 for NEC or other abdominal emergency remains unclear. Anecdotally, many neonatal
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 surgeons would not offer surgery for a baby under 24 weeks gestation on the grounds
4 of futility. Others, however, would be prepared to attempt a laparotomy in these babies.
5
6
7
8
9

10 We aimed to further clarify the impact of NEC and the role of surgery in its
11 management in babies born extremely prematurely by reviewing our institutional
12 experience of babies born under 24 weeks completed gestational age. We set out to
13 identify any potential risk factors for the development of NEC specifically in these
14 babies. We compared the outcomes of babies diagnosed with NEC to a control group
15 of equal gestational age but without a diagnosis of NEC. Furthermore, we compared
16 the outcomes of babies undergoing surgery for NEC with those receiving only medical
17 management for NEC and the control group without NEC to help define the role of
18 surgery.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 2. METHODS

34
35
36
37

38 All infants admitted to our institution's neonatal unit born <24 weeks gestation (22+0-
39 23+6) between January 2015 and December 2021 were identified through the
40 electronic medical record (BadgerNet, Clevermed Ltd.). Gestational age was
41 calculated from the mother's first dating scan. Babies born both in our institution or in
42 referring units, and subsequently transferred to our institution, were included. Subjects
43 were divided into two groups: cases, where a diagnosis of NEC was made and
44 controls, where no diagnosis of NEC was made, to examine potential risk factors for
45 NEC. Cases of NEC were defined as those with a documented diagnosis of NEC on
46 their clinical record and treated as such with either a minimum of 5 days of intravenous
47 antibiotics in combination with gut rest or surgical intervention. During the period of
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

our study, pneumoperitoneum was considered an absolute indication for surgical intervention and failure to improve clinically despite maximal medical treatment a relative indication. Those who underwent surgery were only included as cases where NEC was confirmed histologically in a resection specimen. Controls were babies born <24 weeks gestational age, who throughout their admission did not receive a diagnosis of NEC. Matching of cases and controls was not undertaken due to the likely small numbers of babies included and the risk of matching for a potentially significant factor.

2.1. Exclusion criteria

Babies who died within 24 hours of birth and babies who underwent a laparotomy for suspected NEC but were found to have an alternative diagnosis were excluded.

2.2. Data collection

Patient demographics, clinical features at the time of diagnosis of NEC, biochemical and radiological findings, surgical details, complications and survival data were extracted from the electronic medical record (BadgerNet). Commonly recognised potential risk factors for NEC were recorded including: the use of ibuprofen for patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) closure, the use of blood products, maternal chorioamnionitis, prolonged rupture of membranes, use of umbilical catheters and type of enteral feed given. Data on maternal age, smoking status, use of antenatal MgSO₄ as well as steroids were obtained from maternal medical records. Outcome measures included the grade of intra-ventricular haemorrhage identified on routine cranial ultrasound screening, development of periventricular leukomalacia (PVL) and survival to discharge.

1
2
3 The study was registered locally as a clinical service evaluation project (Number:
4 13449) and was not deemed to require further approval from an ethics committee as
5
6 it involved anonymised, retrospective data collection only.
7
8
9

10 11 12 **2.3. Statistical analysis**

13
14 For normally distributed data an independent samples T-test was used to compare
15 means. For non-normally distributed data a Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare
16 medians. Fisher's Exact test with Bonferroni correction was used to compare
17 categorical variables. IBM SPSS Statistics v.17 was used for all statistical analysis.
18
19 P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 29 **2.4. Patient and public involvement**

30 Patients and the public were not involved in conducting this research.
31
32
33
34

35 36 **3. RESULTS**

37 38 39 **3.1. Patient Demographics**

40
41 66 babies were born <24 weeks during the 6-year study period. 6 were excluded as
42 they died within 24 hours of birth. Despite initial resuscitation for these babies, they
43 failed to respond to intensive care treatment and their care was re-directed. A further
44 4 babies who underwent a laparotomy but were not found to have NEC were excluded
45 (3 babies were found to have intussusception and one baby had peritoneal
46 extravasation of parenteral nutrition). This left a cohort of 56 babies included in the
47 study. Of 56 babies, 31 (55.4%) developed NEC during their admission (cases) whilst
48 25 (44.6%) did not and served as controls. The median gestational age was 23 weeks
49 in both groups with 7 babies (2 controls and 5 cases) born at 22 weeks gestation.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

There was no statistically significant difference in weight or gender distribution although a notable preponderance of male infants was found in the cases as opposed to the reverse in the controls. Table 1 summarises the key demographics of cases and controls.

Table 1 – Demographic data

	Cases (NEC)	Controls	P
	n=31	n=25	value
Median gestational age, range (weeks)	23 (22+5 - 23+6)	23 (22+6 - 23+6)	0.365
Mean \pm SD birthweight (g)	579 \pm 73	592 \pm 59	0.582
Gender (M:F)	18:13	9:16	0.116

3.2. Antenatal- and post-natal risk factors for NEC

Table 2 summarises the potential ante-natal and post-natal risk factors for NEC in cases and controls. Considering the small numbers of babies included and the large number of variables, no statistical analysis was attempted due to the high chance of a type 1 error. At our unit, trophic feeds up to a volume of 30ml/kg/day expressed maternal breast milk (EBM), or alternatively donor expressed breast milk (DEBM) would have been given to every baby from the day of birth, assuming the abdomen remained soft and not distended, gastric aspirates were low volume, and there was no other contraindication.

Table 2 – Antenatal data and potential post-natal risk factors for NEC

	Cases <i>n=31</i>	Controls <i>n=25</i>
Median maternal age (years)	33	31
MgSO ₄ received (n (%))	19 (61.2%)	20 (80%)
Antenatal steroids received (n (%))	29 (93.5%)	24 (96%)
Maternal chorioamnionitis (n (%))	11 (35.5%)	14 (56%)
Prolonged rupture of membranes (n (%))	1 (3.2%)	3 (12%)
Maternal smoker (n (%))	3 (9.6%)	2 (8%)
Ibuprofen use for PDA closure (n (%))	6 (19.3%)	4(16%)
Blood products given to baby (n (%))	31 (100%)	25 (100%)
Umbilical catheter use (n (%))	30 (96.8%)	24 (96%)
Maternal Breastmilk as first enteral feed (n (%))	25 (80.6%)	24 (96%)

3.3. Outcomes

Cranial ultrasound findings and survival outcomes are summarised in Table 3.

Intra-ventricular hemorrhage (IVH) and Peri-ventricular leukomalacia (PVL) may be correlated with poor neurological outcomes but neurological or developmental testing was not performed as part of this study. Survival to discharge was similar in the two groups.

Table 3 – Cranial ultrasound findings and survival data

Cases <i>n=31</i>	Controls <i>n=25</i>
-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Presence of any grade of IVH (%)	25 (80.6%)	17 (68.0%)	p=0.357
IVH grade (%)			p=0.282
Nil	6 (19.4%)	8 (32%)	
I	5 (16.1%)	0 (0%)	
II	6 (19.4%)	6 (24%)	
III	5 (16.1%)	4 (16%)	
IV	9 (29.0%)	7 (28%)	
PVL diagnosis (%)	6 (19.4%)	2 (8.0%)	p=0.277
Survival to discharge (%)	15 (48.4%)	13 (52.0%)	p=1.000

3.4. *Clinical features and outcomes of babies receiving only medical treatment for NEC (n=14)*

The median age at the onset of suspected NEC was 12 days (3-83 days). Median CRP at the time of first clinical suspicion of NEC was 6.5mg/L (<1-93mg/L). 5 of 14 babies (35.7%) had a rise in their CRP from the previous day when first suspected to have NEC. Median platelet count was 124x10⁹/L (33-517x10⁹/L) with 5/14 (35.7%) patients experiencing a drop in the platelet count at the time of initial diagnosis. Survival to discharge was 7/14 (50%), the proportion of babies with PVL was 2/14 (14.2%), and the proportion with IVH 10/14 (71.4%).

3.5. *Clinical features and outcomes of babies undergoing surgical treatment for NEC (n=17)*

1
2
3 The median age at the onset of suspected NEC was 14 days (4-36 days). Median
4
5 CRP at the time of first clinical suspicion of NEC was 23mg/L (<1-250mg/L). Eleven of
6
7
8 17 babies (64.7%) had a rise in their CRP from the previous day when first suspected
9
10 to have NEC. Median platelet count was $108 \times 10^9/L$ ($13-289 \times 10^9/L$) with 11/18 (64.7%)
11
12 patients experiencing a drop in the platelet count at the time of initial diagnosis.
13
14

15
16
17 On plain radiography, pneumatosis intestinalis was evident in 3/17 cases (17.7%),
18
19 pneumoperitoneum in 3/17 (17.7%) and a paucity of intra-luminal gas in 4/17 (23.5%).
20
21 An abdominal USS was performed at the clinician's discretion in 8/17 (47.1%) cases
22
23 where the diagnosis was unclear. In all 8 cases, thickened, aperistalsic bowel with an
24
25 extra-luminal fluid collection, highly suspicious of an intestinal perforation, was
26
27 identified.
28
29

30
31
32
33 Seventeen patients underwent laparotomy with a median time to surgery of 2 days (1-
34
35 5) from the date of first suspicion of NEC. Findings at surgery were: localised distal
36
37 ileal disease with perforation in 9 (52.9%), multi-focal NEC in 5 (29.4%), right iliac
38
39 fossa inflammatory mass in 2 (11.8%) and extensive bowel necrosis in 2 (11.8%). A
40
41 diverting ileostomy with a mucus fistula was fashioned in 13 (76.5%) and a high
42
43 jejunostomy with a mucus fistula in 4 (23.5%).
44
45
46
47
48

49
50 Five out of 17 (29.4%) patients developed a post-operative wound dehiscence of
51
52 which one went on to form an enterocutaneous fistula. One patient, after their initial
53
54 laparotomy and ileostomy formation, developed a mass in the right iliac fossa and
55
56 another perforation requiring a repeat laparotomy and bowel resection. Four weeks
57
58 later, the patient developed further ileal perforations requiring a third laparotomy, with
59
60

1
2
3 formation of another ileostomy and mucus fistula. Four months after the initial
4 operation, the patient had restoration of enteral continuity. There were no stoma-
5 related complications or intra-abdominal abscess identified in our study cohort.
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 In this group of babies with surgically managed NEC, survival to discharge was 8/17
13 (47.1%). The proportion of babies with PVL was 4/17 (23.5%), and the proportion of
14 babies with IVH 15/17 (88.2%).
15
16
17
18

21 4. DISCUSSION

22
23
24
25
26 We report our experiences and outcomes of babies born <24 weeks of gestational age
27 over a period of 6 years, with a special regard to the occurrence of NEC. Of 56 included
28 babies, NEC was diagnosed in 31 (55.3%) of which 17 (30.4%) underwent surgery for
29 NEC. We found no evidence of an increased risk of NEC associated with any of the
30 risk factors examined. Survival to discharge in babies diagnosed with NEC was similar
31 to babies with no NEC, at 48.4% vs 52.0%, regardless of the need for surgery.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Development of NEC reduced survival by less than 10%, and surgery did not have an
additional impact.

There was no statistically significant evidence of worse neurological injury in babies
diagnosed with NEC. The overall numbers of babies with any degree of IVH was higher
within the NEC cohort, however the numbers of IVH grades III and IV were similar to
the numbers in the control cohort. There was a trend towards a higher rate of PVL in
babies diagnosed with NEC. However, in this study, it was not possible to correlate

1
2
3 cranial ultrasound findings with neurodevelopmental outcomes. This will be the subject
4
5 of a longer term follow-up study.
6
7
8
9

10 The incidence of NEC in our study is higher than commonly described in studies
11 reporting outcomes in extremely premature infants (1–6). This is likely due to the fact
12 that extreme prematurity and low birth weight are the two strongest risk factors for
13 development of NEC. Our study includes only babies at the lowest end of gestational
14 ages and weight, as opposed to previously published studies which generally include
15 babies up to a gestational age of 28 weeks. The 2006 EPICURE2 Study reported
16 survival of live born babies to be only 2% (n=3) for those born at 22 weeks' gestation,
17 and 19% (n=66) at 23 weeks' gestation but when antenatal corticosteroids are given
18 and babies are actively treated after birth, outcomes are much better (18). Around the
19 same time, survival rates of 30% for babies born at 22 weeks were reported from
20 around the world, with similar neurodevelopmental outcomes as for babies born at 23
21 or 24 weeks (15–17,19). This progress was backed up by the National Institute of Child
22 Health and Human Development (NICHD)-funded Neonatal Research Network
23 (NRN), reporting survival rates of 25% for babies born at 22 weeks (20). Survival in
24 our study was 52% in our control group of infants that did not develop NEC. This is
25 higher than reported in the literature. However, the survival rate we report is skewed
26 by the exclusion of patients that did not survive beyond 24 hours. Developing NEC
27 and undergoing surgery did not appear to have a detrimental impact in terms of
28 outcome when compared to our control cohort.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 A protective effect of maternal breast milk on development of NEC has been described
57 previously (21,22). In our unit, we have a high exposure rate of premature babies to
58
59
60

1
2
3 breast milk as their first enteral feed. There is uncertainty about the impact of antenatal
4
5 MgSO₄ on the risk of developing NEC. Some studies have reported a positive
6
7 association, especially in infants of very low gestational age (10,11) whilst others
8
9 report a protective effect (23). The findings in our cohort were of a higher proportion
10
11 of controls (no diagnosis of NEC) receiving both MgSO₄ and maternal breast milk
12
13 compared to cases. However, the numbers are insufficient to definitively claim a
14
15 protective effect of either on the development of NEC and further, larger scale, studies
16
17 are still needed. In the meantime, we would continue to support the antenatal use of
18
19 MgSO₄ and maternal breast milk as a first enteral feed.
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 We found that the clinical presentation of NEC in these extremely premature babies
27
28 was atypical, but very much in concordance with the findings published by Battersby
29
30 et. al, that the clinical presentation of NEC can vary, dependent on gestational age
31
32 (24). With this, we acknowledge that without a reliable biomarker for NEC,
33
34 identification of patients, especially in retrospect, is challenging, and for our study,
35
36 poses a limitation. Of the 17 babies who underwent surgery for NEC, only around two
37
38 thirds showed a rise in CRP or a drop in platelets. Similarly, only a minority showed
39
40 typical appearances on plain radiography with pneumatosis in 17.7% and
41
42 pneumoperitoneum in 17.7%. We do not have a hypothesis for this lack in typical
43
44 biochemical and radiological features, however, absence of a CRP rise could possibly
45
46 be explained by an immature immune system being unable to mount a significant CRP
47
48 response. Interestingly, where ultrasound scanning was deployed in unclear cases,
49
50 features highly suspicious for intestinal perforation were identified in all cases. As a
51
52 department, we have used ultrasound imaging as an adjunct to plain radiography and
53
54 clinical assessment, when the diagnosis has been in doubt. Our radiologists are able
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to perform this at the cotside and have developed a wealth of experience in assessing
4 the nature of the bowel, and looking specifically for collections and aperistalsic bowel,
5 suggestive of a perforation. Conversely, at laparotomy a very typical pattern of disease
6 was found, with the majority located in the distal ileum and the remainder multi-focal.
7
8
9

10
11
12
13
14 The rate of surgical complications was surprisingly low, with only 5 out of 17 babies
15 (29.4%) developing wound dehiscences and no reported stomal complications other
16 than one enterocutaneous fistula. It is not clear why this complication rate was so low
17 given the fragility of the cohort. The patient who underwent multiple laparotomies
18 appeared to have recurrent disease rather than complications of their initial surgery.
19
20
21 Regarding neurological complications, we have only been able to assess findings on
22 cranial ultrasound imaging as we only followed patients to hospital discharge. More
23 definitive neurodevelopmental outcomes as these babies grow into childhood will be
24 of great interest.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 The key strength of our study is the completeness of data collection and follow up to
39 the time of discharge, with a full data set for all infants included. However, the small
40 sample size is the key limitation of our study. According to figures from the Office of
41 National Statistics (www.ons.gov.uk) for England and Wales, in 2018 the reported birth
42 rates were 166 per annum for infants born at 22 weeks of gestation, and 313 per
43 annum for infants born at 23 weeks of gestation, which in total is 479 babies each
44 year. Given a population of roughly 10 million people in London, and our centre being
45 one of 10 tertiary referral centres this equals a number of 47 babies <24 weeks we
46 would expect to see in the course of six years. The 56 infants included, is similar to
47 this estimate. Nevertheless, it remains a small cohort, and therefore, the study is
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 unlikely to be adequately powered to assess the impact of several potentially
4 interrelated risk factors. It is also a retrospective study and so there may be
5 unmeasured, confounding factors at play, including temporal trends. Given the rarity
6 and heterogeneity of NEC in both its presentation and management, a large scale,
7 prospective study looking at this cohort, in collaboration with the British Association
8 for Perinatal Medicine (BAPM), would be of great interest. It would enable us to better
9 define risk factors, diagnostic tools and optimal treatment strategies for NEC. Any such
10 study must include long term follow up to determine the neurological outcomes for
11 these infants.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 In conclusion, the diagnosis of NEC in infants born <24 weeks gestation is more
27 challenging than in babies born at a later gestational age. The clinical picture can be
28 unclear with atypical radiological and highly variable haematological and biochemical
29 findings. Early diagnosis of NEC in these infants will require a high index of suspicion
30 and a heightened awareness of the differences in presentation seen in this population.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Acknowledgements

1
2
3 We would like to acknowledge Dr. Salma Ayis, senior lecturer at King's College
4 London, and thank her very much for her help with the statistical analyses for this
5
6
7
8 study.
9

10 11 12 **Funding Statement:**

13
14 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
15
16 commercial or not-for-profit sectors.
17
18

19 20 21 **What is already known on this topic:**

22
23 NEC is a potentially devastating condition, affecting approximately 10% of prematurely
24 born neonates. The main risk factor for development of NEC is prematurity. The
25
26 threshold for active treatment of preterm neonates is currently considered to be 22
27
28 weeks gestational age and there is currently not much data on surgical outcomes for
29
30
31
32
33 this cohort.
34

35 36 37 **What this study adds:**

38
39 This study adds valuable information on surgical outcomes in babies born under 24
40
41 weeks of gestational age suffering from NEC. It highlights the importance of the use
42
43 of ultrasound as an additional diagnostic tool when the diagnosis is unclear and it
44
45 shows that surgery in this small cohort of patients had no major impact on outcomes
46
47 in regards to survival to discharge, IVH, or PVL. Surgery should therefore be offered,
48
49
50
51 when indicated, for this most vulnerable cohort.
52
53

54 55 56 **How this study might affect clinical practice:**

1
2
3 Our study shows that babies in this cohort can have a good outcome with a 48.4%
4 survival to discharge in those requiring surgery. In line with the BAPM statement, we
5
6 are likely to see more extremely premature infants under 24 weeks gestation in the
7
8 future. This study will enable clinicians to discuss the prognosis with parents and the
9
10 wider team. We also feel that our results might affect clinical practice by giving
11
12 clinicians data an awareness of the impact of NEC on outcomes, and may reassure
13
14 them that surgery is a reasonable intervention.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Stoll BJ. Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 21, Clinics in Perinatology. W.B. Saunders; 1994 [cited 2021 May 11]. p. 205–18. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8070222/>
2. Horbar JD, Badger GJ, Carpenter JH, Fanaroff AA, Kilpatrick S, Lacorte M, et al. Trends in mortality and morbidity for very low birth weight infants, 1991-1999. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2021 May 16];110(1 1):143–51. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12093960/>
3. Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Walsh MC, et al. Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2021 May 16];126(3):443–56. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20732945/>
4. Wilson-Costello D, Friedman H, Minich N, Siner B, Taylor G, Schluchter M, et al. Improved neurodevelopmental outcomes for extremely low birth weight infants in 2000-2002. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2007 Jan [cited 2021 May 16];119(1):37–45. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17200269/>
5. Guthrie SO, Gordon P V., Thomas V, Thorp JA, Peabody J, Clark RH. Necrotizing enterocolitis among neonates in the United States [Internet]. Vol. 23, Journal of Perinatology. J Perinatol; 2003 [cited 2021 May 16]. p. 278–85. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12774133/>
6. Costeloe K, Hardy P, Juszczak E, Wilks M, Millar MR. Bifidobacterium breve BBG-001 in very preterm infants: a randomised controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet [Internet]. 2016 Feb 13 [cited 2021 Sep 1];387(10019):649–60. Available from: <http://www.thelancet.com/article/S0140673615010272/fulltext>
7. MacTier H, Bates SE, Johnston T, Lee-Davey C, Marlow N, Mulley K, et al.

- 1
2
3 Perinatal management of extreme preterm birth before 27 weeks of gestation:
4 A framework for practice [Internet]. Vol. 105, Archives of Disease in Childhood:
5 Fetal and Neonatal Edition. BMJ Publishing Group; 2020 [cited 2021 May 16].
6 p. F232–9. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
7
8
9
10
11
12 8. Behrman RE, Stoll BJ, Kanto WP, Glass RI, Nahmias AJ, Brann AW.
13 Epidemiology of necrotizing enterocolitis: A case control study. J Pediatr
14 [Internet]. 1980 [cited 2021 May 11];96(3 PART 1):447–51. Available from:
15 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6767010/>
16
17
18
19
20
21 9. Patole SK, De Klerk N. Impact of standardised feeding regimens on incidence
22 of neonatal necrotising enterocolitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of
23 observational studies [Internet]. Vol. 90, Archives of Disease in Childhood: Fetal
24 and Neonatal Edition. BMJ Publishing Group; 2005 [cited 2021 May 11]. p.
25 F147–51. Available from: <http://fn.bmj.com/>
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 10. Rattray BN, Kraus DM, Drinker LR, Goldberg RN, Tanaka DT, Cotten CM.
34 Antenatal magnesium sulfate and spontaneous intestinal perforation in infants
35 less than 25 weeks gestation. J Perinatol [Internet]. 2014 Nov 5 [cited 2022 Mar
36 15];34(11):819–22. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24901451/>
37
38
39
40
41
42 11. Kamyar M, Clark E, Yoder B, Varner M, Manuck T. Antenatal Magnesium
43 Sulfate, Necrotizing Enterocolitis, and Death among Neonates. AJP Rep
44 [Internet]. 2016 Mar 31 [cited 2022 Mar 15];6(1):e148–54. Available from:
45 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27054046/>
46
47
48
49
50
51 12. Robinson JR, Rellinger EJ, Hatch LD, Weitkamp JH, Speck KE, Danko M, et al.
52 Surgical necrotizing enterocolitis [Internet]. Vol. 41, Seminars in Perinatology.
53 W.B. Saunders; 2017 [cited 2021 Mar 2]. p. 70–9. Available from:
54 [/pmc/articles/PMC5777619/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31111111/)
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 13. Blakely ML, Tyson JE, Lally KP, McDonald S, Stoll BJ, Stevenson DK, et al.
4
5 Laparotomy versus peritoneal drainage for necrotizing enterocolitis or isolated
6
7 intestinal perforation in extremely low birth weight infants: Outcomes through 18
8
9 months adjusted age. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2021 Mar 2];117(4).
10
11 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16549503/>
12
- 13 14. Hintz SR, Kendrick DE, Stoll BJ, Vohr BR, Fanaroff AA, Donovan EF, et al.
14
15 Neurodevelopmental and growth outcomes of extremely low birth weight infants
16
17 after necrotizing enterocolitis. *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2005 Mar [cited 2021 Mar
18
19 2];115(3):696–703. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15741374/>
20
21
- 22 23 15. Norman M, Hallberg B, Abrahamsson T, Björklund LJ, Domellöf M, Farooqi A,
24
25 et al. Association between Year of Birth and 1-Year Survival among Extremely
26
27 Preterm Infants in Sweden during 2004-2007 and 2014-2016. *JAMA - J Am Med*
28
29 *Assoc* [Internet]. 2019 Mar 26 [cited 2021 May 26];321(12):1188–99. Available
30
31 from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
32
33
- 34 35 16. Mehler K, Oberthuer A, Keller T, Becker I, Valter M, Roth B, et al. Survival
36
37 among infants born at 22 or 23 weeks' gestation following active prenatal and
38
39 postnatal care. *JAMA Pediatr* [Internet]. 2016 Jul 1 [cited 2021 May
40
41 26];170(7):671–7. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/>
42
43
- 44 45 17. Watkins PL, Dagle JM, Bell EF, Colaizy TT. Outcomes at 18 to 22 Months of
46
47 Corrected Age for Infants Born at 22 to 25 Weeks of Gestation in a Center
48
49 Practicing Active Management. *J Pediatr* [Internet]. 2020 Feb 1 [cited 2021 May
50
51 26];217:52-58.e1. Available from:
52
53 <http://www.jpeds.com/article/S0022347619310868/fulltext>
54
- 55 56 18. Costeloe KL, Hennessy EM, Haider S, Stacey F, Marlow N, Draper ES. Short
57
58 term outcomes after extreme preterm birth in England: Comparison of two birth
59
60

- 1
2
3 cohorts in 1995 and 2006 (the EPICure studies). *BMJ* [Internet]. 2012 Dec 8
4 [cited 2021 May 26];345(7886). Available from:
5
6 <http://www.bmj.com/content/345/bmj.e7976?tab=related#webextra>
7
8
9
- 10 19. Kusuda S, Fujimura M, Sakuma I, Aotani H, Kabe K, Itani Y, et al. Morbidity and
11 mortality of infants with very low birth weight in Japan: Center variation.
12 *Pediatrics* [Internet]. 2006 Oct 1 [cited 2021 May 26];118(4):e1130–8. Available
13 from: <https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/118/4/e1130>
14
15
16
17
18
- 19 20. Rysavy MA, Li L, Bell EF, Das A, Hintz SR, Stoll BJ, et al. Between-Hospital
20 Variation in Treatment and Outcomes in Extremely Preterm Infants. *N Engl J*
21 *Med* [Internet]. 2015 May 7 [cited 2021 May 26];372(19):1801–11. Available
22 from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1410689>
23
24
25
26
27
- 28 21. Cortez J, Makker K, Kraemer DF, Neu J, Sharma R, Hudak ML. Maternal milk
29 feedings reduce sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis and improve outcomes of
30 premature infants. *J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Apr
31 20];38(1):71–4. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29048409/>
32
33
34
35
36
- 37 22. Sisk PM, Lambeth TM, Rojas MA, Lightbourne T, Barahona M, Anthony E, et al.
38 Necrotizing Enterocolitis and Growth in Preterm Infants Fed Predominantly
39 Maternal Milk, Pasteurized Donor Milk, or Preterm Formula: A Retrospective
40 Study. *Am J Perinatol* [Internet]. 2017 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Apr 20];34(7):676–83.
41 Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27936476/>
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
- 49 23. Mikhael M, Bronson C, Zhang L, Curran M, Rodriguez H, Bhakta KY. Lack of
50 Evidence for Time or Dose Relationship between Antenatal Magnesium Sulfate
51 and Intestinal Injury in Extremely Preterm Neonates. *Neonatology* [Internet].
52 2019 Jun 1 [cited 2022 Mar 15];115(4):371–8. Available from:
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30965340/>

- 1
2
3 24. Battersby C, Longford N, Costeloe K, Modi N. Development of a Gestational
4 Age-Specific Case Definition for Neonatal Necrotizing Enterocolitis. JAMA
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28046187/>

Confidential: For Review Only